Introduced 3/5/8
Public Hearing 3/9/8
Council Action 4/8
Executive Action 8/8

County Council of Howard County, Maryland

2018 Legislative Session

Legislative Day No. 3

Bill No. <u>14</u>-2018

Introduced by: The Chairperson at the request of the County Executive

AN ACT allowing for the dismissal of classified employees in certain instances; and generally relating to Human Resources provisions in the County Code.

Introduced and read first time March 5, 2018. Or	By order Jessica Feldmark, Administrator
Having been posted and notice of time & place of hearing & title of second time at a public hearing on March Tabled 4/2/18 Extended 4 tabled 5/7/18	of Bill having been published according to Charter, the Bill was read for a, 2018. By order
This Bill was read the third time on 4, 2018 and Pas	sed, Passed with amendments, Failed
	By order Jessica feldmark, Administrator
Sealed with the County Seal and presented to the County Execution	By order Jessica Feldmark, Administrator
Approved/Vetoed by the County Executive	_, 2018 Allan H. Kittleman, County Executive

NOTE: [[text in brackets]] indicates deletions from existing law; TEXT IN SMALL CAPITALS indicates additions to existing law; Strike-out indicates material deleted by amendment; Underlining indicates material added by amendment

1	Section 1. Be It Enacted by the County Council of Howard County, Maryland, that the Howard
2	County Code is amended as follows:
3	1. By amending Section 1.115 "Dismissal and Discipline of employees in the classified service"
4	
5	2. By adding Subsection (d) and Subsection (e) to Section 1.119 "Non-Disciplinary Separations
6	other than dismissal"
7	
8	Title 1. Human Resources.
9	Subtitle 1. Human Resources Administration.
10	Part II. Merit System.
11	
12	Section 1.115. PERFORMANCE-RELATED DISMISSAL, Dismissal FOR CAUSE, and Discipline of
13	employees in the classified service.
14	(a) Dismissal from the Classified Service for Unsatisfactory Performance. An appointing
15	authority may dismiss a classified employee if:
16	(1) The employee's work performance is unsatisfactory;
17	(2) In accordance with procedures in the employee manual, the appointing authority has
18	issued a written warning to the employee;
19	(3) After receiving the warning, the employee has an opportunity to meet the performance
20	standards for the position; and
21	(4) The employee's performance continues to be unsatisfactory.
22	(b) Immediate Dismissal for Cause. An appointing authority may immediately dismiss a
23	classified employee if the employee engages in conduct within the following categories:
24	(1) Dishonesty, including misuse of money or property, theft, or making false statements;
25	(2) Insubordination, including failure or refusal to follow legitimate or lawful directions or
26	orders;
27	(3) Violation of County policy, including any policy to which an employee is made subject
28	as a corollary of employment;
29	(4) Misconduct, including negligence, tardiness, unauthorized absence, illegal activities,
30	conviction of a felony or crime of moral turpitude, or any behavior which endangers
31	other employees or County property; or
32	(5) Accepting for personal use any fee, gift, or other thing of value in connection with or
33	during the course of County employment if given to the employee by any person with the

ake any or
ake any or
ny grade or
a period
smissal]].
IN
S A
ERMINED
HE
ECTION,
ATHY WHO
IATRISTS,
TRISTS;
TIONS,
OULD
DISABILITY
UNCTIONS.
HE
ALTH CARE
тне

1	EMPLOYEE'S POSITION. IF THE SECOND OPINION EVALUATION FINDS THAT THE EMPLOYEE	
2	HAS THE ABILITY TO PERFORM THE ESSENTIAL DUTIES, THE COUNTY MAY OBTAIN A THIRD	
3	MEDICAL OPINION, FROM AN INDEPENDENT SOURCE, AND THE COUNTY SHALL RELY ON THE	
4	THIRD OPINION IN ITS DETERMINATION UNDER THIS SECTION. ACCEPT THE SECOND	
5	EVALUATION OR THE COUNTY MAY SEEK A THIRD EVALUATION IN ACCORDANCE WITH	
6	SUBSECTION (F) OF THIS SECTION.	
7		
8	(2) THE COUNTY SHALL PAY FOR ALL COSTS INCURRED FOR THE SECOND EVALUATION IF:	
9	(I) THE COST OF THE SECOND EVALUATION IS NOT COVERED BY THE	
10	EMPLOYEE'S HEALTH INSURANCE; AND	
11	(II) THE EMPLOYEE DEMONSTRATES THAT THE EMPLOYEE CANNOT AFFORD THE	
12	COST OF THE SECOND EVALUATION.	
40		
13		
14	(F) THIRD EVALUATION. IF THE COUNTY DETERMINES THAT A THIRD EVALUATION IS NECESSARY,	
15	THE COUNTY SHALL OBTAIN A THIRD EVALUATION FROM AN INDEPENDENT, BOARD CERTIFIED	
16	HEALTH CARE PROVIDER:	
17	(1) Who shall be mutually agreed to by the employee and the County;	
18	(2) Whose costs shall be paid by the County; and	
19	(3) WHOSE OPINION SHALL BE BINDING ON THE PARTIES.	
20 [.]	(G) EMPLOYEES COVERED BY COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AGREEMENTS. A DISMISSAL UNDER	
21	THIS SECTION IS SUBJECT TO THE GRIEVANCE PROCEDURE FOR A TERMINATION UNDER AN	
22	APPLICABLE COLLECTIVE BARGAINING AGREEMENT OR THE APPEAL PROCESS PROVIDED IN	
23	SECTION 1.500 OF THE HOWARD COUNTY CODE AND THE APPEALS SECTION OF THE HOWARD	
24	COUNTY EMPLOYEE MANUAL, AS APPLICABLE.	
25	(H) Employees not covered by Collective Bargaining Agreements. A dismissal under this	
26	SECTION IS SUBJECT TO THE APPEAL PROCESS PROVIDED IN SECTION 1.500 OF THE HOWARD	

- 1 COUNTY CODE AND THE APPEALS SECTION OF THE HOWARD COUNTY EMPLOYEE MANUAL, AS
- 2 <u>APPLICABLE</u>.

3

- 4 Section 2. And Be It Further Enacted by the County Council of Howard County, Maryland that
- 5 this Act shall become effective 61 days after its enactment.

BY THE COUNCIL

This Bill, having been approved by the Executive and returned to the Council, stands enacted on , 2018.
Jessica Feldmark, Administrator to the County Council
BY THE COUNCIL
This Bill, having been passed by the yeas and nays of two-thirds of the members of the Council notwithstanding the objections of the Executive, stands enacted on
Jessica Feldmark, Administrator to the County Council
BY THE COUNCIL
This Bill, having received neither the approval nor the disapproval of the Executive within ten days of its presentation, stands enacted on
Jessica Feldmark, Administrator to the County Council
BY THE COUNCIL
This Bill, not having been considered on final reading within the time required by Charter, stands failed for want of consideration on, 2018.
Jessica Feldmark, Administrator to the County Council
BY THE COUNCIL
This Bill, having been disapproved by the Executive and having failed on passage upon consideration by the Council stands failed on, 2018.
Jessica Feldmark, Administrator to the County Council
BY THE COUNCIL
This Bill, the withdrawal of which received a vote of two-thirds (2/3) of the members of the Council, is withdrawn from further consideration on, 2018.
Jessica Feldmark, Administrator to the County Council