

**Sayers, Margery**

---

**From:** Yungmann, David  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 20, 2019 11:24 AM  
**To:** Susan Garber; CouncilMail  
**Subject:** RE: In opposition to CB 65-2019

Susan,

Your concerns are confusing since you object to it benefitting only one property owner but are worried about it chipping away protections for other properties. We specifically limited this change to this single Conditional Use. BTW, this is not a subdivision, it's a Conditional Use.

Most Conditional Uses originate when a property or business owner has an idea and demonstrates to county authorities that it could benefit the community. An active youth sports coach from my neighborhood came up with this idea to address a critical community need. He spent the money to create the Conditional Use and will be the first to go through the approval process. However, I am already discussing with another property owner and am hopeful that a few will end up being created. There is tremendous demand and not much profit to be made in this type of operation so we need property owners who are willing to do something good for the community.

Please keep in mind that this is not the ZRA to create a new Conditional Use or approve one, it's a minor technical revision. In this case the set-back is from a farm under common ownership and not even close to a home. We did not ask that it be set 25 feet, rather kept it at 75 feet unless the authority saw it appropriate to reduce it. It's common for a council member to introduce a ZRA for small things.

David Yungmann  
Howard County Council – District 5  
(410) 313-2001  
<https://cc.howardcountymd.gov/Districts/District-5>

---

**From:** Susan Garber <buzysusan23@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, November 18, 2019 2:04 PM  
**To:** CouncilMail <CouncilMail@howardcountymd.gov>  
**Subject:** In opposition to CB 65-2019

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Dear Council members,

I see no reason to continue legislating ZRAs designed to benefit a single owner and single situation—in effect 'doing a constituent a favor'. Such favors are not easily available to all. In this case, it appears that the owner should simply subdivide his property before proceeding and follow existing regulations. Our existing regulations, particularly those dealing with Conditional Use, are already chipping away any protections for other properties.

Sincerely,

Susan Garber



## Sayers, Margery

---

**From:** Yungmann, David  
**Sent:** Wednesday, November 20, 2019 11:24 AM  
**To:** Susan Garber; CouncilMail  
**Subject:** RE: In opposition to CB 65-2019

Susan,

Your concerns are confusing since you object to it benefitting only one property owner but are worried about it chipping away protections for other properties. We specifically limited this change to this single Conditional Use. BTW, this is not a subdivision, it's a Conditional Use.

Most Conditional Uses originate when a property or business owner has an idea and demonstrates to county authorities that it could benefit the community. An active youth sports coach from my neighborhood came up with this idea to address a critical community need. He spent the money to create the Conditional Use and will be the first to go through the approval process. However, I am already discussing with another property owner and am hopeful that a few will end up being created. There is tremendous demand and not much profit to be made in this type of operation so we need property owners who are willing to do something good for the community.

Please keep in mind that this is not the ZRA to create a new Conditional Use or approve one, it's a minor technical revision. In this case the set-back is from a farm under common ownership and not even close to a home. We did not ask that it be set 25 feet, rather kept it at 75 feet unless the authority saw it appropriate to reduce it. It's common for a council member to introduce a ZRA for small things.

David Yungmann  
Howard County Council – District 5  
(410) 313-2001  
<https://cc.howardcountymd.gov/Districts/District-5>

---

**From:** Susan Garber <buzysusan23@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, November 18, 2019 2:04 PM  
**To:** CouncilMail <CouncilMail@howardcountymd.gov>  
**Subject:** In opposition to CB 65-2019

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Dear Council members,

I see no reason to continue legislating ZRAs designed to benefit a single owner and single situation—in effect 'doing a constituent a favor'. Such favors are not easily available to all. In this case, it appears that the owner should simply subdivide his property before proceeding and follow existing regulations. Our existing regulations, particularly those dealing with Conditional Use, are already chipping away any protections for other properties.

Sincerely,

Susan Garber



## Sayers, Margery

---

**From:** Susan Garber <buzysusan23@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, November 18, 2019 2:04 PM  
**To:** CouncilMail  
**Subject:** In opposition to CB 65-2019

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Dear Council members,

I see no reason to continue legislating ZRAs designed to benefit a single owner and single situation—in effect 'doing a constituent a favor'. Such favors are not easily available to all. In this case, it appears that the owner should simply subdivide his property before proceeding and follow existing regulations. Our existing regulations, particularly those dealing with Conditional Use, are already chipping away any protections for other properties.

Sincerely,

Susan Garber