

Sayers, Margery

From: Kris Maciorowski <komaciorowski@yahoo.com>
Sent: Friday, September 20, 2019 9:56 AM
To: CouncilMail
Subject: Route 108 and Old Columbia Rd

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Hello,

I am against selling the land at 108 and Old Columbia to a private builder. That area can not handle any more congestion it is beyond congested now at rush hour times. Please stop caving to builders and think about the people who live here. We also don't need any more housing bc the schools are too overcrowded. People first not developers!

Thank you,
Kris Maciorowski
3708 Mesa Ct
21042

Sent from my iPhone

Sayers, Margery

From: Kristine Liggett <kristine.liggett99@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, September 20, 2019 9:31 AM
To: CouncilMail
Subject: Council Resolution 89-"Dorsey Overlook"

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Good morning,

It was recently brought to my attention by district representative Liz Walsh that in today's work session the subject resolution will be discussed.

As a resident of Dorsey Village, I am disappointed in this decision. The traffic at the intersection of 108 and Columbia road is very congested and even dangerous at peak traffic times when trying to merge into the right turning lane exiting 29. I would rather that land be used to widen the road to better accommodate the high volume of traffic we already have, versus allowing development that is going compound the issue and increase overcrowding of this area. Furthermore I am disappointed that residents were not given the opportunity to vote on this resolution. I do not feel that the county government is appropriately representing my interest or the communities interest with these actions.

Sincerely,

Kristine Liggett

Sent from my iPhone

Sayers, Margery

From: Melissa Kistler <melissa.kistler@me.com>
Sent: Friday, September 20, 2019 9:30 AM
To: CouncilMail
Subject: CR89-2019

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Hello-

I see that CR89 has been brought back for consideration after being tabled. I wanted to reiterate my stance of opposition on this. It is not appropriate to allow the developer to purchase the land requested for \$50,000. Can I buy it for \$50,000??? My son would love having that space to ride his bike around on. Perhaps more green space could be added? I can think of many better uses.

In a time where schools are overcrowded and underfunded, it just plain wrong to basically give any land away. The developer paid over a million dollars for other property related to the project- why only \$50,000??? Why not a competitive bidding process? Why not think through how this land can better utilized? I realize is a road that is not really used. However, to not have the developer pay premium price vs. a deeply discounted price is not right. Waivers and special rights for developers is one the largest, if not the largest, contributor to lacking infrastructure, flooding, overcrowded schools, inequity in schools, and quite frankly a lot the divide we are seeing in the county. Little things like CR89 are what snowball into a mess. Little waivers and allowances lead to big messes. Do the right thing with this- and with any other special considerations for development. It needs to slow down. It needs to wait until schools, roads, and environmental concerns can catch up!

-Melissa Kistler

410-370-2162