

Sayers, Margery

From: Michael Goldrich <mgoldrich@ipmglobal.org>
Sent: Thursday, April 2, 2020 10:51 AM
To: CouncilMail
Cc: Michael Goldrich; Sahi Rafiullah
Subject: Subject: CS0006540 - Proposals CB39-2020 and CB9-2020 Opposition

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

April 1, 2020
Howard County Council Members
George Howard Building
3430 Court House Drive
Ellicott City, MD 21043

Dear Council Members,

This letter is to express our opposition to proposal CB39-2020, where the Adkinsons are proposing to add their barn to the historic register. We also don't support proposal CB9-2020 that contains language that would eliminate the need of a petitioner to obtain written approval of all parties to the easement.

My wife and I located to 12044 Open Run Road, Ellicott City, MD 21042 in 2011 because of our love of Riverwood and its neighboring communities. We strongly believe approval of these proposals will:

- Destroy the original and intended beauty of the Riverwood community that we and our neighbors have enjoyed
- Introduce an unacceptable and egregious noise level to Riverwood and surrounding communities that could never have been anticipated with exiting zoning restrictions
- Lower the property values of all houses in Riverwood and surrounding communities
- Introduce potential crime and vandalism into the Riverwood and surrounding communities and adversely affect and endanger children playing on the street
- Introduce dangerous driving circumstances on Castlebridge Road which is the main road for the Riverwood community for traffic entering from Homewood Rd.

We strongly urge the County to reject these proposals and encourage the Adkinsons to consider another location with approved zoning regulations, in existence, that can support their business plan. We are willing to meet with you to discuss our request further if you need more information.

Sincerely,

Mike Goldrich and Sahira Rafiullah
12044 Open Run Road
Ellicott City MD 21042
3018540560

Sayers, Margery

From: Norm Long <NormLong@havtech.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 1, 2020 3:57 PM
To: CouncilMail
Cc: Denise
Subject: CS0006540 - Proposals CB39-2020 and CB9-2020 Opposition

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

April 1, 2020

Howard County Council Members
George Howard Building
3430 Court House Drive
Ellicott City, MD 21043

Dear Council Members,

This letter is to express my strong opposition to proposal CB39-2020, where the petitioners are proposing to add their barn to the historic register—in order to operate a commercial event venue. I also don't support proposal CB9-2020 that contains language that would eliminate the need of a petitioner to obtain written approval of all parties to the any easement.

My wife and I built our home in 2008 at 11226 Kinsale Court, Ellicott City, MD 21042 for four main reasons:

1. because it was in Howard County (for the cultural openness and inclusivity the county represents)
2. because of our love of the layout of Riverwood landscape and common shared acreage
3. because it was a very private and secluded residential neighborhood
4. and finally because the surrounding communities were also **residential neighborhoods**.

At the time of our purchase-this was a residential neighborhood, and that continues to this day. We did not buy in the hopes that commercial event venues would follow us, rather we purchased a house in Riverwood for the exact opposite reason, that the residential environment/surroundings be maintained. The intent and request for approval of CB39-2020 is contradictory to the preservation of the residential neighborhood and **should not be approved**—there are plenty of other areas in Howard County where commercial operations for this type of venue can be located.

Approval of these two proposals will:

- Destroy the beauty of the Riverwood community that we bought into with the expectation that it would remain as a residential community with no commercial operations within the community or its neighboring communities
- Introduce un-acceptable high noise levels to Riverwood and surrounding communities due to increased traffic and entertainment at the venue
- Lower the property values of all houses in Riverwood and surrounding communities (this equates to a lower tax revenues for Howard County)
- Introduce crime into the Riverwood and surrounding communities
- Introduce reckless driving on Castlebridge Road which is the main road for the Riverwood community
- Increase the probability of those using Riverwood for egress from the venue being intoxicated and/or under the influence of other legal/illegal drugs due to the venue being for the gathering of people to socialize in the celebration of milestone events

I strongly urge the County to reject both of these proposals and encourage the petitioners to consider another location with approved zoning regulations that can support their business plan. I am willing to meet with you to discuss this request further.

Sincerely,

On behalf of my wife and me:

Normand and Denise Long

11226 Kinsale Court

Ellicott City, MD 21042

normlong@havtech.com

deelong22@aol.com

Sayers, Margery

From: Akinwale Akinpelu <waleakinpelu@verizon.net>
Sent: Wednesday, April 1, 2020 3:03 PM
To: CouncilMail
Subject: CS0006540 - Proposals CB39-2020 and CB9-2020 Opposition

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

April 1, 2020
Howard County Council Members
George Howard Building
3430 Court House Drive
Ellicott City, MD 21043
Dear Council Members,

This letter is to express our opposition to proposal CB39-2020, where the Adkinsons are proposing to add their barn to the historic register. We also don't support proposal CB9-2020 that contains language that would eliminate the need of a petitioner to obtain written approval of all parties to the easement.

