

Sayers, Margery

From: KIMBOL ZHANG <kimbol.zhang@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 19, 2020 2:28 PM
To: CouncilMail
Subject: Strong Against CB63-2020

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Dear Council Members.

I'm frustrated with the CB63-2020 proposed by Dr. Opel Jones recently.

We pay our tax to support the benefit and welfare of the county. we don't want to see our hard earned money wasted on something that is against our welfare and well being of our life in this society.

I understand each of you have your own political goals for bringing in illegal immigrants, either for cheaper business cost or whatever, but DO NOT do this at the expense of the county citizens who voted you up to the seat you are now.

This is ugly.

Kimbol

Sayers, Margery

From: bbstar <bbstar@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, November 19, 2020 1:15 PM
To: CouncilMail
Subject: No CB-63

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Dear Council members,

I live in D2 and I want to ask Opel Jones:

Why do you put illegal aliens ABOVE legal residents?

Are you serving illegal aliens rather than legal residents?

Do you know your job as a council member is to protect county residents' safety & enhance their well-being? Why are you doing the OPPOSITE?

Why do you expose legal residents under FEAR & danger since they are likely to be harmed by illegal alien criminals/gangsters?

Do you know that all legal immigrants have gone thru years of VETTING process - criminal background check, finger print, etc. but illegal aliens have NONE? And do you know how dangerous that is without vetting?

Do you know all sanctuary cities have suffered higher drug/rape/murder crimes? It's a fact! Don't deny it! You need to educate yourself!

If you don't know the answers and don't know your job, you need to drop CB63!

Please stop HURTING our county legal residents!

County residents should always be FIRST, not illegal aliens!

vote "NO" on CB9.

Thank you.
Tiffany M

Dear County Council Member:

November 19, 2020

I urge you to support CB63-2020. Our county and entire country are in a time of crisis. Many residents need help for their well-being: financial, health, and basic needs. We should remove as many barriers to life-saving help as possible and make our neighbors feel and be more safe. They should not have the worry in their mind of if I request help will I be harassed, deported, etc. No person is illegal. It is inhumane to be interning or deporting people when that most likely is a death sentence. This bill would help maintain confidentiality and reduce discrimination. It will save and improve lives. Please support CB63-2020.

Thank you,
J. Kim Birnbaum

Sayers, Margery

From: sunsy cd@yahoo.com
Sent: Thursday, November 19, 2020 8:53 AM
To: CouncilMail
Subject: No cb 63

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Dear Council Members,

I am astounded with CB63-2020 proposed by Dr. Opel Jones that is clearly intended to make Howard County a sanctuary county. In 2017, in response to the widespread opposition from Howard County communities which caught national media attention, a sanctuary bill was vetoed by then Chief Executive and was finally abandoned by the county council. This sanctuary bill also became a hot campaign topic in the 2018 county election. And now, Dr. Jones wants to rewrite history and challenge the will of the people to open the gate to illegal immigrants.

The moral justification is presumably “No Human is Illegal”. This is an absurd statement – illegality is never associated with the nature a human being, but associated with his or her conduct. By entering this country in violation with federal immigration laws, foreign immigrants, for whatever the reasons, broke the law and became “illegal”. The fact that our great country is a country of immigrants does not justify illegal immigration. Immigrants are a source of strength to our great country, but there is no high moral ground by encouraging illegal immigration.

Secondly, immigration is not a shared responsibility between the federal government and local government, but strictly a responsibility of the federal government and an area to which federal laws apply. The obstruction of federal law enforcement by states and cities creates anarchy in the governance of a country. If a local government disagrees with the federal government or the interpretation of a federal law, it should go through an orderly process to challenge the federal government, but refusing to comply with federal government or law is by itself detrimental to the foundation of this country. For these who are championing for democracy, remember law and order are always the foundation of any democracy.

I hope the county council has the courage and wisdom to vote down CB63-2020. Howard County votes are watching you!

[Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android](#)

Sayers, Margery

From: caiguimei@gmail.com
Sent: Thursday, November 19, 2020 8:12 AM
To: CouncilMail
Subject: No CB-63

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Dear Council Members,

I am astounded with CB63-2020 proposed by Dr. Opel Jones that is clearly intended to make Howard County a sanctuary county. In 2017, in response to the widespread opposition from Howard County communities which caught national media attention, a sanctuary bill was vetoed by then Chief Executive and was finally abandoned by the county council. This sanctuary bill also become a hot campaign topic in the 2018 county election. And now, Dr. Jones wants to rewrite history and challenge the will of the people to open the gate to illegal immigrants.

The moral justification is presumably “No Human is Illegal”. This is an absurd statement – illegality is never associated with the nature a human being, but associated with his or her conduct. By entering this country in violation with federal immigration laws, foreign immigrants, for whatever the reasons, broke the law and became “illegal”. The fact that our great country is a country of immigrants does not justify illegal immigration. Immigrants are a source of strength to our great country, but there is no high moral ground by encouraging illegal immigration.

Secondly, immigration is not a shared responsibility between the federal government and local government, but strictly a responsibility of the federal government and an area to which federal laws apply. The obstruction of federal law enforcement by states and cities creates anarchy in the governance of a country. If a local government disagrees with the federal government or the interpretation of a federal law, it should go through an orderly process to challenge the federal government, but refusing to comply with federal government or law is by itself detrimental to the foundation of this country. For these who are championing for democracy, remember law and order are always the foundation of any democracy.

I hope the county council has the courage and wisdom to vote down CB63-2020. Howard County votes are watching you!

Sincerely,

Guimei Cai

Sayers, Margery

From: Cindy Liou <CLiou@supportkind.org>
Sent: Wednesday, November 18, 2020 8:37 PM
To: CouncilMail
Cc: Elisabeth Lopez
Subject: Public Comment Re: Howard County Liberty Act CB No. 63-2020
Attachments: KIND Support of Howard County Liberty Act Public Comment--11.16.20.pdf

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Hello,

Kids in Need of Defense (KIND) would like to provide the following comment for the Howard County Liberty Act, CB No. 63-2020. I am unable to upload online. Please confirm with me your receipt of this comment or there is another procedure that we should follow.

