

## Sayers, Margery

---

**From:** Karina Fisher <kf321jump@verizon.net>  
**Sent:** Monday, June 18, 2018 5:18 PM  
**To:** CouncilMail  
**Cc:** Kittleman, Allan  
**Subject:** Written Testimony for CR82-2018 - Housing Unit Allocation Chart

Afternoon,

Please take this opportunity to use the Housing Unit Allocation Chart for planning each year and not just automatically approve it as the same.

Actively reviewing it every 10 years with the General Plan is way too long. It would also make sense if the allocations in the East also reflected the progression of growth. They are hurting because development plans shifted and the protections for adequate infrastructure did not.

Please do your very best with the tools that you have before you. Look at them differently and please use them to advocate for the infrastructure needs of this county.

Karina Fisher  
4053 High Point Road  
Ellicott City, MD 21042

Thank-you to each and every one of you that is working on the flood relief efforts for Downtown Ellicott City!

## Sayers, Margery

---

**From:** Susan Garber <buzysusan23@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, June 18, 2018 5:50 PM  
**To:** CouncilMail; Kittleman, Allan  
**Subject:** CR 82-2018

Dear Council Chair Sigaty and Council members,

Please take this opportunity to use the Housing Unit Allocation Chart for planning each year and not just automatically approve it as the same.

Actively reviewing it every 10 years with the General Plan is way too long. It would also make sense if the allocations in the East also reflected the progression of growth. We are hurting because development plans shifted and the protections for adequate infrastructure did not.

Please do your very best with the tools that you have before you. Look at them differently and please use them to advocate for the infrastructure needs of this county.

Susan Garber

## Sayers, Margery

---

**From:** Lisa Markovitz <lmarkovitz@comcast.net>  
**Sent:** Monday, June 18, 2018 9:24 PM  
**To:** CouncilMail  
**Subject:** People's Voice positions on Bills June 2018

The People's Voice

Positions on current legislation:

CB40 - Support - glad to see these additions to requirements of pre-submission meetings.

CB 44 - Support with amendment - We would like to see a longer term than one year for the prohibition of representing a party for compensation that was a subject of legislation. The "subject" of legislation should also be more strongly defined to include an entity that financially benefits from legislation.

CR 82 - Oppose - seek significant amendment - The allocation chart could be used to plan development by region. When things are crowded in a certain area, allocations could be lowered. When there is room to grow in another area they could be raised. Instead of leaving it to APFO which has limited wait times, to pace growth with infrastructure, allocation waits are unlimited, and therefore, this could be used as a real tool for planning and not just countywide but with regional oversight and analysis.

I also believe new regions should be created for watersheds, with small numbers of units allowed That way there is more time between developments to make sure adequate runoff planning is taking place between changes, without too many affects at once.

We do realize that changing the General Plan requires a ballot question, but even having this tool for bi-annual use could be helpful to analyze what DPZ says is being used, if there are any wait times for allocations, and if not, then if an area is crowded and there is no allocation wait, they should be lowered.