Ĵ	
	-1.1.0
Introduced	5/6/19
Public Hearing	5/20/19
Council Action	- U/3/19
Executive Action	101019
Effective Date	81619

County Council of Howard County, Maryland

2019 Legislative Session

Legislative Day No.

Bill No. 18 -2019

Introduced by the Chairperson at the request of the County Executive and Christiana Mercer Rigby Co-Sponsored by Opel Jones, Deb Jung and Liz Walsh

AN ACT to authorize the use of school bus monitoring cameras on school buses in the County; to authorize certain enforcement and to provide that certain violations are a civil penalty; to provide for the use of fines; and generally relating to enforcement of traffic laws in Howard County.

	Introduced and read first time May Le, 2019. Ordered posted and hearing scheduled. By order <u>Pessica Jelamaih</u> Jessica Feldmark, Administrator
	Having been posted and notice of time & place of hearing & title of Bill having been published according to Charter, the Bill was read for a second time at a public hearing on the second time at a public hearing o
	This Bill was read the third time on June 3, 2019 and Passed V. Passed with amendments, Failed
	Sealed with the County Seal and presented to the County Executive for approval this <u>5th</u> day of <u>June</u> , 2019 at <u>3 a.m./p.m.</u> By order <u>Jessica Deldmark</u>
(Approved vetoed by the County Executive ULUL (Q, 2019 Calvin Ball, County Executive

NOTE: [[text in brackets]] indicates deletions from existing law; TEXT IN SMALL CAPITALS indicates additions to existing law; Strike-out indicates material deleted by amendment; <u>Underlining</u> indicates material added by amendment.

