County Council of Howard County, Maryland

2019 Legislative Session

Legislative Day No. 5

Resolution No. <u>15</u>-2019

Introduced by: The Chairperson at the request of the County Executive

A RESOLUTION approving a Financial Assurance Plan for Howard County's National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System, Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System permit programs, in accordance with Section 4-202.1 of the Environment Article of the Annotated Code of Maryland; and requiring certain copies be sent to the Maryland Department of the Environment.

| Introduced and read first time | By order Jessica Feldmark, Administrator |
|--|---|
| Read for a second time at a public hearing on April 22 | _, 2019. |
| | By order Jessica Feldmark, Administrator |
| This Resolution was read the third time and was Adopted V, Adopted with aron | nendments, Failed, Withdrawn, by the County Council |
| ···· <u>·············</u> | Certified By Jessica Feldmark, Administrator |
| Approved by the County Executive on | Calvin Ball, County Executive |

NOTE: [[text in brackets]] indicates deletions from existing law; TEXT IN SMALL CAPITALS indicates additions to existing law; Strike-out indicates material deleted by amendment; Underlining indicates material added by amendment

| 1 | WHEREAS, related to the Watershed Protection and Restoration Fee, Howard County is |
|----|--|
| 2 | required to file a Financial Assurance Plan ("Plan"), approved by the local governing body, with |
| 3 | the Maryland Department of the Environment ("MDE"), in accordance with § 4-202.1 of the |
| 4 | Environment Article of the Annotated Code of Maryland (the "State Law"); and |
| 5 | |
| 6 | WHEREAS, the County has worked with MDE over the past serval months to craft a Plan |
| 7 | that projects and describes actions and financial resources and costs related to stormwater |
| 8 | management in Howard County over the two-year period following the filing date of the Plan; and |
| 9 | |
| 10 | WHEREAS, previous Plans have been approved by the passage of Council Resolution No. |
| 11 | 92-2016 and Council Resolution No. 185-2015; and |
| 12 | |
| 13 | WHEREAS, the State law requires that the County file a Plan with MDE and that the |
| 14 | governing body of the County approve the Plan prior to filing with MDE. |
| 15 | |
| 16 | NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED by the County Council of Howard County, |
| 17 | Maryland, this day of, 2019, that it hereby approves the |
| 18 | Plan, substantially in the form attached, as a projection of actions, funding, and costs of Howard |
| 19 | County's stormwater management program over the two-year period following the filing date of |
| 20 | the Plan. |
| 21 | |
| 22 | AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that a copy of this Resolution and the Plan be |
| 23 | sent to the Maryland Department of the Environment, 1800 Washington Boulevard, Baltimore, |
| 24 | MD 21230. |

Howard County Financial Assurance Plan 2018: Executive Summary

Permit Overview

The National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) permit issued to Howard County, hereinafter "the County", on December 18, 2014, mandated that the County implement restoration efforts for 20% of its total impervious surface area that has not already been restored to the maximum extent practicable (MEP), by December 17, 2019. As part of the impervious area accounting and restoration process, the Maryland Department of the Environment (MDE) has allowed for each Phase I MS4 municipality to submit an updated and revised impervious baseline in year 4 of the current permit, which for Howard County is 2018. Countywide, the total County MS4 Impervious Area, or the area under Howard County jurisdiction, is 13,775.7 acres as of 2002, the baseline year. The impervious baseline treated area is 3,733.6 acres and the untreated area is 10,042.0 acres. Applying the 20% factor to the untreated area yields a 20% restoration target of 2,008.4 acres. State law requires that the County approve and file a financial assurance plan (Plan) with MDE that describes actions, revenues, and costs required to meet the 20% restoration requirement. The law also requires that the County Executive certify that a public hearing was held on the Plan and that the local governing body approved the Plan. Because a new County Executive and County Council took office on December 3, 2018, this Plan is draft until such time that the new local governing body can approve the Plan.

Howard County implemented its stormwater utility fee, the Watershed Protection and Restoration Fee (WPRF), on July 1, 2013, as required by State law. Upon implementation of the Fee and the Watershed Protection and Restoration Fund, the County increased existing efforts to plan, design, construct and monitor restoration projects necessary to meet the NPDES MS4 permit's 20% restoration goal. Per MDE guidance, all restoration projects implemented following June 10, 2010 are considered restoration that applies to the County's 20% restoration goal. From July 2010 through July 2018, the County has achieved credits for restoring 1,871.5 acres (18.6%) and has 136.9 acres remaining to treat by December 17, 2019.

Actions Required to Meet Permit

The County will continue to implement the numerous programs required by the MS4 permit. Best management practices (BMPs) will continue to be utilized to complete the restoration work and improve water quality, including stream restoration, outfall stabilization, tree planting, construction of new BMPs, retrofitting existing BMPs, street sweeping, inlet cleaning, and septic system upgrades, pumpouts, and connections to the sanitary sewer system. The County also continues to research and develop the voluminous electronic data necessary to meet the ever-expanding Geodatabase reporting requirements of the MS4 permit.

Total Permit Cost and Fund Sources

The County appreciates the importance of working to meet the restoration requirement of its permit. Utilizing current project cost estimates for restoring impervious acreage, as well as including the acres calculated to-date, the County believes that the 20% restoration requirement of its MS4 permit will cost approximately \$180,999,917 beginning in Fiscal Year (FY) 2011 through FY 2020. Over the next two fiscal years, FY 2019 and FY 2020, the County has programmed more than enough projects to meet the 20% restoration requirement and has budgeted approximately \$49,109,778 to ensure that the requirement is met. These cost estimates include the engineering design, construction, and monitoring costs associated with commencing and completing the implementation of the 20% restoration requirement.

The County will use the following revenue sources for FY 2019 and FY 2020 in funding the costs of the MS4 permit over the next two fiscal years:

Watershed Protection and Restoration Fee- The County currently collects approximately \$10.8 million from the Watershed Protection and Restoration Fee. This amount will decrease steadily over the next year, as the Fee for commercial properties continues to decline, as specified in Council Resolution 37-2016. The County will also utilize this Fund to absorb a portion of the operating costs in administering stormwater restoration projects and to provide debt service for General Obligation or Storm Water Bonds issued to fund the stormwater restoration projects.

Grants- The County typically receives funding from various State and Federal grant programs to conduct stormwater restoration work. There is also the potential to work with private entities in conducting stormwater restoration work.

Stormwater Bonds – The County will issue stormwater bonds to fund necessary stormwater restoration projects required by the MS4 permit

General Fund- The County will utilize its General Fund to absorb a portion of the operating costs in administering stormwater restoration projects.

General Obligation Bonds- The County will issue General Obligation Bonds, if necessary, to fund necessary stormwater restoration projects required by the MS4 permit.

Future Considerations

The Plan describes projected actions, revenues, and costs to meet stormwater management requirements based on the current policy and regulatory environment established by MDE. As the County moves forward in its program, it continues to gain a better understanding of the costs associated with completing the necessary stormwater restoration work. In addition, the County expects that several factors to be determined at the State level will influence the costs of meeting the MS4 permit:

Revised Accounting for Stormwater Wasteload Allocations and Impervious Acres Treated - The Chesapeake Bay Program (CBP) recently finalized the development of the Phase 6 version of the Chesapeake Bay Watershed Model (P6 Model). Changes in pollutant load modeling have a direct impact on impervious accounting since the impervious equivalencies are based on pollutant load reductions. The changes in P6 loading and reduction rates has prompted the development of draft P6 impervious acre equivalencies. It is the County's understanding that new equivalencies will be included in the forthcoming updates to MDE's Accounting for Stormwater Wasteload Allocations and Impervious Acres Treated guidance in 2019. Although, draft P6 impervious acre equivalencies are not finalized or published at this time, it is important for the County's allocation of resources and near-term budgeting to prepare for potential changes to the crediting.

Nutrient Trading Program- MDE and the Maryland Department of Agriculture dedicated considerable resources to a nutrient trading program that allows nutrient trades that will help Maryland jurisdictions meet their 20% restoration requirement in a cost-effective manner. The option of including nutrient trading to meet the 20% restoration requirement is not included in the County's Plan at this time, but may be included in the future, if necessary.

