

Sayers, Margery

From: Ted Cochran <tedcochran55409@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, October 29, 2018 12:07 PM
To: CouncilMail
Subject: CB75-2018

Greetings,

Please table or kill this legislation. We do not need to micromanage the Historic Preservation Commission, and the last meeting of these council members should certainly should not be the opportunity for making sweeping changes to the rulebook.

Sincerely,

Ted Cochran
Columbia, MD.

Sayers, Margery

From: Tammy Bean <saveoldec@aol.com>
Sent: Wednesday, October 24, 2018 3:51 PM
To: CouncilMail
Subject: Flood Mitigation Plan

To Whom it May Concern,

After spending upwards of 50 million dollars on a plan that allows for 4-6 feet of what is classified as "swift water" to flood the area and put lives in danger, the very thought of this plan having support seems preposterous. Even after 5 years the fact is that lives will still be put in harms way. The flat out lie by Mr. Fox about an open cut on CSX tracks shows his lack of knowledge on Design and Construction Standards by CSX. The flat out lie " We can't stop the flooding from happening altogether, but we can make it so that it's not going to be a threat to human life." is a staggering lie at best. That lie alone can endanger lives by giving people a false sense of safety! The building that's being allowed to continue and add more water to the flooding issue shows that the county is choosing developer money OVER lives. The decision on how to move forward needs to be left to the new council. The studies have been done, we all know that we do not have the time for more studies. Start the upstream mitigation plans; and not just 3% of what has been shown will make a difference. Leave Main Street to the new council, they are the ones that will have to deal with this....not you.

Thank you,
T.

Sayers, Margery

From: Dan Finkelstein <danf879@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, October 24, 2018 11:08 AM
To: CouncilMail
Subject: CB75-2018

I write again in strong opposition to a bill, a truly despicable attempt to over-ride important historical checks and balances on a county council drunk with power and obsessed with a badly thought out plan for Maryland's most historic treasure.

The text of the bill is dangerous, requiring the HCHPC to approve certificates of demolition if the project is "necessary to protect against threats to public safety." This language is terribly broad, undermines the Council's independent work, and above all, unnecessary. Why was this even introduced? Is there a pressing need, putting the Ellicott City plan aside? Of course not. It's an attempt to make and end-run around the commission to get the much-ballyhoed EC plan implemented with a minimum of troublesome paperwork.

The fact this bill was introduced when it was is infuriating, evidence of politics at its absolute worst. All involved should be ashamed of themselves and by all means, please expect to see this come back up to bite you when you all attempt to seek higher public office in the future.

Sincerely,

Dan Finkelstein
Trail View Drive