Thank you.

Best regards,

Cindy C. Liou, Esq.

State Policy Director

Kids in Need of Defense (KIND)

200 Pine Street, Third Floor

San Francisco, CA 94104

tel: (415) 694-7383; fax: (415) 956-9022

cliou@supportkind.org

www.supportkind.org

Pronouns: she/her/hers

KIND

KIDS IN NEED OF DEFENSE



Please note that I am working remotely.

Confidentiality Notice: The information contained in this email and any attachments is intended only for the recipient[s] listed above and may be privileged and confidential. Any dissemination, copying, or use of or reliance upon such information by or to anyone other than the recipient[s] listed above is prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the sender immediately at the email address above and destroy any and all copies of this message.



November 16, 2020

Submitted electronically
Howard County Council

Re: Support of Howard County Liberty Act, Council Bill No. 63-2020

Dear Howard County Council,

Kids in Need of Defense (KIND) submits the following to the Howard County Council regarding the importance of creating county-wide laws like the Liberty Act, Bill No. 63-2020, that will provide all Howard County residents access to fair and equal services regardless of race, ethnicity, or immigration status. Howard County is a place dedicated to principles of diversity and inclusion for its more than 64,000 foreign-born residents, and believes in supporting all children to reach their full potential.

Established in 2008, Kids in Need of Defense (KIND) is a nonprofit organization with ten national field offices dedicated to the protection of unaccompanied children (UC), who arrive as children to the U.S. alone without a parent or legal guardian. Most of these children have fled poverty and violence, and have suffered from extreme forms of trauma in their home country, in transit, at the border, or sometimes after release from federal custody to the community. KIND protects UC by providing legal representation in immigration court, social services coordination, and works to advocate for laws and policies to protect unaccompanied children. Since 2008, KIND's Baltimore office has been serving UC released to, residing, and attending school in Maryland and Howard County. From federal FY15-FY20, 15,841 UC were released to Maryland. To date, Maryland places sixth among all states receiving the most released UC.

The Liberty Act revises local ordinances to prohibit all County employees from inquiring about the status of an individual's citizenship, protect the confidentiality of a person's immigration status, and forbid discrimination based on immigration status. KIND supports the construction, passage and implementation of the Liberty Act in all manners that will result in the protection of immigrant children and their families and ensure fair access to benefits and services that they are entitled to.

From KIND's experience serving UC for over a decade in multiple jurisdictions, policies and legislation that reduce barriers for immigrant children and their families to access services and benefits help the community thrive. These policies are also beneficial for public safety reasons and help immigrant children and their families feel safe to step forward to receive help when suffering from abuse and violence. Additionally, many UC are eligible for or have obtained legal remedies designed to protect survivors of abuse and violence like asylum, Special Immigrant Juvenile Status (SIJS), U visas, and T visas—all which entitle eligibility for certain benefits. However, there are many reports of those who are not accessing these benefits due to general fear of authorities, fueled by chilling effects from federal public charge rules. Fear of accessing services has been especially damaging during the pandemic, which has exacerbated existing vulnerabilities for UC. Policies like the Liberty Act that can encourage children to access local, state, and federal emergency services and benefits is more important now than ever.

KIND acknowledges that there are times when county employees like child welfare professionals may access information regarding a child's immigration status or place of birth in the pursuit of their

professional duties to assist and protect this child. KIND has generally received referrals and worked with county-level child welfare professionals in these cases to assist the children with legal remedies that they may be eligible, such as SIJS, U visas, and T visas. It is important that the Liberty Act be written, construed, and implemented in a manner that maximizes protection of both children in need of services, as well as their family members simultaneously. KIND recommends amending the Liberty Act with clarifying language. Some suggestions include but are not limited to amendment language such as:

- Nothing in this Act shall be construed as to prohibit any county employee, upon request of the noncitizen, from aiding a noncitizen to be provided referrals for assistance or obtain benefits and services, including immigration and child welfare-related services, pursuant to local, state, and federal laws.
- When an inquiry, request or investigation into nationality, immigration status or citizenship, including place of birth, is required to be or for any reason is made, the Howard County agent or county employee shall not attempt to coerce a response. All persons to whom the inquiries are made shall be explicitly informed of their right to decline to respond, free from fear or threat of retaliation.
- Agents of Howard County and county employees are prohibited from verbally abusing or coercing persons or threatening to take immigration-related enforcement action against them, such as reporting them or their family members to ICE or threatening to take other immigration-related action against them or their family members.
- All applications, questionnaires and interview forms used in relation to the provision of county benefits, opportunities or services shall be reviewed by each agency, and any question requiring disclosure of information related to citizenship or immigration status, unless required by state or federal law, or international treaty, shall be, in the agency's best judgment, either deleted in its entirety or revised such that the disclosure of the information is no longer required. Agencies that have a need for the collection of demographic data related to immigration status for performance measurement shall identify mechanisms that will allow for the separation of the demographic information from personally identifying information.

For implementation purposes, KIND also recommends creating an enforcement mechanism to allow for review of compliance with all Howard County departments, agencies, commissions, and employees with the mandates of this ordinance, and to review questions of noncompliance or when a complaint alleging compliance has been lodged.

With the suggested amendments to strengthen and clarify the protection of immigrant children and their need to access services and benefits in mind, KIND respectfully requests the Howard County Council to approve of the Liberty Act and any inclusive policies and legislation that support the well-being of unaccompanied children and their families.