Summary

The Plan presented is a projected spending plan that will assist the County in meeting its MS4 permit restoration requirement.

| | MS4 Information |
|--|--|
| Jurisdiction | Howard County |
| Contact Name | Mark S. Richmond |
| Phone | 410-313-6413 |
| Address | 6751 Columbia Gateway Drive, Suite 514 |
| City | Columbia |
| State | MD |
| Zip | 21046 |
| Email | msrichmond@howardcountymd.gov |
| Baseline Treatment Requirement (Acres) | 2008.40 |
| Permit Num | MD0068322, 11-DP-3318 |
| Reporting Year | 2018 |

Check with MDE Geodatabase:

Should match Permit info table of Geodatabase, except for Impervious Acre Baseline-- that should match Impervious Surface Table.

Article 4-202.1(j)(1)(i)1: Actions that will be required of the county or municipality to meet the requirements of its National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Phase I Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System Permit.

20%

Note: To identify all "actions" required under the MS4 permit, provide an executive summary of the jurisdiction's MS4 programs. See MDE's FAP Guidance. For proposed actions to meet the impervious surface restoration plan, fill in the table below.

2,008 Requirement:

| REST BMP TYPE* | BMP CLASS | IMP ACRES | IMPL COST | % ISRP COMPLETE | IMPL STATUS** | PROJECTED IMPL YR |
|---|---|-----------|--------------|--------------------|--|--|
| Operational Programs | | | | | | |
| MSS | Α | 275.0 | \$400,000 | 13.7% | P | FY19 |
| MSS | A | 275.0 | \$400,000 | 13.7% | Р | FY20 |
| SDV | A | 40.0 | \$100,000 | 2.0% | Р | FY19 |
| SDV | A | 40.0 | \$100,000 | 2.0% | Р | FY20 |
| Average Operations Next Two Years (FY2019-FY2020)*** | in in in in in a in in in in a in in in in in in in in a in in in in in in in | 315.0 | \$1,000,000 | 15.7% | | A transition of the control of the c |
| Average Operations Permit Term (FY2011-FY2020)*** | | 382.1 | \$4,116,566 | 19.0% | e ha hare had a factoria de la descrita de la descrita de la descrita de la decimienta decimienta de la decimienta de | |
| Capital Projects | | | | | | |
| FBIO | S | 5.7 | \$1,667,839 | 0.3% | UC | FY19 |
| MSGW | Ε | 1,6 | \$700,000 | 0.1% | P | FY19 |
| OUT | Α | 1.1 | \$40,000 | 1.2% | P | FY19 |
| OUT | Α | 0.9 | \$265,360 | 1.2% | Р | FY19 |
| оит | Α | 2,8 | \$100,000 | 1.2% | Р | FY19 |
| OUT | Α | 2.2 | \$100,000 | 1.2% | Р | FY19 |
| OUT | A | 1.2 | \$50,000 | 1.2% | P | FY19 |
| OUT | A | 0.8 | \$50,000 | 1.2% | P | FY19 |
| OUT | A | 2.5 | \$100,000 | 1.2% | P | FY19 |
| OUT | A | 4.3 | \$100,000 | 1.2% | P | FY19 |
| | | | | | P | FY19 FY19 |
| OUT | A | 2.3 | \$100,000 | 1.2% | | |
| PWED | S | 8.9 | \$750,372 | 0.4% | UC | FY19 |
| PWET | <u>S</u> | 10.9 | \$415,078 | 0.5% | P | FY19 |
| PWET | S | 48.8 | \$657,106 | 2.4% | Р | FY19 |
| PWET | S | 2.3 | \$592,783 | 0.1% | P | FY19 |
| PWET | S | 0.4 | \$460,000 | 0.0% | Р | FY19 |
| PWET | S | 6.7 | \$650,000 | 0.3% | Р | FY19 |
| SPSC | A | 11.2 | \$1,000,000 | 0.6% | P | FY19 |
| STRE | Α | 60.3 | \$4,125,000 | 1.2% | UC | FY19 |
| STRE | Α | 36.0 | \$1,200,000 | 1.2% | Р | FY19 |
| STRE | Α | 4.0 | \$200,000 | 1.2% | Р | FY19 |
| STRE | Α | 3.8 | \$200,000 | 1.2% | Р | FY19 |
| STRE | Α | 18.5 | \$700,000 | 1.2% | Р | FY19 |
| STRE | Α | 9.9 | \$768,630 | 1.2% | Р | FY19 |
| STRE | A | 12.0 | \$627,726 | 1.2% | P | FY19 |
| STRE | A | 8.1 | \$671,874 | 1.2% | P | FY19 |
| WPWS | S | 18.9 | \$900,000 | 0.9% | P | FY19 |
| WPWS | S | 6.5 | | 0.3% | P | FY19 |
| | | | \$700,000 | | P | |
| WSHW | S | 2.8 | \$1,539,026 | 0.1% | | FY19 |
| XDPD | S | 26.1 | \$153,000 | 1.3% | UC | FY19 |
| OUT | A | 4.0 | \$100,000 | 1.2% | P | FY20 |
| PWED | S | 2.5 | \$704,560 | 0.1% | Р | FY20 |
| PWET | S | 39.1 | \$300,000 | 1.9% | Р | FY20 |
| PWET | S | 30.2 | \$300,000 | 1.5% | Р | FY20 |
| PWET | S | 27.2 | \$300,000 | 1.4% | Р | FY20 |
| PWET | S | 23.8 | \$1,841,655 | 1.2% | Р | FY20 |
| PWET | S | 4.2 | \$1,106,422 | 0.2% | Р | FY20 |
| PWET | S | 6.7 | \$1,100,000 | 0.3% | Р | FY20 |
| PWET | S | 11.2 | \$1,370,000 | 0.6% | Р | FY20 |
| SPSC | Α | 4.8 | \$200,000 | 0.2% | Р | FY20 |
| SPSC | Α | 4.2 | \$492,529 | 0.2% | Р | FY20 |
| STRE | Α | 10.9 | \$280,484 | 1.2% | P | FY20 |
| STRE | A | 5.4 | \$600,000 | 1.2% | P | FY20 |
| STRE | Ā | 4.8 | \$100,000 | 1.2% | P | FY20 |
| STRE | Ä | 48.1 | \$1,250,000 | 1.2% | P | FY20 |
| STRE | A | 4.0 | \$450,000 | 1.2% | P | FY20 |
| STRE | A | 30.4 | \$1,250,000 | 1.2% | P | FY20 |
| | | | \$1,250,000 | | P | |
| STRE | A | 7.8 | | 1.2% | | FY20 |
| STRE | A . | 1.3 | \$860,000 | 1.2% | Р | FY20 |
| STRE | A . | 19.3 | \$744,246 | 1.2% | P | FY20 |
| STRE | A | 9.4 | \$100,000 | 1.2% | P | FY20 |
| STRE | Α | 31.0 | \$1,142,085 | 1.2% | Р | FY20 |
| WPWS | S | 34.3 | \$667,893 | 1.7% | Р | FY20 |
| WPWS | S | 1.2 | \$400,000 | 0.1% | P | FY20 |
| Subtotal Capital Next Two Years (FY2019-FY2020) | | 687.1 | \$35,943,668 | 51.5% | | e sa an an an an an ar ar ar ar ar E ar ar an an an ar ar ar ar ar |
| Subtotal Capital Permit Term (FY2011-FY2020) | | 1635.8 | \$90,107,047 | 98.7% | | |

Article 4-202.1(j)(1)(i)1: Actions that will be required of the county or municipality to meet the requirements of its National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Phase I Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System Permit.

