

County Council Of Howard County, Maryland

2016 Legislative Session

Legislative Day No. 7

Resolution No. 90-2016

Introduced by: The Chairperson at the request of the County Executive

A RESOLUTION adopting the Open/Closed Chart, pursuant to the Adequate Public Facilities Act of Howard County, to designate the school regions and school districts that are open for residential development.

Introduced and read first time June 6, 2016.

By order Jessica Feldmark, Administrator

Read for a second time at a public hearing on June 20, 2016.

By order Jessica Feldmark, Administrator

This Resolution was read the third time and was Adopted, Adopted with amendments, Failed, Withdrawn, by the County Council on July 8, 2016.

Certified By Jessica Feldmark, Administrator

NOTE: [[text in brackets]] indicates deletions from existing law; TEXT IN SMALL CAPITALS indicates additions to existing law; Strike-out indicates material deleted by amendment; Underlining indicates material added by amendment.

1           **WHEREAS**, Section 16.1103(c)(1) of the Howard County Code (the Adequate  
2 Public Facilities Act of Howard County) provides for an Open/Closed Chart that is  
3 consistent with the Housing Unit Allocation Chart and that indicates the school regions,  
4 elementary schools, and middle schools open for new residential development and those  
5 closed for new residential development during each of the following years; and

6  
7           **WHEREAS**, Section 16.1103(c) further provides that the County Council shall  
8 adopt or amend the Open/Closed Chart by Resolution whenever the Council adopts or  
9 amends the Housing Unit Allocation Chart; and

10  
11           **WHEREAS**, immediately preceding adoption of this Resolution, the County  
12 Council has adopted the Housing Unit Allocation Chart; and

13  
14           **WHEREAS**, the Department of Planning and Zoning has received the  
15 Open/Closed Chart, based on the Housing Unit Allocation Chart, from the Department of  
16 Education and has submitted it to the Council for adoption.

17  
18           **NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED** by the County Council of Howard  
19 County, Maryland this 8<sup>th</sup> day of July, 2016 that the County Council adopts  
20 the Open/Closed Charts attached to this Resolution and incorporated herein.



**MIDDLE SCHOOLS - MAY 2016 APFO Test**

**Capacity Utilization Rates with Board of Education's Approved FY 2017 Capital Budget Projects**

Chart reflects May 2015 Projections, Board of Education's Requested FY 2017 capacities, and proposed redistricting associated with Capital Projects.