Sincerely,

/s/

Cindy Liou, State Policy Director, KIND, cliou@supportkind.org
Elisabeth Lopez, Managing Attorney – Baltimore, KIND, elopez@supportkind.org

Sayers, Margery

From: Julia Ju <julia9986@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 18, 2020 8:37 PM
To: Ball, Calvin; CouncilMail
Subject: Against CB-63

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Dear County Executive And Council Members,

>

> My name is Julia Ju and I am a citizen living in Howard County for 18 years! I strongly against CB-63 which intends to turn Howard County into a sanctuary county.

>

> I am fully aware of the radical left agenda in this dangerous direction: putting law-bidding citizens and legal residents in danger and putting illegal immigrants above the law!

>

> I am sure all of you are fully aware CB-63 violates the federal law and constitution, and it is against the will of Howard County residents! Please do the right thing to withdrawal CB-63 immediately or vote against it!

>

> If any of you really want to push for it, please each take 10 illegal immigrants into your home and pilot the CB-63 using your own money and take your own risk instead of using our tax payers money and put all children and residents in the entire county at risk! If, only if, you, your children, and your family highly recommend the pilot after one year, you can present your experience as evidence to support this bill.

>

> Until then, withdraw CB-63 and vote Against it!

>

> Thank you!

>

> Julia Ju

>

> Sent from my iPhone

Sayers, Margery

From: Julia Ju <julia9986@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 18, 2020 8:25 PM
To: CouncilMail; Ball, Calvin B
Subject: Against CB-63

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Dear County Executive And Council Members,

My name is Julia Ju and I am a citizen living in Howard County for 18 years! I strongly against CB-63 which intends to turn Howard County into a sanctuary county.

I am fully aware of the radical left agenda in this dangerous direction: putting law-bidding citizens and legal residents in danger and putting illegal immigrants above the law!

I am sure all of you are fully aware CB-63 violates the federal law and constitution, and it is against the will of Howard County residents! Please do the right thing to withdrawal CB-63 immediately or vote against it!

If any of you really want to push for it, please each take 10 illegal immigrants into your home and pilot the CB-63 using your own money and take your own risk instead of using our tax payers money and put all children and residents in the entire county at risk! If, only if, you, your children, and your family highly recommend the pilot after one year, you can present your experience as evidence to support this bill.

Until then, withdraw CB-63 and vote Against it!

Thank you!

Julia Ju

Sent from my iPhone

Leslie Salgado Testimony in support of CB63-2020

I am Leslie Salgado-Tamayo. I live in District Three in Columbia, MD. I have lived in Columbia, MD since 1972. I am an immigrant and I am the Chair of Friends of Latin America. **I am here to urge you to vote for CB63-2020** - the "Liberty Act" - because it will prohibit all County employees from inquiring about the status of an individual's citizenship and it forbids discrimination against immigrants.

We want ALL people in our community to be treated with respect and to live without fear of being criminalized and denied services. I know hard working people in my community who because of their immigration status, are afraid to seek assistance from the Police or from any other government agency. I also know of families whose children have been afraid to go to school after one of their relatives was detained for being undocumented.

This Bill is particularly important when we live in a national environment where white supremacy and hate for what they consider different is prevalent. I hope this Bill will send a clear message that xenophobia, Islamophobia and the criminalization of black and brown people are unacceptable and furthermore are a violation of human rights laws.

Finally, I highly recommend that when this Bill becomes law, all employees and contractors of Howard County are provided training about this law. In addition, the County needs to inform the public and in particular the immigrant communities about this law.

Please vote in favor of CB63-2020.

Sayers, Margery

From: alilly6@aol.com
Sent: Wednesday, November 18, 2020 3:40 PM
To: CouncilMail; Ball, Calvin; Jones, Opel; OHR
Subject: WHEN YOU ALLOW ILLEGAL ALIENS IN OUR PUBLIC SCHOOLS YOU ARE ALLOWING PEOPLE WHOSE AGES AND BACKGROUNDS CANNOT BE VERIFIED TO GO TO SCHOOL WITH OUR CHILDREN! WE WILL NOT ALLOW FOREIGN INVADERS TO IMPEDE ON THE LAND RIGHTS OF ADOS ABORIGINAL-AMERICANS! C...

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

WE WILL NOT ALLOW FOREIGN INVADERS TO IMPEDE ON THE LAND RIGHTS OF ADOS ABORIGINAL-AMERICANS!
CAUCASIAN COLONISTS HAVE OPENED THE DOORS OF AMERICA TO OTHER FOREIGN COLONISTS FROM ALL AROUND THE WORLD. THE COLONIZATION OF AMERICA ENDS NOW!

WHEN YOU ALLOW ILLEGAL ALIENS IN OUR PUBLIC SCHOOLS YOU ARE ALLOWING PEOPLE WHOSE AGES AND BACKGROUNDS CANNOT BE VERIFIED TO GO TO SCHOOL WITH OUR CHILDREN!