Note: To identify all "actions" required under the MS4 permit, provide an executive summary of the jurisdiction's MS4 programs. See MDE's FAP Guidance. For proposed actions to meet the impervious surface restoration plan, fill in the table below.

| Other | | | | 10150000000000000000000000000000000000 | | |
|--|---|--------|---------------|--|--|---|
| SEPD | Α | 32.5 | \$480,000 | 1.6% | Р | FY19 |
| SEPP | Α | 20.0 | \$0 | 1.0% | Р | FY19 |
| SEPP | Α | 20.0 | \$0 | 1.0% | Р | FY20 |
| SEPC | Α | 3.9 | \$200,000 | 0.2% | Р | FY19 |
| SEPC | Α | 3.9 | \$200,000 | 0.2% | Р | FY20 |
| MMBR | Ε | 0.2 | \$0 | 0.0% | Р | FY19 |
| MMBR | E | 0.2 | \$0 | 0.0% | Р | FY19 |
| MMBR | E | 0.1 | \$0 | 0.0% | Р | FY19 |
| MMBR | Е | 0,3 | \$0 | 0.0% | Р | FY19 |
| MMBR | Ε | 0.3 | \$0 | 0.0% | Р | FY19 |
| MMBR | E | 0.3 | \$0 | 0.0% | Р | FY19 |
| MMBR | E | 0.2 | \$0 | 0.0% | Р | FY19 |
| MMBR | Е | 0.3 | \$0 | 0.0% | Р | FY19 |
| MMBR | E | 0.3 | \$0 | 0.0% | Р | FY19 |
| MMBR | E | 0.1 | \$0 | 0.0% | Р | FY19 |
| MMBR | E | 0.2 | \$0 | 0.0% | Р | FY19 |
| MMBR | E | 0.3 | \$0 | 0.0% | Р | FY19 |
| MMBR | E | 0.3 | \$0 | 0.0% | Р | FY19 |
| MMBR | E | 0.2 | \$0 | 0.0% | P | FY19 |
| MMBR | E | 0.2 | \$0 | 0.0% | P | FY19 |
| MMBR | E | 0.2 | \$0 | 0.0% | P | FY19 |
| MIDW | E | 0.0 | \$0 | 0.0% | P | FY19 |
| MIDW | E | 0.0 | \$0 | 0.0% | Р | FY19 |
| MIDW | E | 0.0 | \$0 | 0.0% | P | FY19 |
| MIDW | E | 0.0 | \$0 | 0.0% | P | FY19 |
| MIDW | E | 0.0 | \$0 | 0.0% | P | FY19 |
| MIDW | E | 0.0 | \$0 | 0.0% | P | FY19 |
| MIDW | E | 0.0 | \$0 | 0.0% | P | FY19 |
| MIDW | E | 0.0 | \$0 | 0.0% | P | FY19 |
| MSWB | E | 0.1 | \$0 | 0.0% | ρ | FY19 |
| MMBR | E | 0.1 | \$0 | 0.0% | P | FY19 |
| MMBR | E | 0.2 | \$0 | 0.0% | P | FY19 |
| MSGW | Ε | 4.0 | \$65,200 | 0.2% | Р | FY19 |
| MIDW | E | 0.5 | \$6,540 | 0.0% | P | FY19 |
| MRNG | E | 0.0 | \$0 | 0.0% | P | FY19 |
| MRNG | E | 0.0 | \$0 | 0.0% | P | FY19 |
| MRNG | E | 0.0 | \$0 | 0.0% | P | FY19 |
| MRNG | E | 0.1 | \$0 | 0.0% | P | FY19 |
| MRNG | E | 0.1 | \$0 | 0.0% | P | FY19 |
| MRNG | E | 0.1 | \$0 | 0.0% | P | FY19 |
| MRNG | Ē | 0.1 | \$0 | 0.0% | P | FY19 |
| MRNG | E | 0.0 | \$0 | 0.0% | Р | FY19 |
| MRNG | E | 0.0 | \$0 | 0.0% | Р | FY19 |
| MRNG | E | 0.0 | \$0 | 0.0% | P | FY19 |
| MRNG | E | 0.1 | \$0 | 0.0% | P | FY19 |
| MRNG | E | 0.0 | \$0 | 0.0% | Р | FY19 |
| MRNG | E | 0.0 | \$0 | 0.0% | P | FY19 |
| MRNG | E | 0.0 | \$0 | 0.0% | P | FY19 |
| MRNG | E | 0.0 | \$0 | 0.0% | P | FY19 |
| | E | 0.0 | \$0 | 0.0% | P | FY19 |
| MRNG FBIO | S | 0.1 | \$0 | 0.0% | P | FY19 |
| Subtotal Other Next Two Years | | 89.4 | \$951,740 | 4.4% | | |
| (FY2019-FY2020) Subtotal Other Permit Term (FY2011-FY2020) | | 613.6 | \$13,077,904 | 30.6% | | |
| Total Next Two Years (FY2019-FY2020) | en de la perendición de la company la companya de la companya de l | 1091.4 | \$37,895,408 | 71.6% | na koji poje sera joje koji koji de ine ine ine na koje koji koji koji de ine ine ine ine na koji koji koji koji koji na ine | u de la lacida de la lacida de lacida el lacida de lacida de lacida de lacida el lacida de lacida de lacida de lacida |
| Total Permit Term (FY2011-FY2020) | | 2631.5 | \$107,301,517 | 148.3% | | |

Check with MDE Geodatabase:

Type, class, impervious acres, implementation cost and implementation status should match the various geodatabase tables for BMPs (AltBMPLine, AltBMPPoint, AltBMPPoly, and RestBMP)-- aggregated by type and status.

^{*}Use BMP domains from MDE Geodatabase.

^{**}Complete, Under Construction, Planning, or Proposed

^{***}IMPL COST is a summation and not an average.

Article 4-202.1(j)(1)(i)1: Actions that will be required of the county or municipality to meet the requirements of its National Pollutant Discharge Elimination

System Phase I Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System Permit.

Note: To identify all "actions" required under the MS4 permit, provide an executive summary of the jurisdiction's MS4 programs. See MDE's FAP Guidance. For proposed actions to meet the impervious surface restoration plan, fill in the table below.

APRP Permeable Pavements

FBIO Bioretention
FSND Sand Filter
FUND Underground Filter
IBAS Infiltration Basin

IMPP Impervious Surface Elimination (to pervious)

Dry Swale

MIDW Dry Well
MMBR Micro-Bioretention
MRNG Rain Gardens
MSWB Bio-Swale
MSWG Grass Swale

odsw

WEDW Extended Detention - Wetland
PWED Extended Detention Structure, Wet

PWET Retention Pond (Wet Pond)
WPWS Wet Pond - Wetland
SPSC Step Pool Storm Conveyance
UGS Underground Storage

WSHW Shallow Marsh

XDED Extended Detention Structure, Dry

SEPP Septic Pumping
SEPD Septic Denitrification
SEPC Septic Connections to WWTP

MSS Mechanical Street Sweeping
SDV Storm Drain Vacuuming

FPU Planting Trees or Forestation on Previous Urban
STRE Stream Restoration

STRE Stream Restoration
OUT Outfall Stabilization

Article 4-202.1(j)(1)(!)2: Projected annual and 5-year costs for the county or municipality to meet the impervious surface restoration plan requirements of its National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Phase I Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System Permit.

| | PAST | CURRENT | PROJECTED | PROJECTED | PROJECTED | PROJECTED | PROJECTED | TOTAL | | | | | | | |
|---|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|---------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| | UP THRU | YEAR | YEAR 1 | YEAR 2 | YEAR 3 | YEAR 4 | YEAR 5 | COSTS | | | | | | | |
| DESCRIPTION | FY 2017 | FY 2018 | FY 2019 | FY 2020 | FY 2021 | FY 2022 | FY 2023 | | | | | | | | |
| Operating Expenditures (costs) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Street Sweeping Program | weeping Program \$2,672,865 \$227,074 \$400,000 \$400,000 paning \$119,970 \$96,657 \$100,000 \$100,000 provice Payment \$0 \$0 \$550,000 please stipulate program expenditure)* \$650,000 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Inlet Cleaning | eaning \$119,970 \$96,657 \$100,000 \$100,000 crvice Payment \$0 \$0 \$550,000 \$650,000 crvice Payment \$0 \$0 \$0 \$550,000 crvice Payment \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$000,000 crvice Payment \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$000,000 crvice Payment \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$000,000 crvice Payment \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 \$0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Debt Service Payment | \$0 | \$0 | \$550,000 | \$650,000 | | 1 | | \$1,200,000 | | | | | | | |
| Other (please stipulate program expenditure)* | | | | | | | | \$0 | | | | | | | |
| Capital Expenditures (costs) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total capital expenditures | \$52,653,000 | \$19,150,000 | \$14,015,000 | \$11,150,000 | - | - | - | \$96,968,000 | | | | | | | |
| Subtotal operation and paygo: | \$55,445,835 | \$19,473,731 | \$15,065,000 | \$12,300,000 | | | | \$102,284,566 | | | | | | | |
| Total expenditures: | \$55,445,835 | \$19,473,731 | \$15,065,000 | \$12,300,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$102,284,566 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | Total ISRP co | sts except debt service: | \$101,084,566 | | | | | | | |
| | | | | Co | mpare ISRP costs (exce | pt debt service) / total | ISRP proposed actions: | 94% | | | | | | | |