 County Code is amended as follows: By adding: Title 21 - Traffic Control and Transportation. Subtile 7 School Bus Monitoring Cameras. Title 21 - Traffic Control and Transportation Subtile 7 School Bus Monitoring Cameras. Title 21 - Traffic Control and Transportation SUBTITLE 7 - SCHOOL BUS MONITORING CAMERAS. SECTION 21.700. SCHOOL BUS MONITORING CAMERAS. (A) DEFINITIONS. FOR PURPOSES OF THIS SECTION, THE FOLLOWING TERMS SHALL HAVE THE MEANING INDICATED. (I) SCHOOL BUS MONITORING CAMERA HAS THE MEANING STATED IN SECTION 21-706.1 OF THE TRANSPORTATION ARTICLE OF THE ANNOTATED CODE OF MARYLAND. (B) IN GENERAL. THE USE OF SCHOOL BUS MONITORING CAMERAS IS AUTHORIZED IN HOWARD COUNTY IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 21-706.1 OF THE TRANSPORTATION ARTICLE OF THE ANNOTATED CODE OF MARYLAND. (C) ENFORCEMENT. THE HOWARD COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF POLICE MAY ISSUE CIVIL CITATIONS FOR VIOLATIONS OF THIS SECTION. (b) VIOLATIONS. A PERSON WHO COMMITS A VIOLATION OF § 21-706 OF THE TRANSPORTATION ARTICLE OF THE ANNOTATED CODE OF MARYLAND THAT IS RECORDED BY A SCHOOL BUS MONITORING CAMERA IS SUBJECT TO THE MAXIMUM CIVIL PENALTY ALLOWED UNDER SECTION 21-706.1 OF THE TRANSPORTATION ARTICLE OF THE ANNOTATED CODE OF MARYLAND. (E) USE OF FINES, FINES COLLECTED UNDER THIS SECTION SHALL BE USED TO: (1) RECOVER THE COSTS OF INSTALLING, OPERATING, AND MAINTAINING SCHOOL BUS 	1	Section 1. Be It Enacted by the County Council of Howard County, Maryland, that the Howard
4 Title 21 - Traffic Control and Transportation. 5 Subtile 7 - School Bus Monitoring Cameras. 7 Title 21 - Traffic Control and Transportation 8 SUBTITLE 7 - SCHOOL BUS MONITORING CAMERAS. 9 SECTION 21.700. SCHOOL BUS MONITORING CAMERAS. 10 SECTION 21.700. SCHOOL BUS MONITORING CAMERAS. 11 (A) DEFINITIONS. FOR PURPOSES OF THIS SECTION, THE POLLOWING TERMS SHALL HAVE 12 THE MEANING INDICATED. 13 (1) SCHOOL BUS MONITORING CAMERA HAS THE MEANING STATED IN SECTION 21-706.1 14 OF THE TRANSPORTATION ARTICLE OF THE ANNOTATED CODE OF MARYLAND. 15 (B) IN GENERAL. THE USE OF SCHOOL BUS MONITORING CAMERAS IS AUTHORIZED IN 16 HOWARD COUNTY IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 21-706.1 OF THE TRANSPORTATION 17 ARTICLE OF THE ANNOTATED CODE OF MARYLAND. 18 (c) ENFORCEMENT. THE HOWARD COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF POLICE MAY ISSUE CIVIL	2	County Code is amended as follows:
5 Subtile 7 School Bus Monitoring Cameras. 6	3	By adding:
6 7 Title 21 - Traffic Control and Transportation 8 SUBTITLE 7 - SCHOOL BUS MONITORING CAMERAS. 9 9 10 SECTION 21.700. SCHOOL BUS MONITORING CAMERAS. 11 (A) DEFINITIONS. FOR PURPOSES OF THIS SECTION, THE FOLLOWING TERMS SHALL HAVE 12 THE MEANING INDICATED. 13 (1) SCHOOL BUS MONITORING CAMERA HAS THE MEANING STATED IN SECTION 21-706.1 14 OF THE TRANSPORTATION ARTICLE OF THE ANNOTATED CODE OF MARYLAND. 15 (B) IN GENERAL. THE USE OF SCHOOL BUS MONITORING CAMERAS IS AUTHORIZED IN 16 HOWARD COUNTY IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 21-706.1 OF THE TRANSPORTATION 17 ARTICLE OF THE ANNOTATED CODE OF MARYLAND. 18 (c) ENFORCEMENT. THE HOWARD COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF POLICE MAY ISSUE CIVIL 19 CITATIONS FOR VIOLATIONS OF THIS SECTION. 20 (p) VIOLATIONS. 21 A PERSON WHO COMMITS A VIOLATION OF § 21-706 OF THE TRANSPORTATION ARTICLE 22 OF THE ANNOTATED CODE OF MARYLAND THAT IS RECORDED BY A SCHOOL BUS 23 MONITORING CAMERA IS SUBJECT TO THE MAXIMUM CIVIL PENALTY ALLOWED UNDER 24 SECTION 21-706.1 OF THE TRANSPORTATION ARTICLE OF THE ANNOTATED CODE OF 25 MARYLAND. <t< th=""><th>4</th><th></th></t<>	4	
7 Title 21 - Traffic Control and Transportation 8 SUBTILE 7 - SCHOOL BUS MONITORING CAMERAS. 9 9 10 SECTION 21.700. SCHOOL BUS MONITORING CAMERAS. 11 (A) DEFINITIONS. FOR PURPOSES OF THIS SECTION, THE FOLLOWING TERMS SHALL HAVE 12 THE MEANING INDICATED. 13 (1) SCHOOL BUS MONITORING CAMERA HAS THE MEANING STATED IN SECTION 21-706.1 14 OF THE TRANSPORTATION ARTICLE OF THE ANNOTATED CODE OF MARYLAND. 15 (B) IN GENERAL. THE USE OF SCHOOL BUS MONITORING CAMERAS IS AUTHORIZED IN 16 HOWARD COUNTY IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 21-706.1 OF THE TRANSPORTATION 17 ARTICLE OF THE ANNOTATED CODE OF MARYLAND. 18 (C) ENFORCEMENT. THE HOWARD COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF POLICE MAY ISSUE CIVIL- 19 CITATIONS FOR VIOLATIONS OF THIS SECTION. 20 (D) VIOLATIONS. 21 A PERSON WHO COMMITS A VIOLATION OF § 21-706 OF THE TRANSPORTATION ARTICLE 22 OF THE ANNOTATED CODE OF MARYLAND THAT IS RECORDED BY A SCHOOL BUS 31 MONITORING CAMERA IS SUBJECT TO THE MAXIMUM CIVIL PENALTY ALLOWED UNDER 22 SECTION 21-706.1 OF THE TRANSPORTATION ARTICLE OF THE ANNOTATED CODE OF 32 MONITORING CAMERA IS SUBJECT TO THE MAXIMUM CIVIL PENALTY ALLOWED UNDER	5	Subtitle 7 – School Bus Monitoring Cameras.