	Capacity				2019-20		2020-21		2021-22		2022-23		2023-24		2024-25		2025-26		2026-27		2027-28		2028-29							
	2019	2020	2021	2022	Proj	% Util.	Proj	% Util.	Proj	% Util.	Proj	% Util.	Proj	% Util.	Proj	% Util.	Proj	% Util.	Proj	% Util.	Proj	% Util.	Proj	% Util.						
<b>Columbia - East</b>																														
Lake Elkhorn MS	643	643	643	643	564	87.7	557	86.6	572	89.0	563	87.6	557	86.6	549	85.4	548	85.2	568	88.3	581	90.4	585	91.0						
Oakland Mills MS	506	506	506	506	474	93.7	489	96.6	477	94.3	472	93.3	478	94.5	478	94.5	484	95.7	482	95.3	493	97.4	498	98.4						
<b>Region MS Totals</b>	<b>1149</b>	<b>1149</b>	<b>1149</b>	<b>1149</b>	<b>1038</b>	<b>90.3</b>	<b>1046</b>	<b>91.0</b>	<b>1049</b>	<b>91.3</b>	<b>1035</b>	<b>90.1</b>	<b>1035</b>	<b>90.1</b>	<b>1027</b>	<b>89.4</b>	<b>1032</b>	<b>89.8</b>	<b>1050</b>	<b>91.4</b>	<b>1074</b>	<b>93.5</b>	<b>1083</b>	<b>94.3</b>						
<b>Columbia - West</b>																														
Harpers Choice MS	506	506	506	506	574	113.4	542	107.1	566	111.9	549	108.5	549	108.5	553	109.3	555	109.7	564	111.5	559	110.5	565	111.7						
Wild Lake MS R	760	760	760	760	705	92.8	692	91.1	697	91.7	710	93.4	763	100.4	819	107.8	869	114.3	888	116.8	C	895	117.8	C	908	119.5	C			
<b>Region MS Totals</b>	<b>1266</b>	<b>1266</b>	<b>1266</b>	<b>1266</b>	<b>1279</b>	<b>101.0</b>	<b>1234</b>	<b>97.5</b>	<b>1263</b>	<b>99.8</b>	<b>1259</b>	<b>99.4</b>	<b>1312</b>	<b>103.6</b>	<b>1372</b>	<b>108.4</b>	<b>1424</b>	<b>112.5</b>	<b>1452</b>	<b>114.7</b>	<b>1454</b>	<b>114.8</b>	<b>1473</b>	<b>116.4</b>						
<b>Northeastern</b>																														
Bonnie Branch MS	662	662	662	662	734	110.9	690	104.2	652	98.5	657	99.2	663	100.2	681	102.9	686	103.6	696	105.1	703	106.2	716	108.2						
Elkridge Landing MS	779	779	779	779	677	86.9	672	86.3	685	87.9	697	89.5	714	91.7	712	91.4	731	93.8	743	95.4	781	100.3	796	102.2						
Ellicott Mills MS A	662	662	662	662	909	137.3	C	904	136.6	C	899	135.8	C	861	130.1	C	856	129.3	C	826	125.3	C	851	128.9	C	893	134.4	C		
Mayfield Woods MS	798	798	798	798	827	103.6	858	107.5	906	113.5	920	115.3	C	968	121.3	C	1000	125.3	C	993	124.4	C	981	122.9	C	984	123.3	C		
Thomas Viaduct MS	701	701	701	701	806	115.0	C	865	123.4	C	959	136.8	C	998	142.4	C	1088	155.2	C	1170	166.9	C	1253	178.7	C	1307	186.4	C		
<b>Region MS Totals</b>	<b>3602</b>	<b>3602</b>	<b>3602</b>	<b>3602</b>	<b>3953</b>	<b>109.7</b>	<b>3989</b>	<b>110.7</b>	<b>4101</b>	<b>113.9</b>	<b>4133</b>	<b>114.7</b>	<b>4289</b>	<b>119.1</b>	<b>4389</b>	<b>116.8</b>	<b>4514</b>	<b>120.1</b>	<b>4594</b>	<b>122.2</b>	<b>4702</b>	<b>125.1</b>	<b>4782</b>	<b>127.2</b>						
<b>Northern</b>																														
Burleigh Manor MS	779	779	779	779	860	110.4	868	111.4	899	115.4	C	953	122.3	C	997	128.0	C	1059	135.9	C	1092	140.2	C	1106	142.0	C	1091	140.1	C	
