Introduced	
Public Hearing	
Council Action	
Executive Action	
Effective Date	

County Council of Howard County, Maryland

2018 Legislative Session

Legislative Day No. 3

Bill No. 14 -2018

Introduced by: The Chairperson at the request of the County Executive

AN ACT allowing for the dismissal of classified employees in certain instances; and generally relating to Human Resources provisions in the County Code.

Introduced and read first time	, 2018. Ordered posted and hearing scheduled.
	By order Jessica Feldmark, Administrator
Having been posted and notice of time & place of hear second time at a public hearing on	uring & title of Bill having been published according to Charter, the Bill was read for a
	By order Jessica Feldmark, Administrator
This Bill was read the third time on, 20	018 and Passed, Passed with amendments, Failed
	By order Jessica Feldmark, Administrator
Sealed with the County Seal and presented to the Cou	unty Executive for approval thisday of, 2018 at a.m./p.m.
	By order Jessica Feldmark, Administrator
Approved/Vetoed by the County Executive	, 2018
	Allan H. Kittleman, County Executive

NOTE: [[text in brackets]] indicates deletions from existing law; TEXT IN SMALL CAPITALS indicates additions to existing law; Strike-out indicates material deleted by amendment; Underlining indicates material added by amendment

1	Section 1. Be It Enacted by the County Council of Howard County, Maryland, that the Howard
2	County Code is amended as follows:
3	1. By amending Section 1.115 "Dismissal and Discipline of employees in the classified service"
4	
5	2. By adding Subsection (d) and Subsection (e) to Section 1.119 "Non-Disciplinary Separations
6	other than dismissal"
7	
8	Title 1. Human Resources.
9	Subtitle 1. Human Resources Administration.
10	Part II. Merit System.
11	
12	Section 1.115. PERFORMANCE-RELATED DISMISSAL, Dismissal FOR CAUSE, and Discipline of
13	employees in the classified service.
14	(a) Dismissal from the Classified Service for Unsatisfactory Performance. An appointing
15	authority may dismiss a classified employee if:
16	(1) The employee's work performance is unsatisfactory;
17	(2) In accordance with procedures in the employee manual, the appointing authority has
18	issued a written warning to the employee;
19	(3) After receiving the warning, the employee has an opportunity to meet the performance
20	standards for the position; and
21	(4) The employee's performance continues to be unsatisfactory.
22	(b) Immediate Dismissal for Cause. An appointing authority may immediately dismiss a
23	classified employee if the employee engages in conduct within the following categories:
24	(1) Dishonesty, including misuse of money or property, theft, or making false statements;
25	(2) Insubordination, including failure or refusal to follow legitimate or lawful directions or
26	orders;
27	(3) Violation of County policy, including any policy to which an employee is made subject
28	as a corollary of employment;
29	(4) Misconduct, including negligence, tardiness, unauthorized absence, illegal activities,
30	conviction of a felony or crime of moral turpitude, or any behavior which endangers
31	other employees or County property; or
32	(5) Accepting for personal use any fee, gift, or other thing of value in connection with or
33	during the course of County employment if given to the employee by any person with the

1	hope or expectation of receiving a favor or better treatment than that accorded to other
2	persons, and other conduct that violates the County's ethics law.
3	(c) Discipline in Lieu of Dismissal. In lieu of dismissal, an appointing authority may take any or
4	all of the following disciplinary actions against a classified employee:
5	(1) Give the employee a written reprimand;
6	(2) With the approval of the Personnel Officer, demote the employee to a lower pay grade or
7	to a reduced level of pay in the same grade;
8	(3) Direct the suspension of the employee's accrual of annual or personal leave for a period
9	not to exceed one year; or
10	(4) Suspend the employee without pay.
11	
12	Section 1.119 Non-Disciplinary Non-DISCIPLINARY Separations [[other than dismissal]].
13	(D) DISMISSAL FOR INABILITY TO PERFORM ESSENTIAL FUNCTIONS. EXCEPT AS PROVIDED IN
14	SUBSECTION (E) OF THIS SUBSECTION SECTION, AN APPOINTING AUTHORITY MAY DISMISS A
15	CLASSIFIED EMPLOYEE IF:
16	(1) A physician or mental health provider <u>Health Care Provider</u> has determined
17	THAT THE EMPLOYEE IS NOT PHYSICALLY OR MENTALLY ABLE OF PERFORMING THE
18	ESSENTIAL DUTIES OF THE EMPLOYEE'S POSITION;. FOR THE PURPOSES OF THIS SECTION,
19	HEALTH CARE PROVIDER MEANS A LICENSED DOCTOR OF MEDICINE OR OSTEOPATHY WHO
20	IS AUTHORIZED TO PRACTICE MEDICINE OR SURGERY AS WELL AS LICENSED PODIATRISTS,
21	DENTISTS, CLINICAL PSYCHOLOGISTS, CLINICAL SOCIAL WORKERS AND OPTOMETRISTS;
22	(2) THE COUNTY HAS DETERMINED THAT THERE ARE NO REASONABLE ACCOMMODATIONS,
23	INCLUDING OTHER AVAILABLE VACANT POSITIONS FOR WHICH THE EMPLOYEE WOULD
24	QUALIFY; AND
25	(3) THE EMPLOYEE HAS BEEN GIVEN THE OPPORTUNITY TO PURSUE RETIREMENT OR DISABILITY
26	OPTIONS WHICH MAY BE AVAILABLE TO THE EMPLOYEE.
27	(E) OPTION FOR SECOND OPINION ON EVALUATION OF INABILITY TO PERFORM ESSENTIAL FUNCTIONS.
28	Before an
29	(1) BEFORE AN EMPLOYEE IS DISMISSED UNDER SUBSECTION (D) OF THIS SECTION, THE
30	EMPLOYEE MAY OBTAIN A SECOND MEDICAL OPINION ON EVALUATION BY A HEALTH CARE
31	PROVIDER OF THE EMPLOYEE'S ARILITY TO PERFORM THE ESSENTIAL DUTIES OF THE