8 SUBTITLE 7 – SCHOOL BUS MONITORING CAMERAS. 9 9 10 SECTION 21.700. SCHOOL BUS MONITORING CAMERAS. 11 (A) DEFINITIONS. FOR PURPOSES OF THIS SECTION, THE FOLLOWING TERMS SHALL HAVE 12 THE MEANING INDICATED. 13 (1) SCHOOL BUS MONITORING CAMERA HAS THE MEANING STATED IN SECTION 21-706.1 14 OF THE TRANSPORTATION ARTICLE OF THE ANNOTATED CODE OF MARYLAND. 15 (B) IN GENERAL. THE USE OF SCHOOL BUS MONITORING CAMERAS IS AUTHORIZED IN 16 HOWARD COUNTY IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 21-706.1 OF THE TRANSPORTATION 17 ARTICLE OF THE ANNOTATED CODE OF MARYLAND. 18 (C) EMFORCEMENT. THE HOWARD COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF POLICE MAY ISSUE CIVIL	-	TVA A1 The ff' (1-1) and Thomas autotion
 SECTION 21.700. SCHOOL BUS MONITORING CAMERAS. (A) DEFINITIONS. FOR PURPOSES OF THIS SECTION, THE FOLLOWING TERMS SHALL HAVE THE MEANING INDICATED. (1) SCHOOL BUS MONITORING CAMERA HAS THE MEANING STATED IN SECTION 21-706.1 OF THE TRANSPORTATION ARTICLE OF THE ANNOTATED CODE OF MARYLAND. (B) IN GENERAL. THE USE OF SCHOOL BUS MONITORING CAMERAS IS AUTHORIZED IN HOWARD COUNTY IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 21-706.1 OF THE TRANSPORTATION ARTICLE OF THE ANNOTATED CODE OF MARYLAND. (C) ENFORCEMENT. THE HOWARD COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF POLICE MAY ISSUE GIVIL————— CITATIONS FOR VIOLATIONS OF THIS SECTION. (D) VIOLATIONS. A PERSON WHO COMMITS A VIOLATION OF § 21-706 OF THE TRANSPORTATION ARTICLE OF THE ANNOTATED CODE OF MARYLAND THAT IS RECORDED BY A SCHOOL BUS MONITORING CAMERA IS SUBJECT TO THE MAXIMUM CIVIL PENALTY ALLOWED UNDER SECTION 21-706.1 OF THE TRANSPORTATION ARTICLE OF THE ANNOTATED CODE OF MARYLAND. (E) USE OF FINES. FINES COLLECTED UNDER THIS SECTION SHALL BE USED TO: (1) RECOVER THE COSTS OF INSTALLING, OPERATING, AND MAINTAINING SCHOOL BUS 		
 SECTION 21.700. SCHOOL BUS MONITORING CAMERAS. (A) DEFINITIONS. FOR PURPOSES OF THIS SECTION, THE POLLOWING TERMS SHALL HAVE THE MEANING INDICATED. (1) SCHOOL BUS MONITORING CAMERA HAS THE MEANING STATED IN SECTION 21-706.1 OF THE TRANSPORTATION ARTICLE OF THE ANNOTATED CODE OF MARYLAND. (B) IN GENERAL. THE USE OF SCHOOL BUS MONITORING CAMERAS IS AUTHORIZED IN HOWARD COUNTY IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 21-706.1 OF THE TRANSPORTATION ARTICLE OF THE ANNOTATED CODE OF MARYLAND. (C) ENFORCEMENT. THE HOWARD COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF POLICE MAY ISSUE CIVIL————— CITATIONS FOR VIOLATIONS OF THIS SECTION. (D) VIOLATIONS. A PERSON WHO COMMITS A VIOLATION OF § 21-706 OF THE TRANSPORTATION ARTICLE OF THE ANNOTATED CODE OF MARYLAND THAT IS RECORDED BY A SCHOOL BUS MONITORING CAMERA IS SUBJECT TO THE MAXIMUM CIVIL PENALTY ALLOWED UNDER SECTION 21-706.1 OF THE TRANSPORTATION ARTICLE OF THE ANNOTATED CODE OF MARYLAND. (E) USE OF FINES. FINES COLLECTED UNDER THIS SECTION SHALL BE USED TO: (1) RECOVER THE COSTS OF INSTALLING, OPERATING, AND MAINTAINING SCHOOL BUS 		SUBTITLE 7 – SCHOOL BUS MONITORING CAMERAS.
 (A) DEFINITIONS. FOR PURPOSES OF THIS SECTION, THE FOLLOWING TERMS SHALL HAVE THE MEANING INDICATED. (1) SCHOOL BUS MONITORING CAMERA HAS THE MEANING STATED IN SECTION 21-706.1 OF THE TRANSPORTATION ARTICLE OF THE ANNOTATED CODE OF MARYLAND. (B) IN GENERAL. THE USE OF SCHOOL BUS MONITORING CAMERAS IS AUTHORIZED IN HOWARD COUNTY IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 21-706.1 OF THE TRANSPORTATION ARTICLE OF THE ANNOTATED CODE OF MARYLAND. (c) ENFORCEMENT. THE HOWARD COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF POLICE MAY ISSUE CIVIL CITATIONS FOR VIOLATIONS OF THIS SECTION. (b) VIOLATIONS. A PERSON WHO COMMITS A VIOLATION OF § 21-706 OF THE TRANSPORTATION ARTICLE OF THE ANNOTATED CODE OF MARYLAND THAT IS RECORDED BY A SCHOOL BUS MONITORING CAMERA IS SUBJECT TO THE MAXIMUM CIVIL PENALTY ALLOWED UNDER SECTION 21-706.1 OF THE TRANSPORTATION ARTICLE OF THE ANNOTATED CODE OF MARYLAND. (c) USE OF FINES. FINES COLLECTED UNDER THIS SECTION SHALL BE USED TO: (1) RECOVER THE COSTS OF INSTALLING, OPERATING, AND MAINTAINING SCHOOL BUS 		