YOU ARE VERY AUDACIOUS NAMING CB63 THE "LIBERTY ACT" AND CREATING AN INITIATIVE TO EASE LEGAL CONSEQUENCES ON ILLEGAL ALIENS WHILE SIMULTANEOUSLY YOU HAVE LITERALLY EXPONENTIALLY MORE ADOS INCARCERATED IN THIS SAME EXACT COUNTY! WHY HAVEN'T YOU CREATED LEGISLATION FOR THE INCARCERATED ADOS? HOW CAN YOU IN GOOD CONSCIOUSNESS ALLOCATE RESOURCES, HOUSING, AND EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES TO ILLEGAL ALIENS WHILE SIMULTANEOUSLY THERE ARE MASSES OF UNEMPLOYED AND HOMELESS ADOS ABORIGINAL-AMERICANS IN THIS VERY COUNTY?! WHICH FOREIGN NATION HAS DACA RIGHTS FOR AMERICAN DESCENDANTS OF SLAVERY WHO ILLEGALLY TRESPASS IN THEIR COUNTRY? DO ADOS NOT DESERVE RECIPROCITY? ADOS TAX DOLLARS LITERALLY SPONSOR DACA AND FOREIGN AID TO COUNTLESS NATIONS BUT WHAT HAVE THE POPULATIONS OF THESE NATIONS RECIPROCATED BACK TO ADOS IN RETURN?- NOTHING! ADOS FOUGHT FOR THE 1965 IMMIGRATION AND NATURALIZATION ACT WHICH GAVE MILLIONS OF IMMIGRANTS CITIZENSHIP- AND THOSE SAME EXACT IMMIGRANT GROUPS ECONOMICALLY EXPLOIT ADOS COMMUNITIES NATIONWIDE. THERE IS NO DIFFERENCE BETWEEN GENTRIFICATION AND FLOODING ADOS COMMUNITIES WITH ILLEGAL ALIENS- EITHER WAY ADOS PEOPLE GET DISPLACED AND BARRED FROM EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES THAT WILL BE GIVEN TO ALIENS. IF WE GO TO THEIR COUNTRIES ILLEGALLY THEY WILL CAGE US, EXTORT US, AND DEPORT US TOO. YOU STILL USE THE COLONIAL TITLE "HOWARD COUNTY" TO REFER TO US BUT THIS IS HARRIET TUBMAN COUNTY AND IF YOU KNEW THE HISTORY OF THIS LAND YOU WOULD KNOW THAT THIS COUNTY IS A CONSOLIDATION OF FORMER SLAVE PLANTATIONS! OUR COMMUNITIES STILL ARE LABELLED BY THE NAMES OF FORMER SLAVE PLANTATIONS SUCH AS "LONG REACH", "OAKLAND MANOR", "HARPER'S FARM", ETC. YOU CANNOT COME HERE TO A FORMER SLAVE PLANTATION AND DISMISS THE UNCOMPENSATED LABOR OF ADOS PEOPLE! YOU DEFINITELY WILL NOT ALLOCATE ADOS TAX DOLLARS TO BE GIVEN TO FOREIGN COLONISTS WHO IMPEDE ON THE COHESION AND AUTONOMY OF ABORIGINAL-AMERICAN PEOPLE THE SAME WAY CAUCASIANS DO. THESE SAME EXACT FOREIGN COLONISTS JOIN THE POLICE DEPARTMENT AND ASSIST CAUCASIANS IN THE MASS INCARCERATION OF ADOS PEOPLE. YOU CANNOT ACCUSE ME OF BEING RACIST FOR ACKNOWLEDGING THE TRUTH! IN THESE FOREIGN COUNTRIES, THEIR INDIGENOUS POPULATIONS COME FIRST. ADOS COME FIRST ON THE FORMER AMERICAN SLAVE PLANTATIONS. ABORIGINAL-AMERICANS COME FIRST IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA. ADOS ARE BY DEFINITION OF ABORIGINAL-AMERICAN AND AFRICAN DESCENT. THESE SAME EXACT IMMIGRANT GROUPS ARE NOT FIGHTING TO GET THE ADOS WHO ARE INCARCERATED IN THEIR COUNTRIES RELEASED! OPEL JONES, CALVIN BALL, USE YOUR TIME TO CREATE A "LIBERTY ACT" THAT WILL RELEASE THE MASSES OF ADOS FROM HOWARD COUNTY DETENTION FACILITIES. CREATE A "LIBERTY ACT" THAT WILL SPECIFICALLY RESTORE THE

AUTONOMY OF ADOS PEOPLE THAT WE WERE STRIPPED OF DURING THE CONTINUOUS COLONIZATION OF OUR ANCESTRAL LANDS IN AMERICA THAT STILL PERSISTS TO THIS DAY!

ADOS HARRIET TUBMAN COUNTY RESIDENT

(MY NAME AND ACTIVISM IS PUBLIC RECORD)

Sayers, Margery

From: Sarah Bi <zhou_sarah@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 18, 2020 3:35 PM
To: CouncilMail
Subject: Oppose CB63-2020

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Dear Council members,

I am a resident of Howard County and have been residing in the county since 2011. I am writing to strongly OPPOSE the sanctuary bill CB63-2020.

Please, take a look at the case in Montgomery county where two illegal aliens raped a teen girl on a public high school campus. The two criminals were undocumented aliens, and one of them is over 18. How many potential undocumented criminals are out there and how can we protect our innocent citizens when these people are undocumented and it will be extremely hard to trace them and keep them in control???? The local MUST work with Federal level in full capacity to track any undocumented aliens, and to ensure the safety of every citizens in the county.

What is the true motivation behind this bill???? Is it partly because it will bring many profits or funding for companies/organizations/government units which provide services / housing etc. to illegal aliens? Or is this bill a part of a particular political agenda? What is the responsibility of the local officials? Should the local officials care more about the people in the county other than political agenda?

I urge you to please put the safety and well-being of this county and its residents FIRST and vote NO to CB63-2020!

Best,
Sarah Bi
Clarksville, MD

Sent from my iPad

Sayers, Margery

From: alilly6@aol.com
Sent: Wednesday, November 18, 2020 3:33 PM
To: Ball, Calvin; Jones, Opel; CouncilMail; Walsh, Elizabeth; Jung, Deb; Rigby, Christiana; Yungmann, David
Subject: WHEN YOU ALLOW ILLEGAL ALIENS IN OUR PUBLIC SCHOOLS YOU ARE ALLOWING PEOPLE WHOSE AGES AND BACKGROUNDS CANNOT BE VERIFIED TO GO TO SCHOOL WITH OUR CHILDREN! WE WILL NOT ALLOW FOREIGN INVADERS TO IMPEDE ON THE LAND RIGHTS OF ADOS ABORIGINAL-AMERICANS! C...