Dunloggin MS A	565	565	565	565	656	116.1	C	660	99.7	672	101.5	678	102.4	679	102.6	687	103.8	683	103.2	697	105.3	697	105.3	714	107.9					
Patapsco MS	643	643	643	643	738	114.8	756	117.6	C	779	121.2	C	784	121.9	C	781	121.5	C	778	121.0	C	789	122.7	C	804	125.0	C	834	129.7	C
<b>Region MS Totals</b>	<b>1987</b>	<b>2084</b>	<b>2084</b>	<b>2084</b>	<b>2254</b>	<b>113.4</b>	<b>2284</b>	<b>109.6</b>	<b>2350</b>	<b>112.8</b>	<b>2415</b>	<b>115.9</b>	<b>2457</b>	<b>117.9</b>	<b>2524</b>	<b>121.1</b>	<b>2564</b>	<b>123.0</b>	<b>2607</b>	<b>125.1</b>	<b>2622</b>	<b>125.8</b>	<b>2669</b>	<b>128.1</b>						
<b>Southeastern</b>																														
Hammond MS	604	604	604	604	648	107.3	710	117.5	C	717	118.7	C	743	123.0	C	759	125.7	C	804	133.1	C	814	134.8	C	829	137.3	C	834	138.1	C
Murray Hill MS	662	662	662	662	760	114.8	792	119.6	C	811	122.5	C	770	116.3	C	768	116.0	C	769	116.2	C	771	116.5	C	761	115.0	C	760	114.8	C
Patuxent Valley MS	760	760	760	760	735	96.7	757	99.6	726	95.5	736	96.8	753	99.1	766	100.8	790	103.9	829	109.1	868	114.2	899	118.3						
<b>Region MS Totals</b>	<b>2026</b>	<b>2026</b>	<b>2026</b>	<b>2026</b>	<b>2143</b>	<b>105.8</b>	<b>2259</b>	<b>111.5</b>	<b>2254</b>	<b>111.3</b>	<b>2249</b>	<b>111.0</b>	<b>2280</b>	<b>112.5</b>	<b>2339</b>	<b>115.4</b>	<b>2375</b>	<b>117.2</b>	<b>2419</b>	<b>119.4</b>	<b>2462</b>	<b>121.5</b>	<b>2484</b>	<b>122.6</b>						
<b>Western</b>																														
Clarksville MS	643	643	643	643	562	87.4	562	87.4	552	85.8	548	85.2	552	85.8	572	89.0	584	90.8	590	91.8	588	91.4	585	91.0						
Folly Quarter MS	662	662	662	662	678	102.4	675	102.0	684	103.3	673	101.7	686	103.6	710	107.3	707	106.8	688	103.9	659	99.5	654	98.8						
Glenwood MS	545	545	545	545	559	102.6	578	106.1	590	108.3	559	102.6	567	104.0	570	104.6	596	109.4	590	108.3	579	106.2	580	106.4						
Lime Kiln MS	701	701	701	701	754	107.6	765	109.1	783	111.7	787	112.3	805	114.8	814	116.1	C	807	115.1	C	799	114.0	789	112.6	792	113.0				
Mount View MS	798	798	798	798	824	103.3	823	103.1	820	102.8	833	104.4	858	107.5	910	114.0	938	117.5	C	984	123.3	C	992	124.3	C	999	125.2	C		
<b>Region MS Totals</b>	<b>3349</b>	<b>3349</b>	<b>3349</b>	<b>3349</b>	<b>3377</b>	<b>100.8</b>	<b>3403</b>	<b>101.6</b>	<b>3429</b>	<b>102.4</b>	<b>3400</b>	<b>101.5</b>	<b>3468</b>	<b>103.6</b>	<b>3576</b>	<b>106.8</b>	<b>3632</b>	<b>108.5</b>	<b>3651</b>	<b>109.0</b>	<b>3607</b>	<b>107.7</b>	<b>3610</b>	<b>107.8</b>						
<b>Countywide Totals</b>	<b>13379</b>	<b>13476</b>	<b>13476</b>	<b>13476</b>	<b>14044</b>	<b>105.0</b>	<b>14215</b>	<b>105.5</b>	<b>14446</b>	<b>107.2</b>	<b>14491</b>	<b>107.5</b>	<b>14841</b>	<b>110.1</b>	<b>15227</b>	<b>111.7</b>	<b>15541</b>	<b>114.0</b>	<b>15773</b>	<b>115.7</b>	<b>15921</b>	<b>116.8</b>	<b>16101</b>	<b>118.1</b>						