 THE MEANING INDICATED. (1) SCHOOL BUS MONITORING CAMERA HAS THE MEANING STATED IN SECTION 21-706.1 OF THE TRANSPORTATION ARTICLE OF THE ANNOTATED CODE OF MARYLAND. (B) IN GENERAL. THE USE OF SCHOOL BUS MONITORING CAMERAS IS AUTHORIZED IN HOWARD COUNTY IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 21-706.1 OF THE TRANSPORTATION ARTICLE OF THE ANNOTATED CODE OF MARYLAND. (C) ENFORCEMENT. THE HOWARD COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF POLICE MAY ISSUE CIVIL———— CITATIONS FOR VIOLATIONS OF THIS SECTION. (D) VIOLATIONS. A PERSON WHO COMMITS A VIOLATION OF § 21-706 OF THE TRANSPORTATION ARTICLE OF THE ANNOTATED CODE OF MARYLAND THAT IS RECORDED BY A SCHOOL BUS MONITORING CAMERA IS SUBJECT TO THE MAXIMUM CIVIL PENALTY ALLOWED UNDER SECTION 21-706.1 OF THE TRANSPORTATION ARTICLE OF THE ANNOTATED CODE OF MARYLAND. (E) USE OF FINES. FINES COLLECTED UNDER THIS SECTION SHALL BE USED TO: (1) RECOVER THE COSTS OF INSTALLING, OPERATING, AND MAINTAINING SCHOOL BUS 		
 (1) SCHOOL BUS MONITORING CAMERA HAS THE MEANING STATED IN SECTION 21-706.1 OF THE TRANSPORTATION ARTICLE OF THE ANNOTATED CODE OF MARYLAND. (B) IN GENERAL. THE USE OF SCHOOL BUS MONITORING CAMERAS IS AUTHORIZED IN HOWARD COUNTY IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 21-706.1 OF THE TRANSPORTATION ARTICLE OF THE ANNOTATED CODE OF MARYLAND. (C) ENFORCEMENT. THE HOWARD COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF POLICE MAY ISSUE CIVIL———— CITATIONS FOR VIOLATIONS OF THIS SECTION. (D) VIOLATIONS. A PERSON WHO COMMITS A VIOLATION OF § 21-706 OF THE TRANSPORTATION ARTICLE OF THE ANNOTATED CODE OF MARYLAND THAT IS RECORDED BY A SCHOOL BUS MONITORING CAMERA IS SUBJECT TO THE MAXIMUM CIVIL PENALTY ALLOWED UNDER SECTION 21-706.1 OF THE TRANSPORTATION ARTICLE OF THE ANNOTATED CODE OF MARYLAND. (E) USE OF FINES. FINES COLLECTED UNDER THIS SECTION SHALL BE USED TO: (1) RECOVER THE COSTS OF INSTALLING, OPERATING, AND MAINTAINING SCHOOL BUS 		
14OF THE TRANSPORTATION ARTICLE OF THE ANNOTATED CODE OF MARYLAND.15(B) IN GENERAL. THE USE OF SCHOOL BUS MONITORING CAMERAS IS AUTHORIZED IN16HOWARD COUNTY IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 21-706.1 OF THE TRANSPORTATION17ARTICLE OF THE ANNOTATED CODE OF MARYLAND.18(C) ENFORCEMENT. THE HOWARD COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF POLICE MAY ISSUE-CIVIL————19CITATIONS FOR VIOLATIONS OF THIS SECTION.20(D) VIOLATIONS.21A PERSON WHO COMMITS A VIOLATION OF § 21-706 OF THE TRANSPORTATION ARTICLE22OF THE ANNOTATED CODE OF MARYLAND THAT IS RECORDED BY A SCHOOL BUS23MONITORING CAMERA IS SUBJECT TO THE MAXIMUM CIVIL PENALTY ALLOWED UNDER24SECTION 21-706.1 OF THE TRANSPORTATION ARTICLE OF THE ANNOTATED CODE OF25MARYLAND.26(E) USE OF FINES. FINES COLLECTED UNDER THIS SECTION SHALL BE USED TO:27(1) RECOVER THE COSTS OF INSTALLING, OPERATING, AND MAINTAINING SCHOOL BUS	12	
 (B) <i>IN GENERAL</i>. THE USE OF SCHOOL BUS MONITORING CAMERAS IS AUTHORIZED IN HOWARD COUNTY IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 21-706.1 OF THE TRANSPORTATION ARTICLE OF THE ANNOTATED CODE OF MARYLAND. (C) <i>ENFORCEMENT</i>. THE HOWARD COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF POLICE MAY ISSUE CIVIL—————— CITATIONS FOR VIOLATIONS OF THIS SECTION. (D) <i>VIOLATIONS</i>. A PERSON WHO COMMITS A VIOLATION OF § 21-706 OF THE TRANSPORTATION ARTICLE OF THE ANNOTATED CODE OF MARYLAND THAT IS RECORDED BY A SCHOOL BUS MONITORING CAMERA IS SUBJECT TO THE MAXIMUM CIVIL PENALTY ALLOWED UNDER SECTION 21-706.1 OF THE TRANSPORTATION ARTICLE OF THE ANNOTATED CODE OF MARYLAND. (E) <i>USE OF FINES</i>. FINES COLLECTED UNDER THIS SECTION SHALL BE USED TO: (1) RECOVER THE COSTS OF INSTALLING, OPERATING, AND MAINTAINING SCHOOL BUS 	13	
 HOWARD COUNTY IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 21-706.1 OF THE TRANSPORTATION ARTICLE OF THE ANNOTATED CODE OF MARYLAND. (c) ENFORCEMENT. THE HOWARD COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF POLICE MAY ISSUE-CIVIL————— CITATIONS FOR VIOLATIONS OF THIS SECTION. (d) VIOLATIONS. A PERSON WHO COMMITS A VIOLATION OF § 21-706 OF THE TRANSPORTATION ARTICLE OF THE ANNOTATED CODE OF MARYLAND THAT IS RECORDED BY A SCHOOL BUS MONITORING CAMERA IS SUBJECT TO THE MAXIMUM CIVIL PENALTY ALLOWED UNDER SECTION 21-706.1 OF THE TRANSPORTATION ARTICLE OF THE ANNOTATED CODE OF MARYLAND. (e) Use of FINES. FINES COLLECTED UNDER THIS SECTION SHALL BE USED TO: (1) RECOVER THE COSTS OF INSTALLING, OPERATING, AND MAINTAINING SCHOOL BUS 	14	
 ARTICLE OF THE ANNOTATED CODE OF MARYLAND. (C) ENFORCEMENT. THE HOWARD COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF POLICE MAY ISSUE-CIVIL————— CITATIONS FOR VIOLATIONS OF THIS SECTION. (D) VIOLATIONS. (D) VIOLATIONS. A PERSON WHO COMMITS A VIOLATION OF § 21-706 OF THE TRANSPORTATION ARTICLE OF THE ANNOTATED CODE OF MARYLAND THAT IS RECORDED BY A SCHOOL BUS MONITORING CAMERA IS SUBJECT TO THE MAXIMUM CIVIL PENALTY ALLOWED UNDER SECTION 21-706.1 OF THE TRANSPORTATION ARTICLE OF THE ANNOTATED CODE OF MARYLAND. (E) USE OF FINES. FINES COLLECTED UNDER THIS SECTION SHALL BE USED TO: (1) RECOVER THE COSTS OF INSTALLING, OPERATING, AND MAINTAINING SCHOOL BUS 	15	
