Introduced
Public Hearing —
Council Action —
Executive Action —
Effective Date —

## **County Council of Howard County, Maryland**

2017 Legislative Session

Legislative Day No. 2

## Bill No. 15-2017

Introduced by: The Chairperson at the request of the County Executive and cosponsored by Greg Fox

AN ACT further stating the purpose of the Howard County Right-To-Farm Act; recommending certain legal fees under certain conditions; and generally related to the Howard county Right-To-Farm Act.

Introduced and read first time, 2		g
	By order_	Jessica Feldmark, Administrator
		Jessica Feidmark, Administrator
Having been posted and notice of time & place of hearing second time at a public hearing on		g been published according to Charter, the Bill was read for
	By order _	
		Jessica Feldmark, Administrator
This Bill was read the third time on, 2017 a	and Passed, Pas	sed with amendments, Failed
	By order _	
	·	Jessica Feldmark, Administrator
Sealed with the County Seal and presented to the County	Executive for appro	val thisday of, 2017 at a.m./p.m.
	By order _	Jessica Feldmark, Administrator
		Jessica Feldmark, Administrator
approved/Vetoed by the County Executive	, 2017	
		Allan H. Kittleman, County Executive

NOTE: [[text in brackets]] indicates deletions from existing law; TEXT IN SMALL CAPITALS indicates additions to existing law; Strike-out indicates material deleted by amendment; Underlining indicates material added by amendment

1	Section 1. Be It Enacted by the County Council of Howard County, Maryland that the Howard			
2	County Code is amended as follows:			
3				
4	By amending Title 12 "Health and Social Services"			
5	Section 12.111. Nuisance suits against agricultural operations.			
6	Subsections (b) and (g)			
7	Title 12. Health and Social Services.			
8	Subtitle 1. Health Code.			
9				
10	Section 12.111. Nuisance suits against agricultural operations.			
11	(b) Public Policy. The practice of agriculture has been a mainstay of the economy of Howard			
12	County since the land was settled. [[It]] AGRICULTURE is a valued and respected way of life, and			
13	the preferred land use in the Rural Conservation (RC) Zoning District, a valued land use in the			
14	Rural Residential (RR) Zoning District and on property that has an agricultural use assessment as			
15	determined by the State Department of Assessments and Taxation. The Howard County Council			
16	hereby finds and declares that the practice of farming in Howard County should be protected and			
17	encouraged.			
18	In addition, as Howard County continues to grow, residents are increasingly			
19	INTERACTING MORE WITH THE AGRICULTURAL COMMUNITY MAKING IT EXTREMELY IMPORTANT			
20	FOR CLEAR COMMUNICATION AND MUTUAL RESPECT FOR ONE ANOTHER. AGRICULTURAL			
21	OPERATIONS, IN MANY CASES, INVOLVE NOISE, DUST, ODOR, SLOW MOVING VEHICLES, AND EARLY			
22	MORNING/LATE EVENING ACTIVITY. HOWARD COUNTY FARMERS ARE COMMITTED TO PROVIDING A			
23	SAFE QUALITY PRODUCT FOR CONSUMERS, PRESERVING THE ENVIRONMENT FOR THE NEXT			
24	GENERATION, AND BEING GOOD NEIGHBORS. AT THE SAME TIME THESE ACTIVITIES MAY HAVE			
25	SOME EFFECT ON ADJOINING PROPERTIES. IT IS IMPORTANT THAT BOTH THE AGRICULTURAL			
26	COMMUNITY AND NEIGHBORING RESIDENTS RESPECT ONE ANOTHER SO THAT AGRICULTURE CAN			
27	CONTINUE TO SERVE AS THE FOUNDATION OF HOWARD COUNTY.			
28	(g) [[Legal Actions in Bad Faith or without Substantial Justification. In any civil action, if a			
29	court finds that the conduct of a plaintiff in maintaining a nuisance case against the owner of an			
30	agricultural operation was in bad faith or without substantial justification, the court may require			
31	the plaintiff to pay to the owner of the agricultural operation the costs of the proceeding and the			

- 1 reasonable expenses, including reasonable attorney's fees, incurred by the owner of the
- 2 agricultural operation in defending against the legal action.]]) LEGAL COSTS. IN ANY CIVIL
- 3 ACTION, IF A COURT FINDS THAT THE AGRICULTURAL OPERATION ALLEGED TO BE A NUISANCE IS
- 4 FOUND NOT TO BE A NUISANCE AND THAT THE SUIT WAS BROUGHT IN BAD FAITH OR WITHOUT
- 5 SUBSTANTIAL JUSTIFICATION, THE COURT SHOULD REQUIRE THE PLAINTIFF TO PAY THE COSTS OF
- 6 THE PROCEEDINGS AND THE EXPENSES ASSOCIATED WITH THE LITIGATION, INCLUDING ATTORNEY'S
- 7 FEES, INCURRED BY THE OWNER, OPERATOR OR BOTH, THE OWNER AND OPERATOR, OF THE
- 8 AGRICULTURAL OPERATION IN DEFENDING AGAINST THE LEGAL ACTION.

9

- 10 Section 2. And Be It Further Enacted by the County Council of Howard County, Maryland that
- this Act shall become effective 61 days after its enactment.