A: capacity includes additions as reflected in FY 2017 Capital Budget for Grades 6-8 between 2017 and 2024.  
 R: Replacement school scheduled to open August 2017.

**FW: CR89 and CR90**

Feldmark, Jessica

**Sent:** Wednesday, June 22, 2016 12:06 PM

**To:** Ball, Calvin B; Fox, Greg; Greg Fox (Greg.Fox@Constellation.com); Weinstein, Jon; Sigaty, Mary Kay; Terrasa, Jen

**Cc:** Wimberly, Theo; Sayers, Margery; Clay, Mary; Knight, Karen; McLeod, Kate; Pruim, Kimberly; Smith, Gary

**Attachments:** APF Task Force Review Com~1.xlsx (17 KB)

Council Members,

Carl contacted me yesterday to follow up on the discussion of APFO at your hearing Monday evening. I suggested that an analysis of how the task force's recommendation would impact the charts might be helpful. Please see attached.

Thanks,  
Jess

Jessica Feldmark  
Administrator  
Howard County Council  
410-313-3111  
jfeldmark@howardcountymd.gov

---

**From:** Delorenzo, Carl  
**Sent:** Wednesday, June 22, 2016 11:31 AM  
**To:** Feldmark, Jessica  
**Cc:** Bronow, Jeff; Sager, Jennifer  
**Subject:** CR89 and CR90

Jessica,

As the County Council considers how it would like to proceed with CR89 and CR90, Jeff Bronow and I prepared a table that includes all of the recommendations passed by the APF Review Task Force and their effect on either the Housing Unit Allocation Chart or the Open/Closed Chart. Please let us know if you'd like further detail. This document is print-ready.

Thank you,  
CD.

Carl DeLorenzo  
Director of Policy & Programs  
Howard County, Maryland  
410-313-2172

APFO Recommendations Passed by the Task Force	Impact on Housing Unit Allocation and Open/Closed Chart if Recommendation is Considered
Convene an APFO review committee at a minimum at the conclusion of every General Plan cycle	None
Change the definition of 'minor' using the definition included in the subdivision regulations	None
Exempt MIHU units from allocations test; schools and roads test still applies; exemption does not apply in Downtown Columbia; cap exemption at amount of required MIHUs	None
Apply APFO tests at Environmental Concept Plan (ECP) stage rather than sketch plan stage of subdivision regulations process	None
Remove the allowance of shared allocations across Established Communities and Growth & Revitalization categories	Yes. This recommendation would only impact the Housing Unit Allocation Chart. The 'Shared G & R and Est.Comm (1)' column would be removed.
Allow additional new allocations for properties rezoned to a higher density in Established Communities to be taken from Growth and Revitalization planning area closest to rezoned project as determined by DPZ, except from Downtown Columbia	None. The recommendation only changes from which pot the specific rezoned property would take its allocations.
(1) Change program capacity at which a school is deemed open to 110%; (2) If projected enrollment lies between 110% and 115% of program capacity then developer can move forward if it pays a public school facilities surcharge double the amount in current law; if projected enrollment is over 115% and up to 120% of program capacity then developer can move forward if it pays a public school facilities surcharge triple the amount in current law; (3) The developer's wait time for the allocations and schools test combined shall not exceed 5 years contingent on the receipt of allocations within the 5 year time period; the last development plan shall be allowed to be processed at the developer's risk; (4) All existing Howard County dwelling units excluding MIHU and age-restricted dwelling units shall pay an annual fee (\$25 for apartment/condominium; \$50 for townhouse; \$75 for single family detached) that is dedicated to public school capital budget; (5) In an effort to identify efficiencies and better utilize existing space, HCPSS shall reduce its capital budget request by 2% per year for the next 5 fiscal years excluding revenue from the surcharge and the household fee in this motion	Yes. Though the numbers in the Open/Closed Chart would not change, how schools are coded would change. For example, in CR90, Talbot Springs ES has a utilization percentage of 113.3% and is deemed open because the chart is based on a program capacity of 115%. If program capacity changed to 110%, the Talbot Springs ES utilization percentage would not change, but its open/closed status would now be based on the various provisions in this recommendation.
Refer to 'Open/Closed Chart' as 'School Capacity Chart', use the term 'constrained' for those schools above the threshold percentage, and 'adequate' for those schools below the threshold	No changes on numbers, only on chart title
Amend the following provision: "A facility owned by Howard County or any agency thereof where essential County Government services are provided, including LIMITED TO police services, fire prevention and suppression services, emergency medical services, highway maintenance, detention facilities, water treatment and supply, sewage disposal and treatment and solid waste disposal."	None
Exempt age-restricted projects that incorporate continuing care and/or intermediate care services from the allocations test as these projects help our elderly population and reduce the need for other medical facilities	None
Exempt Downtown Columbia from the 300 unit annual allocation limit for a single elementary school district if the school region within which the school district resides is over 100% capacity	None
Include ECP in subdivision regulations	None
Increase Established Communities annual allocation from 400 to 600, decrease Growth and Revitalization annual allocation from 1,200 to 1,000 - contingent on elimination of shared allocation pool	Yes. This recommendation would only impact the Housing Unit Allocation Chart. Refer to Recommendation on Row 7.
Require the County to develop a plan of action to address DFRS' public water supply/cistern needs in the western portion of the county	None