 (c) ENFORCEMENT. THE HOWARD COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF POLICE MAY ISSUE-CIVIL———— CITATIONS FOR VIOLATIONS OF THIS SECTION. (d) VIOLATIONS. A PERSON WHO COMMITS A VIOLATION OF § 21-706 OF THE TRANSPORTATION ARTICLE OF THE ANNOTATED CODE OF MARYLAND THAT IS RECORDED BY A SCHOOL BUS MONITORING CAMERA IS SUBJECT TO THE MAXIMUM CIVIL PENALTY ALLOWED UNDER Section 21-706.1 OF THE TRANSPORTATION ARTICLE OF THE ANNOTATED CODE OF MARYLAND. (E) Use of FINES. FINES COLLECTED UNDER THIS SECTION SHALL BE USED TO: (1) RECOVER THE COSTS OF INSTALLING, OPERATING, AND MAINTAINING SCHOOL BUS 	16	HOWARD COUNTY IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 21-706.1 OF THE TRANSPORTATION
 CITATIONS FOR VIOLATIONS OF THIS SECTION. (D) <i>VIOLATIONS</i>. A PERSON WHO COMMITS A VIOLATION OF § 21-706 OF THE TRANSPORTATION ARTICLE OF THE ANNOTATED CODE OF MARYLAND THAT IS RECORDED BY A SCHOOL BUS MONITORING CAMERA IS SUBJECT TO THE MAXIMUM CIVIL PENALTY ALLOWED UNDER SECTION 21-706.1 OF THE TRANSPORTATION ARTICLE OF THE ANNOTATED CODE OF MARYLAND. (E) USE OF FINES. FINES COLLECTED UNDER THIS SECTION SHALL BE USED TO: (1) RECOVER THE COSTS OF INSTALLING, OPERATING, AND MAINTAINING SCHOOL BUS 	17	
 (D) <i>Violations.</i> A PERSON WHO COMMITS A VIOLATION OF § 21-706 OF THE TRANSPORTATION ÅRTICLE OF THE ANNOTATED CODE OF MARYLAND THAT IS RECORDED BY A SCHOOL BUS MONITORING CAMERA IS SUBJECT TO THE MAXIMUM CIVIL PENALTY ALLOWED UNDER SECTION 21-706.1 OF THE TRANSPORTATION ÅRTICLE OF THE ANNOTATED CODE OF MARYLAND. (E) USE OF FINES. FINES COLLECTED UNDER THIS SECTION SHALL BE USED TO: (1) RECOVER THE COSTS OF INSTALLING, OPERATING, AND MAINTAINING SCHOOL BUS 	18	(C) ENFORCEMENT. THE HOWARD COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF POLICE MAY ISSUE-CIVIL
 A PERSON WHO COMMITS A VIOLATION OF § 21-706 OF THE TRANSPORTATION ARTICLE OF THE ANNOTATED CODE OF MARYLAND THAT IS RECORDED BY A SCHOOL BUS MONITORING CAMERA IS SUBJECT TO THE MAXIMUM CIVIL PENALTY ALLOWED UNDER Section 21-706.1 OF THE TRANSPORTATION ARTICLE OF THE ANNOTATED CODE OF MARYLAND. (E) Use of Fines. Fines collected under this section shall be used to: (1) RECOVER THE COSTS OF INSTALLING, OPERATING, AND MAINTAINING SCHOOL BUS 	19	CITATIONS FOR VIOLATIONS OF THIS SECTION.
 OF THE ANNOTATED CODE OF MARYLAND THAT IS RECORDED BY A SCHOOL BUS MONITORING CAMERA IS SUBJECT TO THE MAXIMUM CIVIL PENALTY ALLOWED UNDER Section 21-706.1 OF THE TRANSPORTATION ARTICLE OF THE ANNOTATED CODE OF MARYLAND. (E) Use of fines. Fines collected under this section shall be used to: (1) RECOVER THE COSTS OF INSTALLING, OPERATING, AND MAINTAINING SCHOOL BUS 	20	(d) Violations.
 MONITORING CAMERA IS SUBJECT TO THE MAXIMUM CIVIL PENALTY ALLOWED UNDER SECTION 21-706.1 OF THE TRANSPORTATION ARTICLE OF THE ANNOTATED CODE OF MARYLAND. (E) Use of fines. Fines collected under this section shall be used to: (1) RECOVER THE COSTS OF INSTALLING, OPERATING, AND MAINTAINING SCHOOL BUS 	21	A PERSON WHO COMMITS A VIOLATION OF § 21-706 OF THE TRANSPORTATION ARTICLE
 Section 21-706.1 of the Transportation Article of the Annotated Code of Maryland. (E) Use of fines. Fines collected under this section shall be used to: (1) Recover the costs of installing, operating, and maintaining school bus 	22	OF THE ANNOTATED CODE OF MARYLAND THAT IS RECORDED BY A SCHOOL BUS
 MARYLAND. (E) Use of fines. Fines collected under this section shall be used to: (1) Recover the costs of installing, operating, and maintaining school bus 	23	MONITORING CAMERA IS SUBJECT TO THE MAXIMUM CIVIL PENALTY ALLOWED UNDER
 (E) Use of fines. Fines collected under this section shall be used to: (1) RECOVER THE COSTS OF INSTALLING, OPERATING, AND MAINTAINING SCHOOL BUS 	24	SECTION 21-706.1 OF THE TRANSPORTATION ARTICLE OF THE ANNOTATED CODE OF
27 (1) RECOVER THE COSTS OF INSTALLING, OPERATING, AND MAINTAINING SCHOOL BUS	25	MARYLAND.
	26	(E) Use of fines. Fines collected under this section shall be used to:
	27	(1) RECOVER THE COSTS OF INSTALLING, OPERATING, AND MAINTAINING SCHOOL BUS
28 MONITORING CAMERAS; AND	28	MONITORING CAMERAS; AND
29 (2) FOR PUBLIC SAFETY PURPOSES, INCLUDING PEDESTRIAN SAFETY PROGRAMS.	29	(2) FOR PUBLIC SAFETY PURPOSES, INCLUDING PEDESTRIAN SAFETY PROGRAMS.
30	30	
31 Section 2. And Be It Further Enacted by the County Council of Howard County,	31	Section 2. And Be It Further Enacted by the County Council of Howard County,
32 Maryland that this Act shall become effective 61 days after its enactment.	32	Maryland that this Act shall become effective 61 days after its enactment.