Check with MDE Geodatabase:
The total current FY 2018 expenditure should be less than the combined total of the "OP_cost" and "CAP_Cost" fields in the fiscal analyses table of the geodatabase.
The total projected FY 2019 expenditure should be less than the combined total of the "OP_budget" and "CAP_budget" fields in the fiscal analyses table of the geodatabase.
*Insert additional rows as necessary.

Article 4-202.1(j)[1](i)3: Projected annual and 5-year revenues or other funds that will be used to meet the cost for the county or municipality to meet the impervious surface restoration plan requirements under the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Phase I Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System Permit.

| DESCRIPTION | PAST UP THRU FY 2017 | CURRENT YEAR FY 2018 | PROJECTED YEAR 1 FY 2019 | PROJECTED YEAR 2 FY 2020 | PROJECTED YEAR 3 FY 2021 | PROJECTED YEAR 4 FY 2022 | PROJECTED YEAR 5 FY 2023 | TOTAL NEXT 2-YEARS FY 19-20* | TOTAL |
|------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|--------------|
| Annual Revenue** | | | | | | | | | |
| Appropriated for | | | | | | | | | |
| ISRP | \$52,653,000 | \$19,150,000 | \$14,015,000 | \$11,150,000 | | | | \$25,165,000 | \$96,968,000 |
| Annual Costs | | | | | | | | | |
| towards ISRP*** | \$52,653,000 | \$19,150,000 | \$14,015,000 | \$11,150,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$25,165,000 | \$96,968,000 |

Compare revenue appropriated / annual costs:
WPRP 2018 Reporting Criteria

100%

100%

ISRP = Impervious Surface Restoration Program, or 20% Restoration Requirement

^{*} Article 4-202.1(j)(2): Demonstration that county or municipality has sufficient funding in the current fiscal year and subsequent fiscal year budgets to meet its estimated cost for the 2-year period immediately following the filing date of the FAP. Note that the appropriations and expenditures include time period up to FY 2020.

** Revenue means "dedicated revenues, funds, or sources of funds (per Article 4-202.1(j)(4)(ii). Note that budget appropriations have only been approved by governing bodies through FY 2018 at the time of FAP

reporting.

*** See table of ISRP Cost.

Article 4-202.1(j)(1)(i)4: Any sources of funds that will be utilized by the county or municipality to meet the requirements of its National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Phase I Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System Permit.

| | | PAST | | CURRENT | | PROJECTED | - | PROJECTED YEAR 2 | | PROJECTED YEAR 3 | PROJECTED YEAR 4 | | PROJECTED YEAR 5 | TOTAL PERMIT |
|--|-----|---------------|-----|-----------------|------|-----------------|-----|---------------------|----|---------------------|---------------------|----|---------------------|-------------------------------|
| 1 | | UP THRU | | YEAR | | YEAR 1 | | FY 2020 | | FY 2021 | FY 2022 | | FY 2023 | CYCLE |
| SOURCE | | FY 2017 | | FY 2018 | _ | FY 2019 | | FY 2020 | _ | FT 2021 | FT ZUZZ | ┞ | FY 2023 | CTCLE |
| Paygo Sources | | | | | | | | | | | | l | | |
| Stormwater Remediation Fees for Capital (WPR Fund) | \$ | 30,492,000 | \$ | 10,450,000 | \$ | 10,665,000 | \$ | 2,150,000 | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ 53,757,000 |
| General Fund (Operating) | \$ | 12,810,000 | \$ | 1,830,000 | \$ | 1,830,000 | \$ | 1,830,000 | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ 18,300,000 |
| Stormwater Remediation Fees for Operating (WPR Fund) | \$ | 14,935,839 | \$ | 4,891,300 | \$ | 5,141,358 | \$ | 5,193,420 | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ 30,161, 9 17 |
| General Fund (Capital) | \$ | 2,650,000 | | | L., | | | | \$ | - | \$ | \$ | - | \$ 2,650,000 |
| Subtotal Paygo Sources | \$ | 60,887,839 | \$ | 17,171,300 | \$ | 17,636,358 | \$ | 9,173,420 | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ 104,868,917 |
| Debt Service (paygo sources will be used to pay off debt service. Note t | hat | previous appr | opr | iations for del | ot s | ervice used for | ISR | P is listed in FY | 20 | 17). | | | | |
| General Obligation Bonds | \$ | 25,371,000 | \$ | 750,000 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ 26,121,000 |
| Stormwater Revenue (Utility) Bonds | \$ | - | \$ | 8,100,000 | \$ | 11,300,000 | \$ | 6,900,000 | \$ | | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ 26,300,000 |
| State Revolving Loan Fund | \$ | 3,200,000 | \$ | 1,000,000 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ 4,200,000 |
| Subtotal Debt Service | \$ | 28,571,000 | \$ | 9,850,000 | \$ | 11,300,000 | \$ | 6,900,000 | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ 56,621,000 |
| Grants and Partnerships (no payment is expected) | | | | | | | | | | | | l | | |
| State funded grants | \$ | 11,260,000 | \$ | 3,650,000 | \$ | 2,000,000 | \$ | 2,100,000 | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ 19,010,000 |
| Federal funded grants | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ - |
| Public-private partnership (matched grant) | \$ | 500,000 | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ 500,000 |
| Subtotal Grants and Partnerships | \$ | 11,760,000 | \$ | 3,650,000 | \$ | 2,000,000 | \$ | 2,100,000 | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ 19,510,000 |
| Total Annual Sources of Funds | \$ | 101,218,839 | \$ | 30,671,300 | \$ | 30,936,358 | \$ | 18,173,420 | \$ | - | \$ - | \$ | - | \$ 180,999,917 |
| Percent of Funds Directed Toward ISRP | I | 100.00% | | 90.00% | | 100.00% | | 100.00% | | 100.00% | 100.00% | | 100.00% | |

Compare total permit term paygo ISRP costs / subtotal permit term paygo sources: Compare total permit term ISRP costs / total permit term annual sources of funds: 98% 57%

Check with MDE Geodatabase:

The total sources related to WPR Funds in Current FY 2018 should march the "WPR_Fund" field of the geodatabase.