APFO Recommendations Passed by the Task Force	Impact on Housing Unit Allocation and Open/Closed Chart if Recommendation is Considered
Raise CLV from 1500 to 1600 for Downtown Columbia in the Design Manual to be consistent with APFO	None
Request the County to review the feasibility of an energy test that contains a mitigation requirement based on optimal cost-to-efficiency ratios	None
Support DPZ's process of reviewing infill regulations to include such things as stormwater management and the density exchange program; urge that process is complete in 2016; fast track this motion if the County Council considers legislation on the subject prior to submission of the APF Task Force report	None

Lisa Markovitz

2948 Normandy Drive, Ellicott City CR 90

As you can see, this year's APFO open/closed school chart, has changed the status of five schools regarding projected enrollment and the capacity number of Ducketts Lane Elementary School, with no physical change there. I understand that program changes opened homerooms at Ducketts, and I know others will be addressing concerns there. So, I will focus on what I have learned regarding the five schools opening to development that were closed - Cradlerock ES, Elkridge ES, Veterans ES, Fulton ES and Patapsco MS. These schools are in areas set to receive increased housing in coming years, and so there is a concern as to how new housing figures into these projections.

I have learned that these schools had previous over-projections that were corrected and/or had housing projects that were delayed, which changed the figures for certain years.

I sat on the APFO task force, and attended all the meetings, and heard many presentations on these issues. We were told that many things cause changes to projected enrollment including possible redistricting, cohort rates (classes moving up), birth rates, housing changes, etc. Statistical models are done, and much work goes into these calculations, which I surely appreciate; however, I am concerned about how current the development data is that is used for the most recent year's **projected enrollment calculations**, and the longer-term projections as well. We were told that the development figures included in the calculations each year, include projects approved **through the previous January**. Feasibility studies come out in the summer, and those are used to form APFO test projections, and the capital budget for schools. By the time the open/closed chart is completed, the approved development data that adds students to the projections is 18 months old. The next feasibility study that starts the process for next year will be done soon, and so it seems we should be using the development data in that study, which is only 5 months old for this year's chart. I want to be clear, I am criticizing the structure of the model used, and not the hard, well-intentioned work of those trying to achieve the best accuracy in these studies. They are tasked with this way of planning and I am suggesting more recent data be used.

Current and projected fiscal budgets are used in these projections. Current program information is used. Current enrollment and ratios are used. Possible redistricting movements, that may or may not occur, are used. Why not the most recent development data too? If not, then predictions of student enrollment are coming out lower, and the schools are crowded NOW. The changes aren't from a revolving door of ins and outs. The areas mentioned are set for plenty of growth, but the schools open with THIS chart.