.

1

.

BY THE COUNCIL

This Bill, having been approved by the Executive and returned to the Council, stands enacted on <u>,</u> 2019. hime essica -Jessica Feldmark, Administrator to the County Council

BY THE COUNCIL

This Bill, having been passed by the yeas and nays of two-thirds of the members of the Council notwithstanding the objections of the Executive, stands enacted on ______, 2019.

Jessica Feldmark, Administrator to the County Council

BY THE COUNCIL

This Bill, having received neither the approval nor the disapproval of the Executive within ten days of its presentation, stands enacted on ______, 2019.

Jessica Feldmark, Administrator to the County Council

BY THE COUNCIL

This Bill, not having been considered on final reading within the time required by Charter, stands failed for want of consideration on ______, 2019.

Jessica Feldmark, Administrator to the County Council

BY THE COUNCIL

This Bill, having been disapproved by the Executive and having failed on passage upon consideration by the Council stands failed on ______, 2019.

Jessica Feldmark, Administrator to the County Council

BY THE COUNCIL

This Bill, the withdrawal of which received a vote of two-thirds (2/3) of the members of the Council, is withdrawn from further consideration on ______, 2019.

Jessica Feldmark, Administrator to the County Council

i.

.

Sayers, Margery

.

From:	no-reply@howardcountymd.gov
Sent:	Saturday, June 1, 2019 8:02 PM
То:	evan.a.borodin@gmail.com
Subject:	Council Bill 18-2019

•

First Name:	Evan
Last Name:	Borodin
Email:	evan.a.borodin@gmail.com
Street Address:	20723 Rippling Branch Road
City:	Laurel
Subject:	Council Bill 18-2019
Message:	Regarding Council Bill 18-2019, what is your position on it? In addition, how would this affect me as a student riding a bus every day to and from school?

Sayers, Margery

From:	Leslie Toussaint <l2saint@gmail.com></l2saint@gmail.com>
Sent:	Thursday, May 30, 2019 2:09 PM
То:	CouncilMail
Subject:	CB18-2019 (school bus cameras)

ļ

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

()

Dear Council Members:

Thank you for introducing bill <u>CB18-2019</u> to to authorize the use of school bus monitoring cameras on school buses in the County. It is sad that, in this time of budget tightening, we have to spend our resources enforcing a law as simple as stopping for buses that are discharging or picking up students. Unfortunately, I can assure you that motorists routinely (4-5x/week) ignore the flashing red lights and drive right past my son's bus on Folly Quarter Rd. at great speed. I've heard the same story from other parents on two-lane, undivided roads, of which there are many in our county.