^{*} WPR Fund: Watershed Protection and Restoration Fund.

| HO17RST900029 HO15RST900322 | HO16RST900400 HO15RST900014 | HO16RST900026 | HO16RST900021 | HO17RST900492 | HO17RST90002B HO17RST900493 | HO17RST900515 | HO17RST900348 | HO18RST901535 | HO11RST900059 | HO12RST900063 | HO12RST900060 | HO15RST900017 | HO18ALN000136 | HO18AUN000150 | HO18ALN000135 | HO18ALN000118 | HO18ALN000119 | HO17ALN000132 | HO18ALN000010 | HO18ALN000009 | HO17ALN000134 | HO17ALN000068 | HO17ALN000133 | HO15ALN000080 | HOTSALNOODOGG | HO17ALN000060 | HO17ALN000061 | HO16ALN000095 | HO16ALN000097 | HO16ALN000099 | HO16ALN000098 | HO15ALN000093 | HO14ALN000092 | HO14ALN000088 | HO14ALN000081 | HO13ALN000067 | HO13ALN000085 | HO12ALN000084 | HO12ALN000082 | HO12ALN000064 | HO12ALN000063 | HO12ALN000087 | HO12ALN000070 | HO10ALN000072 | HO10ALN000073 | HO14RST900048 | HO14RST900050 | HO14RST900051 | HO13RST900054 | HO13RST900055 | HO14RST900053 | HO11RST900043 | HO13RST900057 | HO17RST900S13 | HO10RST900038 | HO118ST900357 | HO11RST900062 | HO11RST900056 | HO15RST900313 | H015RST900314 | HO15RST900316 | HO16RST900030 | HO13RST900045 | HO17RST900345 | Canital Projects | Average Operations | | | | | | T | Operational ryog. | Operational Programs | REST BMP ID | Baseline: |
|--|---------------------------------------|---|---------------|---------------|--------------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|---------------------|---------------|-------------------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-------------------------|---------------|----------------|------------------|---------------|---------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|------------------|----------------|----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|----------------------|---------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|-------------------------|---------------|---------------|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------------|------------------|--------------------|--------------|------------|---------------|------------|------------|----------------|-------------------|----------------------|-----------------|--------------|
| SPSC SPSC | PWET | PWET | PWET | PWET | PWET | PWET | PWET | PWET | PWED | PWED | PWED | PWED | TUO | OUT | OUT | TUO | OUT | PI PI | OUT | OUT | TUO | 100 | TUO | OUT | 051 | TUO | OUT | OUT | OUT | OUT | оит | OUT | OUT OUT | OUT | OUT | OUT | OUT | OUT | OUT | OUT | OUT OUT | OUT | OUT | OUT | OUT | MSWB | MMBR | MMBR | IBAS | IBAS | IBAS | FSND | FSND | FSND | FBIO | FBIO | FBIO | FBIO | FBIO | FBIO | FBIO | FBIO | APRP | PWET | | | SDV | MSS | MSS | MSS | MSS | MSS | MSS | | REST BMP TYPE | 2,008 |
| > > | s s | v v | | م د | v v | s | ۷ ، | ر د | S | s | s 0 | s | Þ | >) | > > | Þ | > > | > | Þ | > > | Þ | > > | ۸ | > ; | > | Α | > > | > > | · > | Þ | Α: | > = | > > | Þ | > 2 | > > | > ; | Þ | Þ | Α : | > > | > | > > | Α | > | | | E > | A S | s | 5 | S | <i>S</i> 0 | n s | s | ۸ ۵ | s | s s | s | S | n v | S | л m | s | | | > > | A | > 3 | A | > 2 | > > | A | CLASS | BMP | |
| 1 1 | 1 1 | 1 | | | | - | ۰ ب | , | . | 1, | - - | | 1 | , | - | | <u></u> | | ₽ | | 1 | | 1 | , 44 | | 1 | 1 | 1 | . , | ь | 1 | p. | . | ш | <u></u> | - - | 1 | | 1 | ١. | در د | 1 | - | 1 | 1 | - | . 1 | ۱, | - | 1 | - | . 1 | 1 | - | 2 | - | <u>,</u> , | - | 1 | - | | <u>,</u> | | 2 | | 728 | 85 | 7 | 7 | 7 | , , | 7 | 7 | | NUM BMP | |
| 1.5 | 5.1 | 3.1 | 5.3 | 5.8 | 2,2 | 1.1 | 27.6 | 4.3 | 3.8 | 4.2 | 2.9 | 40.7 | 1.0 | 29.5 | 0.8 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 0.8 | 0.1 | 2.7 | 0.7 | 2.7 | 0.4 | 8,0 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 1.0 | 0.1 | 4,9 | 3.3 | 2.4 | 3.4 | 2.4 | 1.4 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 4.6 | 0.9 | 1,0 | 0,8 | 0.8 | 2.1 | 0.2 | 2.9 | 2000 | 3 866 | 43.0 35.0 | 287.0 | 293.0 | 398.0 | 220.0 | 423.0 | 546.0 | | IMP ACRES | |
| 6/16/2017 11/3/2015 | 3/22/2016 4/20/2015 | 7/15/2016 | 11/22/2016 | 5/19/2017 | 5/19/2017 | 8/23/2017 | 12/10/2017 | 3/20/2018 | 12/1/2011 | 3/1/2012 | 7/1/2012 | 5/8/2015 | 5/25/2018 | 4/23/2018 | 3/20/2018 | 3/1/2018 | 3/1/2018 | 12/10/2017 | 8/29/2017 | 8/29/2017 | 5/24/2017 | 5/24/2017 | 5/19/2017 | 1/20/2017 | 12/6/2016 | 9/16/2016 | 9/16/2016 | 5/6/2016 | 2/10/2016 | 2/10/2016 | 2/10/2016 | 9/17/2015 | 7/29/2014 | 4/25/2014 | 3/26/2014 | 3/18/2013 | 1/24/2013 | 10/19/2012 | 8/16/2012 | 3/23/2012 | 3/23/2012 | 3/4/2012 | 2/17/2012 | 8/31/2010 | 8/31/2010 | 9/30/2014 | 9/30/2014 | 9/30/2014 | 5/1/2013 | 3/1/2013 | 1/30/2014 | 5/1/2011 | 5/1/2013 | 7/26/2017 | 9/1/2010 | 4/1/2011 | 5/1/2011 | 9/1/2011 | 7/21/2015 | 7/21/2015 | 7/21/2015 | 8/10/2016 | 8/23/2013 | 6/20/2018 | | | 2017 | 6/30/2018 | 6/30/2017 | 6/30/2015 | 6/30/2014 | 6/30/2012 | 6/30/2011 | | BUILT DATE | |
| \$719,114 \$100,000 | \$533,409 \$250,000 | \$600,000 | \$338,934 | \$290,609 | \$692,529 | \$401,077 | \$1,220,925 | \$723,235 | \$61,289 | \$216,336 | \$400,000 | \$1,275,340 | \$50,000 | \$377,610 | \$50,000 | \$50,000 | \$50,000 | \$50,000 | \$50,000 | \$50,000 | \$50,000 | \$50,000 | \$50,000 | \$50,000 | \$100,000 | \$50,000 | \$50,000 | \$50,000 | \$50,000 | \$50,000 | \$50,000 | \$41,905 | \$50,000 | \$50,000 | \$50,000 | \$50,000 | \$50,000 | \$50,000 | \$50,000 | \$50,000 | \$50,000 | \$50,000 | \$50,000 | \$50,000 | \$50,000 | \$70,212 | \$70,213 | \$70,213 | \$495,040 | \$495,039 | \$321,887 | \$290,547 | \$578,896 | \$662,636 | \$153,745 | 80 | \$0 | \$188,922 | \$500,000 | \$500,000 | \$500,000 | \$418,438 | \$17,751 | \$1,152,747 | DOGGOLLICO | \$3.116.566 | \$119,970 | \$227,074 | \$332,580 | \$400,000 | \$329,843 | \$411,755 | \$440,068 | | IMPL COST | |
| 0.2% 0.1% | 0.3% | 0.0% | 0.3% | 0.2% | 0.5% | 0.1% | 1.4% | 0.2% | 0.2% | 0.2% | 0.1% | 2.0% | 0.1% | 1.5% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.2% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.1% | 0.2% | 20.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0,0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.2% | 0.2% | 0.0% | 0.2% | 0.1% | 0.1% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 0.2% | 0.0% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 0.0% | 0.1% | 0.0% | 0.1% | 19,0% | 10.8% | 2.1% | 14.3% | 14.6% | 19.8% | 11.0% | 21.1% 16.8% | 27.2% | | % ISRP Complete | |
| C C | c c | c c | n | 0 | 00 | c | , c | 0 | o c | c C | c | c | C | c | c | c | 0 | C | c | 0 | 0 1 | 0 | C | 0 | C | c | ç (| C | c | C | C | 0 | C | c | 0 | 0 | c | 20 | C | 0 | 200 | C | 0 | c | c | | 5 | c | C | c | 0 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | c | c | 0 | c | 0 | c | c | 0 | c | | | 0 | C | 0 | 2 | C (| 20 | C | | IMPL STATUS | Requirement: |
| Long Meadow 2 Dorsey Hall Outfall D | Townhomes of Timberland Towering Oaks | Montgomery Run Pond 2 Longridge Knolls | Mary Lee Lane | Linden Chapel | Long Meadow 1 Golden Star #2 | Fairest Dream | Beech Creek | Junction Industrial | Saint John's Green | Waverly Woods | Salterforth | Red Cravat | Golden Star 1 | Johns Hapkins | Junction Industrial | Heatherland | Heatherland | Garrand Road | Fairest Dreams | Fairest Dreams | Murray Hill 2 | Crestleigh Drainage | Golden Star 2 | Harper's Choice Outfall | Dorsey F | Greenway 1 | Greenway 1 | Southview Road | Southview Road | Southview Road | Southview Road | Townhomes of Timberland | Rhode Valley | Falling Waters | Bill Lilly Court | Elmmede Road | Cypress Bay | Cromwell Court | Bonnie Brae | Bramhope Lane | Bramhope Lane | Edmunds Way | Meadowbrook Park | Paul Mill Road | Paul Mill Road | SFES Bioswale | SFES MB-2 | SFES MB-2B | Country Lane Pond #1 | Country Lane | Ashmede Ashmede | Stratford Downs | Oak West | Velvet Path | Cedar Lane Park - North | Savage Park | Savage Park | Dorsey Bldg Parking Lot | Ellicott City Lot E | Ellicott City Lot E | Ellicott City Lot E | Rusty Rim Pand Retrofit | Ellicott City Lot B Pymt | TWIN OAKS | | 7010 (Old) | 2017 total | 2018 total | 2017 total | 2015 total | 2014 total | 2012 total | 2011 total | | GEN COMMENTS | 20% |