The recent increase in maximum average class size also will lead to faster-paced residential land development, because it increases the capacity number of the schools, even though there is not more room. When class size is increased, you can fit more kids into the same space. That lowers the capacity percentage, and will bring in more kids even sooner, yet, again, the space for common area needs is not increased.



Also, the number of students predicted as coming from developments often seems underestimated. This should be analyzed for accuracy and adjusted as necessary in the future. Possibly shorter-term averages like 2 or 3 year averages should be used instead of 5. Lastly, I truly wish capacity increases were at least partially dependent upon actual physical increases in space.

It cannot be news to you that residential development in Howard County far outpaces the infrastructure necessary to keep up with it. Also not news is the school system's pattern of under-projecting future enrollment. At this rate, seven of our 12 high schools will be enrolled at over 115% capacity by the year 2020. Two Elkrige area schools, Duckett's Lane ES and Thomas Viaduct MS, have grown in enrollment faster than HCPSS ever predicted. Also not news is that the development numbers used to make these school enrollment projections are 18 months old. Which is why it is a mystery to me that these APFO charts are routinely accepted and approved when the data in them is so suspect.

How many allocations for Howard Square, Oxford Square, Blue Stream, and other high density residential developments were approved in the last 18 months? What can possibly justify an enrollment decrease at Elkrige Elementary when 84 townhomes will be built in the EES attendance area?

Allow me now to turn to Duckett's Lane Elementary. When it opened in 2013, including a Regional Early Childhood Center, the capacity was 601 students. One year later, in a study re-assigning capacities of all elementary schools, HCPSS raised the capacity to 669 with no physical space added to the school. A year later they closed the RECC, citing space needs for K-5. Now, apparently under the radar and hoping no one would notice, HCPSS has raised the capacity of DLES again to 770, citing the closing of the RECC as justification for finding enough space for an extra 100 students.

As a former RECC parent, I can tell you that does not free up enough space for an extra 100 students in an already overcrowded school.

But let's consider what HCPSS considers overcrowded. Any enrollment between 90-110% and HCPSS doesn't blink an eye. So if you approve 770 as Duckett's new capacity, consider that it is perfectly acceptable for the number to reach 847 students. A school does not close to development, though, until 115% capacity, which would mean 932 students. In a school built for 601 when it opened its doors 3 years ago.

I hear the solution is that Duckett's is receiving a modular building that will hold 5 classrooms. On a 9 acre parcel, the only place to put that modular building is on the field. No problem, since parents are already telling me that their children are having PE and recess in the bus loop and 3 classes of PE totaling 65 students in the gym at once.

The HCPSS MO of increasing capacities at schools with enrollment issues has to stop. Two years ago, in a re-assignment of middle school capacities, the same was done to Elkrige Landing MS and Mayfield Woods MS – increased capacities of over 100 students with no added physical space.

You can stop them by not accepting this APFO chart as a matter of course, but insisting that it run the numbers again with current residential construction data. We have long had a problem with overcrowded schools in the "smart growth" areas like Elkrige and the Rt. 1 corridor, and randomly increasing capacities and basing projections on outdated data only makes the problem worse. Please don't let another generation of students suffer overcrowded buses, classrooms and hallways because of it.

**FW: bin list**

Feldmark, Jessica

**Sent:** Tuesday, June 21, 2016 11:40 AM

**To:** Ball, Calvin B; Fox, Greg; Greg Fox (Greg.Fox@Constellation.com); Weinstein, Jon; Sigaty, Mary Kay; Terrasa, Jen

**Cc:** Wimberly, Theo; Sayers, Margery

**Attachments:** April 2016.pdf (22 KB)

Additional info from Jeff Bronow in response to last night's discussion...