Please proceed with this initiative and, perhaps, there is a way for the revenue generated by ticketing to offset the costs of installing the cameras.

Thank you,

Leslie Toussaint 12540 Folly Quarter Rd. Ellicott City, MD 21042 i j



Sayers, Margery

From: Sent: To: Subject: legislative@ptachc.org Monday, May 20, 2019 3:19 PM CouncilMail CB 18-2019

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Dear County Council Members,

The PTA Council of Howard County recently met for our May General Membership meeting and during that meeting we discussed several legislative items while watching the live video feed of the County Council's most recent Legislative Session. During our meeting, we gave an update on the bill that has recently been filed on school bus monitoring cameras. The following motion passed that we would like to share with you.

PTACHC would like to continue our support for School Bus Monitoring Cameras now filed under CB18-2019. We would like to see an amendment where the excess revenue of the fines, once the initial costs are paid, are to be directed to student transportation and student safety.

We understand that part of the excess revenue will be used for the salary of a police officer who will be working with this program and we are in full support of that. There are a number of student transportation and safety issues that could also use funding. We trust in the school system to know which areas are the most urgent and could use the top priorities. We hope that the cameras will help to make the roads safer for our students and look forward to watching all of our government agencies work cooperatively to make that happen.

Thank you for seeing the need for this legislation.

Sincerely,

Tonya Tiffany PTACHC Legislative Chair

1

.



School Bus Monitoring Camera

Good Evening, my name is Brian Nevin and I am a Transportation Manager for the Howard County Public School System. I am here this evening on behalf of our school system to speak in support of Council Bill 18, permitting the use of a school bus monitoring camera system. This initiative is in alignment to our Strategic Call to Action by providing a safe and healthy environment for all students and staff.

The prevalence of motorists who do not stop for our school buses is staggering and jeopardizes the safety of our students each day. Howard County school bus drivers reported 290 drivers failing to stop for their school bus during a one-day survey conducted last spring. Nationally, almost 84,000 motorists were reported in the same survey as failing to stop.

Our school system values our partnership with the Howard County Police Department and it is our strong belief that this law enforcement strategy will dramatically assist in curtailing the number of motorists illegally passing school buses. The Police Department has extensive expertise and experience in automated enforcement programs and is recognized as the first agency to implement the red light safety program in Maryland.

Dr. Martirano and our Board of Education wholeheartedly supports this initiative and looks forward to our system's continued collaboration with the County Council, County Executive Ball and Chief Myers.

Thank you for your continued support of our students.



t j

HOWARD COUNTY COUNCIL AFFIDAVIT OF AUTHORIZATION TO TESTIFY ON BEHALF OF AN ORGANIZATION

()

1, <u>Brian</u>	Nevin aame of individual)	, have been duly authorized by
		ارمین to deliver testimony to the <i>task force)</i>
County Council regarding	18 – 2019 (bill or resolution number)	to express the organization's
support for y opposition to / req (Please circle one		
Printed Name: <u>Brian</u>	Neuin	
Signature: <u></u>		
Date: <u>5120119</u>		
Organization: Howard	County Public Se	hool System
Organization Address: 102	110 Clarksville Pil	ke
<u> </u>	cott City, MD à	1042
Number of Members: 56	,000 Students	
Name of Chair/President:	Mavis Ellis	

This form can be submitted electronically via email to connectionality have advantaged way no later than Spm the day of the Public Hearing or delivered in person the night of the Public Hearing before testifying.

|--|

ł

HOWARD COUNTY COUNCIL AFFIDAVIT OF AUTHORIZATION TO TESTIFY ON BEHALF OF AN ORGANIZATION

I, TONYA TIRANY (name of individual)	_, have been duly authorized by
PTA CONCIL of HOWARD Coust (name of nonprofit organization or government board, commission, or task.	
County Council regarding $\underline{CB-16-2019}$ (bill or resolution number)	to express the organization's
support for/ opposition to / request to amend this legislation. (Please circle one.)	
Printed Name: Tonya Tiffany Signature:	
Signature:	
Date: 5/20/19	
Organization: <u>PTACHC</u>	
Organization Address: <u>5451 Beaver Kill R.O</u>	
Columbia MD 2104	4
Number of Members:	
Name of Chair/President: Brest Loveless	

This form can be submitted electronically via email to <u>councilmail@howardcountymd.gov</u> no later than 5pm the day of the Public Hearing or delivered in person the night of the Public Hearing before testifying.

POILCE POILCE

LISA D. MYERS Chief of Police

CALVIN BALL County Executive

HOWARD COUNTY DEPARTMENT OF POLICE 3410 Court House Drive, Ellicott City, Maryland 21043

DATE: May 14, 2019

TO: Lonnie R. Robbins Chief Administrative Officer

- FROM: Lisa D. Myers **APM** Chief of Police
- **SUBJECT:** Testimony for Council Bill No. 18-2019 which would allow for the placement of school bus monitoring cameras on busses and the issuance of citations

As the Chief of the Howard County Police Department, I recognize there is no greater responsibility than to maximize the safety of the children within our community. According to the National Highway Traffic Safety Administration, between 2006 and 2015, there were 1,313 people killed in school transportation-related accidents. Approximately 102 of those victims were classified as school-age pedestrians.