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

WE WILL NOT ALLOW FOREIGN INVADERS TO IMPEDE ON THE LAND RIGHTS OF ADOS ABORIGINAL-AMERICANS!
CAUCASIAN COLONISTS HAVE OPENED THE DOORS OF AMERICA TO OTHER FOREIGN COLONISTS FROM ALL AROUND THE WORLD. THE COLONIZATION OF AMERICA ENDS NOW!

WHEN YOU ALLOW ILLEGAL ALIENS IN OUR PUBLIC SCHOOLS YOU ARE ALLOWING PEOPLE WHOSE AGES AND BACKGROUNDS CANNOT BE VERIFIED TO GO TO SCHOOL WITH OUR CHILDREN!

YOU ARE VERY AUDACIOUS NAMING CB63 THE "LIBERTY ACT" AND CREATING AN INITIATIVE TO EASE LEGAL CONSEQUENCES ON ILLEGAL ALIENS WHILE SIMULTANEOUSLY YOU HAVE LITERALLY EXPONENTIALLY MORE ADOS INCARCERATED IN THIS SAME EXACT COUNTY! WHY HAVEN'T YOU CREATED LEGISLATION FOR THE INCARCERATED ADOS? HOW CAN YOU IN GOOD CONSCIOUSNESS ALLOCATE RESOURCES, HOUSING, AND EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES TO ILLEGAL ALIENS WHILE SIMULTANEOUSLY THERE ARE MASSES OF UNEMPLOYED AND HOMELESS ADOS ABORIGINAL-AMERICANS IN THIS VERY COUNTY?! WHICH FOREIGN NATION HAS DACA RIGHTS FOR AMERICAN DESCENDANTS OF SLAVERY WHO ILLEGALLY TRESPASS IN THEIR COUNTRY? DO ADOS NOT DESERVE RECIPROCITY? ADOS TAX DOLLARS LITERALLY SPONSOR DACA AND FOREIGN AID TO COUNTLESS NATIONS BUT WHAT HAVE THE POPULATIONS OF THESE NATIONS RECIPROCATED BACK TO ADOS IN RETURN?- NOTHING! ADOS FOUGHT FOR THE 1965 IMMIGRATION AND NATURALIZATION ACT WHICH GAVE MILLIONS OF IMMIGRANTS CITIZENSHIP- AND THOSE SAME EXACT IMMIGRANT GROUPS ECONOMICALLY EXPLOIT ADOS COMMUNITIES NATIONWIDE. THERE IS NO DIFFERENCE BETWEEN GENTRIFICATION AND FLOODING ADOS COMMUNITIES WITH ILLEGAL ALIENS- EITHER WAY ADOS PEOPLE GET DISPLACED AND BARRED FROM EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES THAT WILL BE GIVEN TO ALIENS. IF WE GO TO THEIR COUNTRIES ILLEGALLY THEY WILL CAGE US, EXTORT US, AND DEPORT US TOO. YOU STILL USE THE COLONIAL TITLE "HOWARD COUNTY" TO REFER TO US BUT THIS IS HARRIET TUBMAN COUNTY AND IF YOU KNEW THE HISTORY OF THIS LAND YOU WOULD KNOW THAT THIS COUNTY IS A CONSOLIDATION OF FORMER SLAVE PLANTATIONS! OUR COMMUNITIES STILL ARE LABELLED BY THE NAMES OF FORMER SLAVE PLANTATIONS SUCH AS "LONG REACH", "OAKLAND MANOR", "HARPER'S FARM", ETC. YOU CANNOT COME HERE TO A FORMER SLAVE PLANTATION AND DISMISS THE UNCOMPENSATED LABOR OF ADOS PEOPLE! YOU DEFINITELY WILL NOT ALLOCATE ADOS TAX DOLLARS TO BE GIVEN TO FOREIGN COLONISTS WHO IMPEDE ON THE COHESION AND AUTONOMY OF ABORIGINAL-AMERICAN PEOPLE THE SAME WAY CAUCASIANS DO. THESE SAME EXACT FOREIGN COLONISTS JOIN THE POLICE DEPARTMENT AND ASSIST CAUCASIANS IN THE MASS INCARCERATION OF ADOS PEOPLE. YOU CANNOT ACCUSE ME OF BEING RACIST FOR ACKNOWLEDGING THE TRUTH! IN THESE FOREIGN COUNTRIES, THEIR INDIGENOUS POPULATIONS COME FIRST. ADOS COME FIRST ON THE FORMER AMERICAN SLAVE PLANTATIONS. ABORIGINAL-AMERICANS COME FIRST IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA. ADOS ARE BY DEFINITION OF ABORIGINAL-AMERICAN AND AFRICAN DESCENT. THESE SAME EXACT IMMIGRANT GROUPS ARE NOT FIGHTING TO GET THE ADOS WHO ARE INCARCERATED IN THEIR COUNTRIES RELEASED! OPEL JONES, CALVIN BALL, USE YOUR TIME TO CREATE A "LIBERTY ACT" THAT WILL RELEASE THE MASSES OF ADOS FROM HOWARD COUNTY DETENTION FACILITIES. CREATE A "LIBERTY ACT" THAT WILL SPECIFICALLY RESTORE THE

AUTONOMY OF ADOS PEOPLE THAT WE WERE STRIPPED OF DURING THE CONTINUOUS COLONIZATION OF OUR ANCESTRAL LANDS IN AMERICA THAT STILL PERSISTS TO THIS DAY!

ADOS HARRIET TUBMAN COUNTY RESIDENT

(MY NAME AND ACTIVISM IS PUBLIC RECORD)

Sayers, Margery

From: Patricia Williams <pwilliamsmd@verizon.net>
Sent: Wednesday, November 18, 2020 2:06 PM
To: CouncilMail
Subject: CB-63

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Dear Council Members,

I am totally against anything you suggest to make our county and country less safe and less Constitutional. By protecting illegall immigrants at all is the ultimate slap in the face to all welcome immigrants who chose the country and county as home LEGALY. There is absolutely no justification for preventing authorities from doing their jobs, especially when crimes are committed by illegals. Illegals are not welcome here in any capacity as far as true patriots are concerned because they have already disrespected us just by being here. I could go on for months complaining but I know the Left hates the rules of the land and are dead set on letting crime and lawlessness reign. I doubt you will take this into consideration because the council is lopsided once again.