Т	EMPLOYEE 5 POSITION. IF THE SECOND OPINION EVALUATION FINDS THAT THE EMPLOYEE	
2	HAS THE ABILITY TO PERFORM THE ESSENTIAL DUTIES, THE COUNTY MAY OBTAIN A THIRD	
3	MEDICAL OPINION, FROM AN INDEPENDENT SOURCE, AND THE COUNTY SHALL RELY ON THE	
4	THIRD OPINION IN ITS DETERMINATION UNDER THIS SECTION. ACCEPT THE SECOND	
5	EVALUATION OR THE COUNTY MAY SEEK A THIRD EVALUATION IN ACCORDANCE WITH	
6	SUBSECTION (F) OF THIS SECTION.	
7		
0	(2) The Country grant have for all goods by girling for the second five the first second for the	
8	(2) THE COUNTY SHALL PAY FOR ALL COSTS INCURRED FOR THE SECOND EVALUATION IF:	
9	(I) THE COST OF THE SECOND EVALUATION IS NOT COVERED BY THE	
LO	EMPLOYEE'S HEALTH INSURANCE; AND	
l1	(II) THE EMPLOYEE DEMONSTRATES THAT THE EMPLOYEE CANNOT AFFORD THE	
L2	COST OF THE SECOND EVALUATION.	
L3		
L4	(F) THIRD EVALUATION. IF THE COUNTY DETERMINES THAT A THIRD EVALUATION IS NECESSARY,	
L5	THE COUNTY SHALL OBTAIN A THIRD EVALUATION FROM AN INDEPENDENT, BOARD CERTIFIED	
L6	HEALTH CARE PROVIDER:	
L7	(1) WHO SHALL BE MUTUALLY AGREED TO BY THE EMPLOYEE AND THE COUNTY;	
L8	(2) WHOSE COSTS SHALL BE PAID BY THE COUNTY; AND	
19	(3) WHOSE OPINION SHALL BE BINDING ON THE PARTIES.	
20	(G) EMPLOYEES COVERED BY COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AGREEMENTS. A DISMISSAL UNDER	
21	THIS SECTION IS SUBJECT TO THE GRIEVANCE PROCEDURE FOR A TERMINATION UNDER AN	
22	APPLICABLE COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AGREEMENT OR THE APPEAL PROCESS PROVIDED IN	
23	SECTION 1.500 OF THE HOWARD COUNTY CODE AND THE APPEALS SECTION OF THE HOWARD	
24	COUNTY EMPLOYEE MANUAL, AS APPLICABLE.	
. –	(v) Every country was governor by Covy name Discovers Assessed Assessed	
25	(H) EMPLOYEES NOT COVERED BY COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AGREEMENTS. A DISMISSAL UNDER THIS	
26	SECTION IS SUBJECT TO THE APPEAL PROCESS PROVIDED IN SECTION 1.500 OF THE HOWARD	

- 1 COUNTY CODE AND THE APPEALS SECTION OF THE HOWARD COUNTY EMPLOYEE MANUAL, AS
- APPLICABLE.

3

- 4 Section 2. And Be It Further Enacted by the County Council of Howard County, Maryland that
- 5 this Act shall become effective 61 days after its enactment.