Naturally, I am eager to partner with Howard County Public Schools to promote school bus safety. Council Bill No. 18-2019 allows for the placement of school bus monitoring cameras on buses and the issuance of citations. The use of school bus monitoring cameras as an enforcement tool is authorized by the Maryland Transportation Article of the Annotated Code of Maryland, Section 21-706.1. The placement of cameras on busses to capture motorist violations is a proven approach to raising awareness, deterring violations, and issuing fines when children are placed at risk.

The use of similar automated enforcement technology has been successful in Howard County for capturing red light violations and speed violations in school zones.

Partnering with our school system, this program would place cameras on HCPS-contracted school busses to capture images of vehicles which violate Section 21-706 of the Transportation Article of the Annotated Code of Maryland that requires drivers to stop within a certain distance from a school vehicle when the school vehicle is operating its alternately flashing red lights. The technology has been successfully used elsewhere in Maryland.



Page 2 - 5/14/19 Chief Myers' Testimony for Council Bill No. 18-2019

Our neighbors in Montgomery County have been successfully using similar technology since 2016. Washington and St. Mary's Counties also have on-going programs that are much more limited (only 7 equipped buses in Washington County and 5 equipped in St. Mary's.) It is important to recognize that Frederick County tried a similar program at the beginning of the 2012/2013 school year but discontinued the program in early 2017 when the volume was too low to sustain the ongoing effort.

A program here in Howard County would begin when an authorized vendor installs the necessary equipment in contracted school buses as managed by our School System. The equipment would automatically begin video recording when a school bus stop-arm is extended. Encrypted video would likely be transmitted to our Regional Automated Enforcement Center, where human processors would manually review the events to see if a vehicle approached and overtook the school bus while the stop equipment was activated. If a violation is identified, still shots are obtained, including a license plate image. The vehicle's registered owner is then identified using the National Law Enforcement Telecommunications Service (NLETS) and the Police Department's Originating Agency Identifier (ORI). The images, video, owner information and vehicle information would then be reviewed by Howard County Police personnel to accept or reject as a civil violation. Approved violations would then be printed and mailed. A full-time Police Administrator would manage the process, including quality control review requirements similar to our red light and speed enforcement processes.

Registered owners receiving a citation would be afforded the standard appeal process and the HCPD's Administrator would appear in court for those cases. Research reveals that less than 0.5% of issued citations result in a hearing request (this is on par with our experience for red light camera violations)¹. Other research indicates that hearing requests are at approximately 0.1% in Maryland¹¹. Montgomery County reports dockets of 75 cases per month from their approximately 500-600+ equipped buses as of October 2018.

The amount of the actual fine will be set by the Courts, but we anticipate each violation will be \$250. If a police officer witnessed the same violation, the driver would face a citation for \$570 dollars and 3 points.

The intent of the program is to modify driver behaviors to use more caution around school buses. A robust public awareness campaign would accompany this project to help raise awareness.

It is difficult to project how many violations might be captured in Howard County. However, based on the data known to us from Montgomery County along with a two-month Howard County study^{III}, violations could range from 7,000-50,000 or more per year, if all 468 Howard County buses were equipped with cameras.

The police department is prepared to work swiftly to develop a Memorandum of Understanding with the School System to launch this project. We know that the initial launch may be just 50 of the 468 Howard County busses and expand over time, similar to program

Page 3 - 5/14/19 Chief Myers' Testimony for Council Bill No. 18-2019

implementation in other jurisdictions. The police department has requested the needed new position of Program Administrator in our FY2020 operating budget. The cost of the program Administrator is approximately \$86,812 annually. The position is the only cost associated with this program. We are aware that the contracted vendor may keep up to 100% of citation revenue until such time as they have recovered the cost of equipment and program implementation, after that time, some type of revenue sharing will likely be developed by the County and School Board.

Any actual revenue generated from school bus monitoring cameras is restricted similar to speed camera program revenue.^{IV}

Even though we can not project the full volume of violations at this time, the need to enhance student safety warrants the implementation of this program. Even if no revenues reach the County, reducing the likelihood of a student being struck is invaluable. The goal of this program would be to modify negative driving behaviors that put our children at risk, not generate fine revenue.

I welcome the opportunity to provide further information or answer questions that would support this initiative.

¹ This data was provided by Bus Patrol, an established industry vendor.

¹¹ Source of data is Verra Mobility, an established industry vendor.

In 2014, Howard County operated a pilot program from April 30, 2014, to June 20, 2014, on two school buses. Thirty-three (33) potential violations were recorded over thirty-six (36) school days (averaging 0.46 potential violations per bus, per school day). We have also researched the violation rate in Montgomery County, which ranges from 0.18 citations per bus, per day to 0.358 citations per bus, per day based on the location of the bus route and other factors.

iv According to Senate Bill 679 (Ch. 273, Acts 2011) and Courts and Judicial Proceedings Article § 7-302 (e)(4)(i)(1-2) it may be used to, "...recover the costs of implementing and administering [the program]...," and "...may spend any remaining balance solely for public safety purposes, including pedestrian safety programs."

.