Thanks for trying to pass CB-23 without much transparency to the public. I am angry and unhappy watching the continual demise of the county and the country in 2021.

Pat Williams
Valleymede

Sayers, Margery

From: no-reply@howardcountymd.gov
Sent: Wednesday, November 18, 2020 2:04 PM
To: hong_0072004@yahoo.com
Subject: Council - Please Vote "No" to CB63-2020

First Name: Hong
Last Name: Zheng
Email: hong_0072004@yahoo.com
Street Address: 4128 TIBER FALLS DR
City: Ellicott City
Subject: Please Vote "No" to CB63-2020

Message:

Dear Council members, I have lived in Howard County for 20 years. I felt strongly to protect the integrity of this County against the sanctuary bill CB63-2020. I came to the United States through legal immigration process and became a naturalized US citizen more than 25 years ago. I am all for sensible immigration laws, and we must make a clear distinction between legal immigration and illegal immigration. Dear Council members, you would not encourage break-ins or play favoritism for persons who cut in the line, do you? If so, you would NOT believe in something is designed to work against the existing immigration laws. If you truly believe our immigration laws were flawed, then you should propose a reform in the immigration laws instead of attempting to make rules and regulations at the local level in contrary to the federal laws. By supporting CB63-2020, you would betray our trust and severely undermine the safety and integrity of Howard County. The people who were against CB63 were truly thinking for the wellbeing of the Howard County residents. Whereas the people who were for CB63 were making false narratives as if the immigration laws were outrageously wrong. Again, if the immigration laws were outrageously wrong, they should have fought for changing those laws instead of misusing our tax money to fund their own political agenda! I am with many hardworking Howard County residents. Together we strongly oppose the CB63-2020. On behalf of my friends, neighbors, and colleagues, I respectfully urge you to stand up for the people and fight against this self-serving, insidious, morally corrupt County Bill 63-2020. Thank you! Sincerely yours, Hong Zheng

Sayers, Margery

From: Jiayu Wen <jwen1@jhmi.edu>
Sent: Wednesday, November 18, 2020 1:33 PM
To: CouncilMail
Subject: please vote NO to CB63

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Dear County Council members,

My name is Jiayu Wen, a resident in Howard County for more than 15 years.

I moved to Howard county , because my biggest concern is public safety. And the Council members should not put Howard County police officer, residence personal life and properties under danger and threat by criminals.

Dear council members, Please **vote NO to CB63**.

Thanks and have a nice day.

Jiayu

Sayers, Margery

From: Rong Guo <guorong01@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 18, 2020 1:19 PM
To: CouncilMail
Subject: No CB-63!

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Dear Council Members:

As a resident lived in Howard County for 18 years (from district 3, to 4, then to 5). I am saddened yet not surprised to see the come back of the sanctuary bill CB63. Bills carried same content failed in the past facing strong opposition from residents. The come back of this bill in the middle of COVID distress is certainly very tactical move.

My biggest concern of this bill is public safety and resource allocation. As a resident, we do need law and order, no matter how politically incorrect it may sound. Our schools already has budget deficit. We don't have unlimited resources. If you are a housekeeper for our county as a big family, you need to set your priority.

We entrusted you to be a council member for our county, please vote down bill CB63.

Yours sincerely,

Rong Guo

Howard County Resident

Sayers, Margery

From: Amy Li <ap_pl_ple@hotmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 18, 2020 1:14 PM
To: CouncilMail
Subject: Please vote down bill CB63

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Dear Council Members:

As a resident lived in Howard County for more than 10 years, I am saddened yet not surprised to see the come back of the sanctuary bill CB63. Bills carried same content failed in the past facing strong opposition from residents. The come back of this bill in the middle of COVID distress is certainly very tactical move.

My biggest concern of this bill is public safety and resource allocation. As a resident, we do need law and order, no matter how politically incorrect it may sound. Our schools already has budget deficit. We don't have unlimited resources. If you are a housekeeper for our county as a big family, you need to set your priority. Or, we will vote you down.

We entrusted you to be a council member for our county, please vote down bill CB63.

Yours sincerely,
Weihong Li

Sent from my iPhone

Sayers, Margery

From: Susie Jiang <hjiang71@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 18, 2020 12:59 PM
To: CouncilMail
Subject: Please again CB-63

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Dear council members,

I am a Howard County resident and I have lived here for 19 years. Like all hard-working middle class, my family contribute a lot of income tax to support our County's operation. Here I am strongly against CB-63 because I have many fears and concerns.

My first concern is that the safety of our community will be compromised if this bill passes. this bill is a short term, temporary, and potentially dangerous solution to the much bigger issue of citizenship rather than trying to make a political move to solve this issue in some way. Under sanctuary policies, illegal immigrants can obtain all the benefits living in Howard County regardless of their citizenship. So they don't have to strive to apply for citizenship and go through all the legal steps including criminal background check, medical exam, citizenship test, etc. Lack of criminal background check is very risky. As everybody knows, background check is crucial to maintain a safe and welcoming community. Therefore, I am afraid if this bill passes, the crime rate could increase. The bill could jeopardize the safety for majority of our county's legal resident and citizens.

I am also concerned that our county will run into more fiscal trouble if the bill is passed; our schools are already running out of budget in some areas. If we continue to increase expenditure without proper management, the quality of public school education we have worked so hard to build will be no more.