Jessica Feldmark

Administrator

Howard County Council

410-313-3111

jfeldmark@howardcountymd.gov

---

**From:** Bronow, Jeff

**Sent:** Tuesday, June 21, 2016 10:50 AM

**To:** Feldmark, Jessica

**Subject:** bin list

Hi Jess,

Per the request from the County Council, please see the attached list showing all plans currently in the Open/Closed Schools bin and their upcoming fail/pass status based on the new O/C schools chart.

Jeff

ALREADY HAVE ALLOCATIONS AND ARE RE-TAKING OPEN/CLOSED SCHOOLS TEST

	File Number	File Name	Elementary District	School Region	Middle District	Open/Closed Test	Allocations	Failure Number			
1	F-13-116	Ellicott Woods	Worthington	Pass	Northeast	Pass	Ellicott Mills	Fail	Fail	2	3rd failed test
2	F-14-074	Acra Property	Waterloo	Pass	Northeast	Pass	Ellicott Mills	Fail	Fail	2	3rd failed test
3	F-15-014	Sunset View	Waterloo	Pass	Northeast	Pass	Ellicott Mills	Fail	Fail	2	3rd failed test
4	S-14-002 (1)	The Park at Locust Thicket	Bellows Spring	Pass	Northeast	Pass	Mayfield Woods	Pass	Pass	143	
5	SDP-14-074 (2)	Long Gate Overlook	Veterans	Pass	Northeast	Pass	Ellicott Mills	Fail	Fail	73	4th failed test
6	S-12-001A (3)	Ellicott Mills Overlook	Veterans	Pass	Northeast	Pass	Dunloggin	Fail	Pass	18	5th failed test - PASSED
7	F-15-005	Gladys Woods	Waterloo	Pass	Northeast	Pass	Ellicott Mills	Fail	Fail	2	2nd failed test
8	F-15-024	Sunset Plains	Waterloo	Pass	Northeast	Pass	Ellicott Mills	Fail	Fail	1	2nd failed test
9	SP-15-016	Hampton Hills	Worthington	Pass	Northeast	Pass	Ellicott Mills	Fail	Fail	13	2nd failed test
10	F-14-082	Dunwoody Property	Centennial Lane	Fail	North	Fail	Burleigh Manor	Pass	Fail	1	3rd failed test
11	SP-14-004 (4)	Kings Forest	Centennial Lane	Fail	North	Fail	Burleigh Manor	Pass	Fail	37	3rd failed test
12	F-14-078	Jett Property	Waverly	Pass	North	Fail	Patapsco	Pass	Fail	1	3rd failed test
13	F-14-112	Centennial Choice	Northfield	Pass	North	Fail	Dunloggin	Fail	Fail	2	2nd failed test
14	F-14-045	Goins Property	St. John's Lane	Fail	North	Fail	Patapsco	Pass	Fail	3	3rd failed test
15	F-13-106	Melvin Property	Hollifield Station	Fail	North	Fail	Dunloggin	Fail	Fail	5	4th failed test
16	SP-13-013	Tiber Woods	Northfield	Pass	North	Fail	Dunloggin	Fail	Fail	33	4th failed test
17	F-15-057	Crestleigh Property	Northfield	Pass	North	Fail	Dunloggin	Fail	Fail	1	2nd failed test
18	F-16-034	Van Stone Property	Northfield	Pass	North	Fail	Dunloggin	Fail	Fail	1	2nd failed test
19	SP-15-002	Sunell Property	Hollifield Station	Fail	North	Fail	Patapsco	Pass	Fail	38	2nd failed test
20	SP-15-004	Doves Fly	Fulton	Pass	West	Pass	Hammond	Pass	Pass	15	
21	SP-15-014 (5)	Maple Lawn South	Fulton	Pass	West	Pass	Lime Kiln	Pass	Pass	4	
22	F-15-044	Yorkiko Properties	Fulton	Pass	West	Pass	Hammond	Pass	Pass	1	
23	S-15-005	Hilltop Landing	Clemens Crossing	Pass	Columbia West	Pass	Harpers Choice	Pass	Pass	6	