My wife and I built our retirement home at 12048 Open Run Road, Ellicott City, MD 21042 in 2009 because of our love of the layout of Riverwood and its neighborhood communities. We strongly believe approval of these proposals will:

- Destroy the beauty of the Riverwood community that we have enjoyed
- Introduce un-acceptable high noise level to Riverwood and surrounding communities
- Lower the property values of all houses in Riverwood and surrounding communities
- Introduce crime into the Riverwood and surrounding communities
- Introduce reckless driving on Castlebridge Road which is the main road for the Riverwood community

We strongly urge the County to reject these proposals and encourage the Adkinsons to consider another location with approved zoning regulations that can support their business plan. We are willing to meet with you to discuss our request further if you need more information.

Sincerely,
Jackie & Wale Akinpelu
12048 Open Run Road
Ellicott City, MD 20142
jakinpelu@verizon.net and waleakinpelu@verizon.net

Sayers, Margery

From: Kenneth Moreland <kmoreland78@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, April 1, 2020 11:10 AM
To: CouncilMail
Cc: Jung, Deb; Yungmann, David; Rigby, Christiana; Jones, Opel; Walsh, Elizabeth; Ball, Calvin; Gowan, Amy
Subject: Re: Oppose CR39-2020

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

TO: Howard County Council

CC: Calvin Ball, County Executive, Amy Gowan, Director of DPZ

RE: CR39-2020

Council Members:

My name is Kenneth Moreland, and my wife and I have been residents of Howard County for 32 years. We currently reside in West Friendship, Maryland, however, we are in the process of constructing a new home at 4898 Castlebridge Rd, Ellicott City. I am writing to express our objection to CR39-2020, specifically adding a barn (referred to in the Resolution as Doughoregan Manor Tenant Farm 43), located at 4888 Castlebridge Road, Ellicott City, to the County's inventory of historic sites.

The Adkinsons purchased this property (about 10 acres, including a house, a buildable lot and the subject barn) in the summer of 2019. On Monday, March 9, 2020, there was a Pre-Submission Community meeting held where the Adkinsons and their attorney, Mr. Sang Oh, presented plans for potentially filing a Conditional Use Petition for "Limited Social Assemblies" for the subject property. Although it was not disclosed at this public meeting, I have since learned that this barn structure is one of two properties that is seeking to be added to the County's historic property inventory in CR39-2020.

I object to this barn being added to the County's historic property inventory. It appears the Adkinsons want to get this barn on the Historic Inventory so that they can run a public "event" business on their property. If the Adkinsons don't get this property designated as "historic", then they are not eligible for the aforementioned Conditional Use. I do not believe this was the intended purpose of the County's historic designation and related restoration tax credits.

Further, the description of the property attached to the Resolution states " It almost certainly replaces an earlier barn, but the history of the farm and the tenants who worked the land has not yet been uncovered." How can something be deemed historic if it "replaced" something for which the history is "uncovered"? If the descendants of John Carroll (who have other Doughoregan Manor structures in the historic inventory) did not deem it necessary or appropriate to seek this historic designation over the last 50 years, why would the current owners, who have owned the property less than a year, be so inclined? Likely because their desire for historic designation is inspired by revenue/profit generating motives. Again, I do not believe this is an appropriate use of the County's Historic Inventory designation.

Thank you very much for your service to our County, and for your consideration of my views on CR39-2020.

Sincerely,

Sayers, Margery

From: Fred Dorsey <fdorsey1130@verizon.net>
Sent: Wednesday, April 1, 2020 11:06 AM
To: CouncilMail
Subject: CR 39-2020

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

County Council Members,

My name is Fred Dorsey and I live at 10774 Judy Lane in Colombia, 21044. I am president of Preservation Howard County submitting testimony on behalf of our board in support of CR 39-2020 for supplementing and amending to the Historic Sites Inventory.

The historic sites to be added to the Howard County Historic Sites inventory are among a number of sites over the years that have been overlooked in the establishment of the Inventory as directed by the Maryland General Assemble in the 1970's. It is important to add these sites for their place in our history and heritage. The addition will also provide the property owners to be eligible for the Historic Property Tax Credit. This financial resource provides assistance in the historic maintenance and continued preservation of the buildings approved by the Historic Preservation Commission.

Preservation Howard County asks for you favorable vote on CR 39-2020

Fred Dorsey