| Mount Hebron HS | | | ¢5/ 163 370 | | 948.7 | 5 | | | To Date |
|--------------------------|-----|-------|-------------|------------|-------|------|---------------|--------|-----------------|
| | С | 0.0% | \$231,060 | 9/1/2012 | 0.1 | 1 | s | XDED | HO12RST900041 |
| Old Mill Pond Retrofit | С | 0.0% | \$363,355 | 11/18/2014 | 0.9 | 1 | s | XDED | HO14RST900013 |
| VRH Shallow Marsh | C | 0.2% | \$165,569 | 8/1/2010 | 3.9 | 1 | S | WHSW | HO10RST900044 |
| Cypress Bay | c | 0.3% | \$303,010 | 1/24/2013 | 6.3 | 1 | s | WHSW | HO13RST900039 |
| Wimbledon | c | 0.0% | \$309,255 | 7/17/2014 | 1.0 | _ | s | WHW | HO14RST900034 |
| Pinehurst Shallow Marsh | C | 0.1% | \$528,929 | 6/1/2015 | 1.6 | 1 | s | WHSW | HO15RST900035 |
| Whitworth Way | C | 0.4% | \$649,515 | 2/29/2016 | 8.4 | 1 | S | WHW | HO16RST900018 |
| Deep Earth Lane | C | 0.9% | \$680,353 | 11/22/2016 | 17.5 | 1 | s | WHZW | HO16RST900077 |
| Shadow Lane | c | 1.4% | \$718,740 | 2/25/2014 | 27.4 | - | s | SMdM | HOLDROTONOS |
| TVO #3 Excelsion | c | 0.2% | \$916.674 | 6/24/2016 | 2 1 | 1 | , , | ANCOAN | TOTORE SENSOR |
| Pebble Beach Pond 2 | 0 | 0.1% | \$392 190 | 6/8/2015 | 21.5 | 1 | , , | UGS | HOTERCEORGE |
| Wilde Lake HS | 0 | 0.6% | \$1 068 830 | 8/17/2016 | 170 | | n > | I SINE | UOT/HEMODOODS |
| Twin Oaks Outfall | C | 0.2% | \$50,000 | 6/20/2018 | 43 | | P 2 | STRE | HO12VY NUODORO |
| Woodlot Road | | 0.8% | \$717.030 | 4/30/2018 | 15.3 | ٠, | > 2 | STOC | TOTOMEN TOTOM |
| Starling Road | C | 1.0% | \$969,880 | 3/30/2018 | 20.4 | | D | STRE | HO18AI NDOODE |
| Longview Drive | 2 | 0.3% | \$527,839 | 3/19/2018 | 5.1 | - | > : | STRE | HO18AL NOODOLL |
| Heatherland | c | 1.3% | \$1,274,633 | 3/1/2018 | 25.3 | 1 | A | STRE | HO1841 N000117 |
| Timbers at Troy | c | 1.1% | \$1,283,855 | 3/1/2018 | 22.6 | 1 | > | STRE | HO18ALN000094 |
| Red Hill Way 2 | c | 0.2% | \$289,339 | 2/12/2018 | 4.6 | 1 | D | STRE | HO1841 N000095 |
| Dunloggin MS | C | 1.0% | \$1,088,692 | 9/1/2017 | 19.9 | 1 | A | STRE | HO1741 ND00067 |
| Churchill Way | C | 0.1% | \$231,592 | 6/13/2017 | 1.6 | 1 | Þ | STRE | HO18ALN000003 |
| нсс | C | 1.6% | \$1,025,518 | 3/29/2017 | 33.1 | 1 | Þ | STRE | HO18ALN000037 |
| Rockburn Branch G | c | 0.0% | \$50,000 | 3/1/2017 | 0.7 | 1 | Þ | STRE | HO17ALN000066 |
| Davis Branch | C | 1.3% | \$974,897 | 3/1/2017 | 26.4 | 1 | > | STRE | HO17ALN000005 |
| Rockburn Branch Park | C | 0.6% | \$1,147,050 | 3/1/2017 | 12.1 | 1 | > | STRE | HO17ALN000064 |
| Rockburn Branch E | C | 0.0% | \$50,000 | 3/1/2017 | 2.0 | 1 | Þ | STRE | HO17ALN000065 |
| Swansfield | C | 0.1% | \$59,781 | 3/1/2017 | 1.4 | 1 | Α | STRE | HO16ALN000004 |
| Dorsey Hall | C | 3.4% | \$1,178,220 | 12/6/2016 | 67.5 | 1 | A | STRE | HO15ALN000002 |
| Bonnie Branch | 0 | 0.4% | \$719,801 | 10/31/2016 | 8.7 | 1 | Α, | STRE | HO1641 N0000001 |
| Turf Valley #3 Excelsion | 0 | 0.1% | \$50.000 | 6/29/2016 | 1 : | - , | Δ, | STRE | NOTOWCHOOOTOT |
| Deep Run | c | 0.1% | \$50,000 | 3/1/2016 | 1.4 | ٠, | A 2 | 3815 | HOJENI NIGORIOI |
| Shallow Run | | 0.3% | 25,412,001 | 9100/1/16 | 3,0 | • | • • | N. K. | HO16ALNUUUU96 |
| Southwiew Board | | 0.00 | 61 419 901 | crozicie | 0.0 | | . 3 | SIR. | HOISALNOOOGO |
| D-1163Trotter Road | | 0.0% | \$100,000 | 5102/1/6 | 0.0 | | > > | STRE | HOISALNOOOOOB |
| Plashurst Court | | 0.5% | 976,4476 | 510C/1/3 | 0.0 | - | > > | SIRE | HO14ALNOUUU18 |
| Tiller Drive Phase 2 | | 79E U | \$144.517 | 4107/11/6 | 40.0 | - - | > > | VIRE | HO14ALNOOUGL/ |
| Whiterack Court | | 790.0 | \$487.471 | 711/2/11/C | 200 | | > 2 | VIKE | HOTSALNOOOGO |
| Wildlowel Dive | , , | 1.4% | \$68,920 | 5/13/2013 | 27.7 | 1 | A | STRE | HO13ALN000020 |
| Windflower Origin | | 1.3% | \$299,036 | 4/10/2013 | 26.4 | | Α | STRE | HO13ALN000019 |
| Stone Frail Stream | , , | 1.5% | \$1,031,583 | 3/21/2013 | 31.0 | 1 | A | STRE | HO13ALN000053 |
| Elmmede Road | C | 0.7% | \$715,509 | 3/18/2013 | 14.2 | 1 | Þ | STRE | HO13ALN000068 |
| Chestnut Farms | c | 0.4% | \$50,000 | 3/6/2013 | 8,6 | 1 | A | STRE | HO13ALN000083 |
| Allview | C | 0.4% | \$500,000 | 1/9/2013 | 8.4 | 1 | Þ | STRE | HO13ALN000050 |
| Dower Drive | C | 0.1% | \$234,277 | 1/1/2013 | 2,9 | 1 | Þ | STRE | HO13ALN000054 |
| Trotter Road | С | 0.1% | \$100,000 | 6/30/2012 | 2.7 | 1 | > | STRE | HO12ALN000029 |
| Bramhope Lane | C | 0.7% | \$927,365 | 3/23/2012 | 14.6 | - | > | STRE | HO12ALN000046 |
| Great Oaks | 0 | 0.1% | \$82,103 | 3/2/2012 | 1.2 | ٠, | > 3 | STRE | HO12ALN000052 |
| LPPI | C | 0.3% | \$200,000 | 7/79/7012 | 64.4 | 1 | , | STOC | HOTSALMOOODE |
| ldd1 | | 77.76 | \$200,000 | 2/20/2012 | 4.4 | | | SIRE | HOLZALNOUUUS/ |
| Red Hill Branch | , | 1.1% | \$1 575 717 | 2/20/2012 | 22.6 | | . > | STRE | HO12ALN000075 |
| Meadowbrook Park | c | 1.0% | \$1,232,322 | 2/17/2012 | 20.2 | 1 | Þ | STRE | HO12ALN000051 |
| HI Tech Road | C | 0.2% | \$216,775 | 12/16/2011 | 3,4 | - | A | STRE | HO11ALN000045 |
| Savage Park | C | 0.2% | \$100,000 | 11/30/2011 | 3.7 | 1 | > | STRE | HO11ALN000056 |
| Autumn Harvest - Phase 2 | c | 0.1% | \$104,839 | 4/4/2011 | 2.2 | ٦ | > | STRE | HO11ALN000048 |
| Great Drum Circle | С | 0.2% | \$50,000 | 3/25/2011 | 4.5 | 1 | Α: | STRE | HO11ALN000016 |
| Beechwood | c | 0.3% | \$500,000 | 3/22/2011 | 6.7 | ۱, | > : | STRE | HO11AI N000049 |
| Old Willow Way | 2 | 0.3% | \$521.967 | 1/31/2011 | 7.0 | - - | > 3 | STRE | HOTOALWOODOTS |
| Hickory Ridge | 2 | 0.0% | 000 055 | 12/15/2010 | 0.5 | 4 | > > | STRE | HOTOWINGOOD! |
| Paul Mill Road | , , | 769.0 | \$5/8,896 | 2/15/2013 | 1.2 | | . > | SPSC | HO13R5T900318 |
| Cal Was SPSC | 200 | 0.2% | \$50,000 | 4/20/2015 | 3.3 | 1 | Þ | SPSC | HO15RST900317 |
| Dorsey Hall Outfall B | C | 0.1% | \$100,000 | 11/3/2015 | 1.5 | 1 | А | SPSC | HO15RST900319 |
| Dorsey Hall Outfall C | c | 0.1% | \$100,000 | 11/3/2015 | 1.5 | 1 | A | SPSC | HO15RST9003Z0 |
| Dorsey Hall Outfall E | - | 0.1% | \$100,000 | 11/3/2015 | 1.5 | ,_ | A | SPSC | 17500515051 |