- (1) A revised P plan came in for this plan requesting 5 additional allocations for 2019. We granted the 5 allocations in June 2016 and it failed the schools test. Now all 143 2019 allocations have passed the schools test.
- (2) This plan fails the school test for the fourth time (37 for year 2017 and 36 for year 2018).
- (3) This project reached maximum failures so can now move forward.
- (4) This plan fails for the third time (33 units for year 2017 and 4 units for 2018).
- (5) This is a phased plan with 4 allocations now passing the schools test in 2019 as part of Phase 2. (Phase 1 with 171 allocations in 2017 already passed the schools test.)

SUMMARY TOTAL IN OPEN/CLOSED SCHOOLS BIN

School Region	In Bin	Get Out	% Get Out
Northeast	256	161	63%
North	122	0	0%
West	20	20	100%
Columbia Wesst	6	6	100%
<b>Total</b>	<b>404</b>	<b>187</b>	<b>46%</b>

SUMMARY TOTAL FOR ALLOCATION AND O/C BINS

	In Bin	Get Out	Percent
<b>Total units</b>	<b>404</b>	<b>187</b>	<b>46%</b>
<b>Total plans</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>6</b>	<b>26%</b>

**Last night**

lmarkovitz [lmarkovitz@comcast.net]

**Sent:** Tuesday, June 21, 2016 9:57 AM**To:** CouncilMail

Dear Council Members,

Thank you for your hard work on another long day. I wanted to point out that Joel did verify that my suggestion to include more recent housing data in the open/closed figures was a valid option. Even though housing data partly drives capital budget decisions earlier, as necessary, for planning, more recent housing info could be implemented for the goal of the chart to be more accurate about school enrollment. So please consider.

Also, in my testimony, I did make an opinion about wishing physical space had some effect on capacity change but I noted the Ducketts capacity was due to a program change, so most of Giles' comments were not relevant to what I said.

I focused on the projection enrollment decreases opening 5 schools. Capacity changes didn't open the schools. That's why my focus was on getting current housing data, and more accurate generation from housing data.

Thanks, just wanted to clarify.

Lisa

Sent from my Verizon 4G LTE smartphone



# Howard County

## Internal Memorandum

**Subject:** Testimony for Council Resolutions \_\_\_\_-2016 (APF Housing Unit Allocation Chart) and \_\_\_\_-2016 (Open/Closed Chart)

**To:** Lonnie Robbins  
Chief Administrative Officer

**From:** ✓ Valdis Lazdins, Director  
Department of Planning and Zoning

**Date:** June 13, 2016

The Department of Planning and Zoning supports adoption of the FY 2016 Adequate Public Facilities Council Resolutions:

**Council Resolution No. \_\_\_\_-2016 – Housing Unit Allocation Chart for FY 2017**

CR \_\_\_\_-2016 adopts a new Housing Unit Allocation Chart to implement the *PlanHoward 2030* housing allocation categories and covers a ten-year period beginning in APF test year 2019, as specified in Section 16.1110 of the APF regulations.

**Council Resolution No. \_\_\_\_-2016 – Open/Closed School Charts for FY 2017**

The Open/Closed School Charts for elementary school districts and regions and for middle school districts must be adopted with the new Housing Allocation Chart. It has been updated to reflect changes in enrollment projections and programmed capacity increases since the last chart was adopted. These charts cover a ten-year period beginning in the APF test year 2019. Five elementary school districts, one elementary school region, and three middle school districts are projected to be closed for APF test year 2019.

There are no new anticipated fiscal impacts associated with adoption of these Council resolutions. Please contact me if you have any questions at x4301.

cc: B. Diane Wilson, Chief of Staff  
Jennifer Sager, Legislative Coordinator  
Holly Sun, Budget Administrator  
Jeffrey Bronow, Chief, Division of Research, DPZ