In addition, another concern is that our community will become unstable. Incoming illegal immigrants will definitely increase in our county as a result of this bill. This situation will add huge financial and economic burden. It may cause depreciation in housing values and degradation of public service. On the whole, I fear this sanctuary status will make our beautiful county become unattractive for law-abiding hardworking young people when they move into our community.

Please consider all of my realistic fears and concerns. We are all very vulnerable and do not want to become victims of CB-63. Please put the citizens and children of Howard County first and say NO to CB-63

Thank you,

Hui Jiang

Sayers, Margery

From: Sun <sunnysunx@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 18, 2020 12:28 PM
To: CouncilMail
Subject: Objection to CB 63

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Dear Council Members,

I am astounded with CB63-2020 proposed by Dr. Opel Jones that is clearly intended to make Howard County a sanctuary county. In 2017, in response to the widespread opposition from Howard County communities which caught national media attention, a sanctuary bill was vetoed by then Chief Executive and was finally abandoned by the county council. This sanctuary bill also became a hot campaign topic in the 2018 county election. And now, Dr. Jones wants to rewrite history and challenge the will of the people to open the gate to illegal immigrants.

The moral justification is presumably “No Human is Illegal”. This is an absurd statement – illegality is never associated with the nature a human being, but associated with his or her conduct. By entering this country in violation with federal immigration laws, foreign immigrants, for whatever the reasons, broke the law and became “illegal”. The fact that our great country is a country of immigrants does not justify illegal immigration. Immigrants are a source of strength to our great country, but there is no high moral ground by encouraging illegal immigration.

Secondly, immigration is not a shared responsibility between the federal government and local government, but strictly a responsibility of the federal government and an area to which federal laws apply. The obstruction of federal law enforcement by states and cities creates anarchy in the governance of a country. If a local government disagrees with the federal government or the interpretation of a federal law, it should go through an orderly process to challenge the federal government, but refusing to comply with federal government or law is by itself detrimental to the foundation of this country. For these who are championing for democracy, remember law and order are always the foundation of any democracy.

I hope the county council has the courage and wisdom to vote down CB63-2020. Howard County voters are closely watching you and hope you make the right decision for us!

Yan

Sayers, Margery

From: CM <coco_maple@hotmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 18, 2020 11:37 AM
To: CouncilMail
Subject: [SUSPECTED SPAM] Stop Illegal Immigration "Sanctuary" Policy in Howard County

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Dear Council members,

If you truly believe our immigration laws were flawed, then you should propose a reform in the immigration laws instead of attempting to make rules and regulations at the local level in contrary to the federal laws. By supporting CB63-2020, you would betray our trust and severely undermine the safety and integrity of Howard County.

I am with many hardworking Howard County residents. Together we strongly oppose the CB63-2020. On behalf of my friends, neighbors, and colleagues, I respectfully urge you to stand up for the people and fight against this self-serving, insidious, morally corrupt County Bill 63-2020.

Sincerely yours,
Yinfen Lorr

<https://www.heritage.org/immigration/commentary/sanctuary-cities-thats-constitutional-hell-no>

Sayers, Margery

From: jing tian <jtian@hotmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 18, 2020 12:05 PM
To: CouncilMail
Subject: Sanctuary bill

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Dear Council Members,

I am astounded with CB63-2020 proposed by Dr. Opel Jones that is clearly intended to make Howard County a sanctuary county. In 2017, in response to the widespread opposition from Howard County communities which caught national media attention, a sanctuary bill was vetoed by then Chief Executive and was finally abandoned by the county council. This sanctuary bill also became a hot campaign topic in the 2018 county election. And now, Dr. Jones wants to rewrite history and challenge the will of the people to open the gate to illegal immigrants.

The moral justification is presumably “No Human is Illegal”. This is an absurd statement – illegality is never associated with the nature a human being, but associated with his or her conduct. By entering this country in violation with federal immigration laws, foreign immigrants, for whatever the reasons, broke the law and became “illegal”. The fact that our great country is a country of immigrants does not justify illegal immigration. Immigrants are a source of strength to our great country, but there is no high moral ground by encouraging illegal immigration.

Secondly, immigration is not a shared responsibility between the federal government and local government, but strictly a responsibility of the federal government and an area to which federal laws apply. The obstruction of federal law enforcement by states and cities creates anarchy in the governance of a country. If a local government disagrees with the federal government or the interpretation of a federal law, it should go through an orderly process to challenge the federal government, but refusing to comply with federal government or law is by itself detrimental to the foundation of this country. For these who are championing for democracy, remember law and order are always the foundation of any democracy.

I hope the county council has the courage and wisdom to vote down CB63-2020. Howard County voters are closely watching you and hope you make the right decision for us!

Sent from my iPhone

Sayers, Margery

From: Bo (Julie) Xie <bxie2005@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 18, 2020 11:59 AM
To: CouncilMail
Subject: No CB-63

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Dear Council Members,

I am astounded with CB63-2020 proposed by Dr. Opel Jones that is clearly intended to make Howard County a sanctuary county. In 2017, in response to the widespread opposition from Howard County communities which caught national media attention, a sanctuary bill was vetoed by then Chief Executive and was finally abandoned by the county council. This sanctuary bill also became a hot campaign topic in the 2018 county election. And now, Dr. Jones wants to rewrite history and challenge the will of the people to open the gate to illegal immigrants.

The moral justification is presumably “No Human is Illegal”. This is an absurd statement – illegality is never associated with the nature a human being, but associated with his or her conduct. By entering this country in violation with federal immigration laws, foreign immigrants, for whatever the reasons, broke the law and became “illegal”. The fact that our great country is a country of immigrants does not justify illegal immigration. Immigrants are a source of strength to our great country, but there is no high moral ground by encouraging illegal immigration.