Article 4-202.1(j)(1)(i): Specific actions and expenditures that the county or municipality implemented in the previous fiscal years to meet its Impervious surface restoration plan requirements under its National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Phase I Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System Permit.

| Other | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------|------------------|---------|-------------|-------------------------|----------------|--------------|---------------------|--|
| restal | FPU | Α | 31 | 9.9 | 2010 | \$772,576 | 0.5% | C | 2010 total |
| | FPU | A | 84 | 27.5 | 2011 | \$1,477,179 | 1.4% | c | 2011 total |
| | FPU | A | 51 | 20,5 | 2012 | \$2,687,060 | 1.0% | c | 2012 total |
| | FPU | Α | 709 | 23.4 | 2013 | \$1,911,894 | 1.2% | С | 2013 total |
| | FPU | Α | 437 | 20.4 | 2014 | \$726,688 | 1.0% | С | 2014 total |
| | FPU | A | 524 | 34.7 | 2015 | \$1,939,736 | 1.7% | С | 2015 total |
| | FPU | Α | 34 | 6.4 | 2016 | \$174,865 | 0.3% | С | 2016 total |
| | FPU | A | 42 | 19.1 | 2017 | \$103,371 | 1.0% | C | 2017 total |
| | FPU | A | 17 | 5.0 | 2018 | \$143,548 | 0.3% | С | 2018 total |
| | SEPC | A | 1 | 0.4 | 2015 | \$0 | 0.0% | С | 2015 total |
| | SEPC | A | 7 | 2.7 | 2016 | \$0 | 0.1% | С | 2016 total |
| | SEPC | A | 5 | 2.0 | 2017 | \$15,700 | 0.1% | С | 2017 total |
| | SEPC SEPD | A | 9 5 | 3.5 | 2018 | \$142,080 | 0.2% | C | 2018 total |
| | SEPD | A | 2 | 0.5 | 2011 2012 | \$0 \$0 | 0.1% | c | 2011 total 2012 total |
| | SEPD | A | 6 | 1.6 | 2012 | \$0 | 0.1% | c | 2012 total |
| ····· | SEPO | A | 69 | 17.9 | 2014 | \$0 | 0.1% | c | 2013 total |
| | SEPO | A | 84 | 21.8 | 2015 | \$0 | 1.1% | č | 2015 total |
| | SEPD | A | 45 | 11.7 | 2016 | \$89,784 | 0.6% | c | 2016 total |
| | SEPD | Α | 23 | 6.0 | 2017 | \$41,760 | 0.3% | c | 2017 total |
| *************************************** | SEPP | Α | 1590 | 47.7 | 2014 | \$0 | 2.4% | c | 2014 total |
| | SEPP | A | 1100 | 33.0 | 2015 | \$0 | 1.6% | С | 2015 total |
| | SEPP | A | 1086 | 32.6 | 2016 | \$0 | 1.6% | С | 2016 total |
| | SEPP | Α | 1157 | 34.7 | 2017 | \$0 | 1.7% | С | 2017 total |
| | SEPP | A | 365 | 11.0 | 2018 | \$0 | 0.5% | С | 2018 total |
| | MRWH | E | 101 | 0.2 | 2010 | \$2,828 | 0.0% | С | 2010 total |
| | MRWH | E | 125 | 0.2 | 2011 | \$5,062 | 0.0% | С | 2011 total |
| | MRWH | Ε | 125 | 0,2 | 2012 | \$4,000 | 0.0% | С | 2012 total |
| | MRWH | E | 226 | 0.4 | 2013 | \$4,228 | 0.0% | c | 2013 total |
| | MRWH | E | 129 | 0.2 | 2014 | \$4,096 | 0.0% | С | 2014 total |
| | MRWH | E | 121 | 0.2 | 2015 | \$6,722 | 0.0% | С | 2015 total |
| | MRWH | E | 138 | 0.2 | 2016 | \$6,916 | 0.0% | c | 2016 total |
| | MRWH | E | 100 | 0.2 | 2017 | \$5,436 | 0.0% | c | 2017 total |
| | MRWH MRNG | E | 63 1 | 0.1 | 2018 2011 | \$2,520 \$0 | 0.0% | c c | 2018 total 2011 total |
| | MRNG | E | 25 | 3,4 | 2012 | \$7,964 | 0.0% | c | 2011 total |
| | MRNG | E | 87 | 9.0 | 2012 | \$83,852 | 0.5% | c | 2012 total |
| | MRNG | E | 113 | 11.0 | 2013 | \$234,360 | 0.5% | c | 2014 total |
| | MRNG | E | 135 | 12.3 | 2015 | \$317,493 | 0.6% | c | 2015 total |
| | MRNG | E | 110 | 10.7 | 2016 | \$113,657 | 0.5% | c | 2016 total |
| | MRNG | E | 90 | 7.3 | 2017 | \$46,240 | 0.4% | c | 2017 total |
| | MRNG | E | 32 | 3.5 | 2018 | \$7,148 | 0.2% | c | 2018 total |
| D17RST900499 | APRP | E | 2 | 0.2 | 5/25/2017 | \$0 | 0.0% | С | |
| D15R5T901782 | APRP | E | 1 | 0.2 | 10/27/2015 | \$0 | 0.0% | С | |
| 018RST901581 | APRP | E | 1 | 0.2 | 9/3/2015 | \$19,230 | 0.0% | С | |
| 014RST900365 | APRP | E | 1 | 0.2 | 10/16/2014 | \$0 | 0.0% | С | |
| D14RST900364 | APRP | Ε | 1 | 0.2 | 10/16/2014 | \$0 | 0.0% | С | |
| 014RST900335 | APRP | Ε | 1 | 0.2 | 10/16/2014 | \$0 | 0.0% | С | |
| | APRP | E | 1 | 0.2 | 10/16/2014 | \$0 | 0.0% | С | |
| | FBIO | 5 | 2 | 0,0 | 12/10/2017 | \$0 | 0.0% | С | |
| | FBIO | 5 | 1 | 0,3 | 7/1/2017 | \$0 | 0.0% | С | Long Look Bioretention |
| | FBIO | 5 | 1 | 0.5 | 5/19/2017 | \$0 | 0.0% | С | Green Leaf Community |
| | FBIO | 5 | 1 | 0,5 | 7/19/2016 | \$12,170 | 0.0% | С С | Lakeside Associates |
| 16RST900404 | FBIO | S | 1 | 0,5 | 7/1/2016 | \$0 | 0.0% | C | |
| 16RST900333 | FBIO | S | 1 | 0.2 | 7/1/2016 | \$0 | 0.0% | C | |
| | FBIO | S | 1 | 1.0 | 7/1/2016 | \$0 | 0.1% | С | |
