County Council Of Howard County, Maryland

2013 Legislative Session

Legislative Day No. 14

Resolution No. 168 -2013

Introduced by: The Chairperson at the request of the County Executive

A RESOLUTION pursuant to Sections 16.301 and 16.200(a) of the Howard County Code, granting a variance for government uses from the structure and use setback for a proposed athletic field and fence at Troy Park.

December 2-2013. Introduced and read first time _

By order

Read for a second time at a public hearing on <u><u>Autorulus</u> 14, 2013.</u>

By order Sheila M. Tolliver, Administrator

This Resolution was read the third time and was Adopted ., Adopted with amendments___, Failed___, Withdrawn___, by the County Council

, 2014. on

Certified By Sheila M. Tolliver, Administrator

NOTE: [[text in brackets]] indicates deletions from existing law; TEXT IN SMALL CAPITALS indicates additions to existing law; Strike-out indicates material deleted by amendment; Underlining indicates material added by amendment

1	WHEREAS, Section 16.200(a) and Section 16.301 of the Howard County Code provides
2	that variances for governmental uses from the strict application of the zoning regulations are
3	granted by the County Council by Resolution following a public hearing; and
4	
5	WHEREAS, the County has proposed to construct athletic field S-3 and a fence, with
6	attached netting, around the field (collectively, the "athletic field") as part of Troy Park, as
7	shown in the attached Exhibits A and B; and
8	
9	WHEREAS, the site is zoned M-1 (Manufacturing: Light), a category that imposes a 50
10	foot structure and use setback (building restriction line) from a public street right of way; and
11	
12	WHEREAS, the MD Route 100 Ramp to Interstate 95 is adjacent to the location of
13	proposed athletic field; and
14	
15	WHEREAS, the athletic field will be set 26 feet above the exit ramp and will not be
16	visible from the ramp due to vegetation and slope; and
17	
18	WHEREAS, there will be a 16-foot high fence with a 35-foot high netting attachment
19	and landscape buffering to prevent balls from leaving the athletic field; and
20	
21	WHEREAS, the County proposes to encroach approximately 28 feet into the 50-foot
22	building restriction line; and
23	
24	WHEREAS, the County requests a variance from the strict application of the 50-foot
25	building restriction line in order to construct the athlete field approximately 28 feet into the
26	setback area; and
27	WHEPPEAC the Country Council for do that the managed variance from the aetheols
28	WHEREAS, the County Council finds that the proposed variance from the setback
29	(building restriction line) requirements of the M-1 district for this governmental purpose is
30 21	within the spirit and intent of the Zoning Regulations and is in the public interest.
31	

·



