

Sayers, Margery

From: Dvorak, Nicole
Sent: Monday, June 3, 2019 11:34 AM
To: Sayers, Margery
Subject: FW: Proposed amendments to CB20-2019 - Not In Favor Of

From: Carolyn Weibel <carolinasandsunsurf2@gmail.com>
Sent: Sunday, June 2, 2019 9:29 PM
To: Walsh, Elizabeth <ewalsh@howardcountymd.gov>; Jones, Opel <ojones@howardcountymd.gov>; Rigby, Christiana <crigby@howardcountymd.gov>; Jung, Deb <djung@howardcountymd.gov>; Yungmann, David <dyungmann@howardcountymd.gov>
Subject: Proposed amendments to CB20-2019 - Not In Favor Of

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Good evening, Members of the County Council,

I see where 3 amendments have been proposed for CB20-2019.

My position is that the bill should stand as originally proposed with no amendments. Storm water management laws need to be revised and in place before the moratorium is lifted. What was the point of the moratorium if this is not accomplished? If the 3-month extension is not sufficient, then continue to extend it until legislation is in place to address the flooding devastation seen in the Tiber-Hudson and Plumtree Watersheds.

The focus needs to be on enacting new storm water management laws. Let's not "muddy up the waters" by amending this bill to accommodate homeowners, businesses, or developers because they have had to wait while flood studies were completed and new storm water legislation is proposed and voted into law.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Carolyn Weibel
Valley Mede

Sayers, Margery

From: Patricia Williams <pwilliamsmd@verizon.net>
Sent: Monday, June 3, 2019 10:56 AM
To: CouncilMail
Subject: Amending CB20-2019

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Dear County Council members,

I recently discovered 3 amendments to CB20-2019 have been proposed. I am totally against ANY changes to the original bill. If and when more storm water flooding occurs, and any of the amendments are adopted, then how are we to know if storm water damage is due to even less impervious surfaces than what presently exists? New SWM laws aren't in place, not to mention written or tested!

I also believe the moratorium should be extended until new SWM laws are PROVEN to work in the Plum Tree and Tiber-Hudson watersheds. It's the ONLY RESPONSIBLE thing to do. There is no reason even the people wanting pools and in-law extensions, patios, porches, new driveways should be exempt. Safety comes first!

The citizens are relying on good conscious decisions by each Country Council member to protect them and not pander to developers, nor individuals wanting exceptions for smaller projects.

The County Council members have been voted into positions where their constituents should be assured they are being taken care of and can be assured the reason they live in Howard County is preserved: safe, quiet and rural communities with well-funded schools for the students moreso than the teachers, and a very strong APFO yet to come. In the end, most desirable is the guarantee of a safe and appealing environment. I strongly believe all this should start with a STRONG SWM legislation. Again, safety is paramount. It is totally in the hands of responsible Council members who I hope you prove to be.

Thank you for the time you took to hear my opinions.

Patricia Williams
Ellicott City