| 16RST900331 | FBIO | S | 1 | 0.8 | 7/1/2016 | \$0 | 0.0% | С | |
| | FBIO FBIO | S | 1 | 0.8 | 7/1/2016 5/31/2016 | \$0 \$133 | 0.0% | C | C |
| 016RST900312 016RST900311 | FBIO | S | 1 | 0.5 0.5 | 5/31/2016 | \$74 | 0.0% | c c | Seventh Day Bioretention Harvester Bioretention |
| | FBIO | S | | | 4/30/2016 | \$47 | | | |
| 16RST900310 16RST900309 | FBIO | S | 1 | 0.5 2.5 | 4/30/2016 | \$106 | 0.0% | C C | Bethel Bioretention 2 Bethel Bioretention 1 |
| 14RST900368 | FBIO | S | 1 | 0.0 | 10/16/2014 | \$0 | 0.0% | c | neriter proteretation T |
| 14R5T900367 | FBIO | S | 1 | 0.0 | 10/16/2014 | \$0 | 0.0% | C | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| 14RST900338 | FBIO | S | 1 | 0.1 | 10/16/2014 | \$0 | 0.0% | C | |
| 14RST900336 | FBIO | S | 1 | 0.2 | 10/16/2014 | \$0 | 0.0% | c | |
| 11RST900342 | FBIO | S | 1 | 0.2 | 4/23/2011 | \$0 | 0.0% | c | |
| 17RST902158 | FSND | S | 1 | 1.8 | 11/10/2017 | \$212,849 | 0.1% | С | Liberty Baptist |
| 17RST900514 | FSND | S | 1 | 16.2 | 8/8/2017 | \$478,652 | 0.8% | С | Howard Co General Hospita |
| 17RST902159 | FSND | S | 1 | 2.1 | 5/3/2017 | \$308,459 | 0.1% | С | First Evangelical |
| 12RST901982 | FSND | S | 1 | 2.2 | 3/15/2012 | <null></null> | 0.1% | С | CRM Sand Filter |
| | MIDW | E | 1 | 0.2 | 10/15/2015 | \$2,500 | 0.0% | С | Heritage Museum |
| | MIDW | E | 1 | 0.1 | 6/24/2015 | \$2,760 | 0.0% | С | |
| | MIDW | Ε | 1 | 0.1 | 8/11/2014 | \$10,440 | 0.0% | С | |
| | MMBR | E | 1 | 0.2 | 3/17/2017 | \$0 | 0.0% | С | |
| | MMBR | E | 1 | 0.2 | 2/9/2017 | \$0 | 0.0% | C | |
| | MMBR | E | 1 | 0.2 | 2/9/2017 | \$0 | 0.0% | c | |
| | MMBR | E | 1 | 0.2 | 1/27/2017 | \$0 \$0 | 0.0% | С | |
| | MMBR | E | 1 | 0.2 | 11/5/2016 | \$0 \$0 | 0.0% | C | |
| | MMBR | E | 1 | 0.2 | 7/16/2015 | \$0 \$0 | 0.0% | С | |
| | MMBR | E | 1 | 0.2 | 6/30/2015 | \$0 \$0 | 0.0% | C | |
| | MMBR MSWG | E | 1 2 | 0.2 | 6/30/2015 5/25/2017 | \$0 \$0 | 0.0% | C | |
| | MSWG | E | 2 | 0.0 | 5/25/2017 | \$0 \$0 | 0.0% | C | |
| | ODSW | S | 1 | 5.0 | 10/16/2014 | \$0 \$0 | 0.2% | C | |
| | PWED | S S | 1 | 5.0 | 10/16/2014 | \$0 \$0 | 0.2% | c | |
| | PWET | 5 | 1 | 1.6 10.2 | 10/5/2016 11/30/2016 | \$0 \$0 | 0.1% 0.5% | c | |
| | PWET | S | 1 | | 4/22/2016 | \$0 | | c | |
| | UGS | | | 2.8 | 6/5/2015 | | 0.1% | | |
| | WSHW | S S | 1 | 1.0 | 6/27/2017 | \$0 \$0 | 0.0% | c | |
| ********/ | WoHW | 3 112 112 112 | 9255 | 524.3 | 6/2//201/ | \$12,126,164 | 26.1% | C c | 0 10 10 11 11 11 11 11 11 |
| Date | | | | | | | | | |
| Date | | | 9,643 | 1871.5 | | \$69,406,109 | | a la si la la la la | |

Check with MDE Geodatabase:
Rest BMP ID, type, class, number of BMPs, impervious acres, built date, implementation cost and implementation status should match the various geodatabase tables for BMPs (AltBMPLine, AltBMPPoint, AltBMPPoint, and

Notes:
For street sweeping indicate the annual frequency that the streets are swept and for inlet cleaning indicate the number of inlets cleaned-out.

*IMPL COST is a summation and not an average.

| TUO | STRE | FPU | SDV | SSW | SEPC | SEPD | SEPP | XDED | WHSW | UGS | SPSC | WPWS | PWET | PWED | WEDW | WSGO | MSWG | MSWB | MRNG | MMBR | WIDW | IMPP | IBAS | FUND | FSND | F810 | APRP |
|-----------------------|--------------------|---|-----------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|------------------------|----------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|---------------------|----------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|--------------|--------------------|----------|--|--------------------|--------------------|-------------|--------------|---------------------|
| Outfall Stabilization | Stream Restoration | Planting Trees or Forestation on Previous Urban | Storm Drain Vacuuming | Mechanical Street Sweeping | Septic Connections to WWTP | Septic Denitrification | Septic Pumping | Extended Detention Structure, Dry | Shallow Marsh | Underground Storage | Step Pool Storm Conveyance | Wet Pond - Wetland | Retention Pond (Wet Pond) | Extended Detention Structure, Wet | Extended Detention - Wetland | Ory Swale | Grass Swale | Bio-Swale | Rain Gardens | Micro-Bioretention | Dry Well | Impervious Surface Elimination (to pervious) | Infiltration Basin | Underground Filter | Sand Filter | Bioretention | Permeable Pavements |