Secondly, immigration is not a shared responsibility between the federal government and local government, but strictly a responsibility of the federal government and an area to which federal laws apply. The obstruction of federal law enforcement by states and cities creates anarchy in the governance of a country. If a local government disagrees with the federal government or the interpretation of a federal law, it should go through an orderly process to challenge the federal government, but refusing to comply with federal government or law is by itself detrimental to the foundation of this country. For these who are championing for democracy, remember law and order are always the foundation of any democracy.

I hope the county council has the courage and wisdom to vote down CB63-2020. Howard County voters are closely watching you and hope you make the right decision for us!

Thanks,

Bo

A local resident who has lived in HC for over 15 years

Sayers, Margery

From: wei <wwmayflower@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 18, 2020 11:52 AM
To: CouncilMail
Subject: Against CB63

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Dear County Council members,

I am writing this email to let you know I am STRONGLY AGAINST this bill. You may have already heard many many reasons why people are opposed to this bill. I don't want to waste your precious time. I have been talking to my neighbors a lot and from what I know none of them like it. So please vote No to CB63.

Thanks,

Wei Wei

Howard County Resident

Sayers, Margery

From: Lawence <allforlinux@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 18, 2020 10:41 AM
To: CouncilMail
Subject: No CB-63

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Dear Council Members,

I am astounded with CB63-2020 proposed by Dr. Opel Jones that is clearly intended to make Howard County a sanctuary county. In 2017, in response to the widespread opposition from Howard County communities which caught national media attention, a sanctuary bill was vetoed by the then Chief Executive and was finally abandoned by the county council. This sanctuary bill also became a hot campaign topic in the 2018 county election. And now, Dr. Jones wants to rewrite history and challenge the will of the people to open the gate to illegal immigrants.

The moral justification is presumably “No Human is Illegal”. This is an absurd statement – illegality is never associated with the nature of a human being, but associated with his or her conduct. By entering this country in violation with federal immigration laws, foreign immigrants, for whatever the reasons, broke the law and became “illegal”. The fact that our great country is a country of immigrants does not justify illegal immigration. Immigrants are a source of strength to our great country, but there is no high moral ground by encouraging illegal immigration.

Secondly, immigration is not a shared responsibility between the federal government and local government, but strictly a responsibility of the federal government and an area to which federal laws apply. The obstruction of federal law enforcement by states and cities creates anarchy in the governance of a country. If a local government disagrees with the federal government or the interpretation of a federal law, it should go through an orderly process to challenge the federal government, but refusing to comply with federal government or law is by itself detrimental to the foundation of this country. For these who are championing for democracy, remember law and order are always the foundation of any democracy.

I hope the county council has the courage and wisdom to vote down CB63-2020. Howard County votes are watching you!

Cheng

Sayers, Margery

From: Chris Kadoorie <ckhedouri@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 18, 2020 10:37 AM
To: CouncilMail
Subject: NO to CB63-2020

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Dear Council Members,

No to CB63-2020!

I am astounded with CB63-2020 proposed by Dr. Opel Jones that is clearly intended to make Howard County a sanctuary county. In 2017, in response to the widespread opposition from Howard County communities which caught national media attention, a sanctuary bill was vetoed by the former Chief Executive and was finally abandoned by the county council. This sanctuary bill also becomes a hot campaign topic in the 2018 county election. And now, Dr. Jones wants to rewrite history and challenge the will of the people to open the gate to illegal immigrants.

The moral justification is presumably “No Human is Illegal”. This is an absurd statement – illegality is never associated with the nature of a human being, but associated with his or her conduct. By entering this country in violation of federal immigration laws, foreign immigrants, for whatever the reasons, broke the law and became “illegal”. The fact that our great country is a country of immigrants does not justify illegal immigration. Immigrants are a source of strength to our great country, but there is no high moral ground by encouraging illegal immigration.

Secondly, immigration is not a shared responsibility between the federal government and local government, but strictly a responsibility of the federal government and an area to which federal laws apply. The obstruction of federal law enforcement by states and cities creates anarchy in the governance of a country. If a local government disagrees with the federal government or the interpretation of federal law, it should go through an orderly process to challenge the federal government, but refusing to comply with federal government or law is by itself detrimental to the foundation of this country. For those who are championing democracy, remember law and order is always the foundation of any democracy.

I hope the county council has the courage and wisdom to vote down CB63-2020. Howard County voters are watching you!

Sincerely,
Chris Kadoorie
Howard County Resident

Sayers, Margery

From: alilly6@aol.com
Sent: Wednesday, November 18, 2020 10:37 AM
To: Ball, Calvin; Jones, Opel; CouncilMail; Walsh, Elizabeth; Jung, Deb; Rigby, Christiana; Yungmann, David
Subject: WE WILL NOT ALLOW FOREIGN INVADERS TO IMPEDE ON THE LAND RIGHTS OF ADOS ABORIGINAL-AMERICANS! CAUCASIAN COLONISTS HAVE OPENED THE DOORS OF AMERICA TO OTHER FOREIGN COLONISTS FROM ALL AROUND THE WORLD. OUR DOORS ARE CLOSED NOW! THE COLONIZATION OF AMERI...

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

WE WILL NOT ALLOW FOREIGN INVADERS TO IMPEDE ON THE LAND RIGHTS OF ADOS ABORIGINAL-AMERICANS! CAUCASIAN COLONISTS HAVE OPENED THE DOORS OF AMERICA TO OTHER FOREIGN COLONISTS FROM ALL AROUND THE WORLD. THE COLONIZATION OF AMERICA ENDS NOW!

YOU ARE VERY AUDACIOUS NAMING CB63 THE "LIBERTY ACT" AND CREATING AN INITIATIVE TO EASE LEGAL CONSEQUENCES ON ILLEGAL ALIENS WHILE SIMULTANEOUSLY YOU HAVE LITERALLY EXPONENTIALLY MORE ADOS INCARCERATED IN THIS SAME EXACT COUNTY! WHY HAVEN'T YOU CREATED LEGISLATION FOR THE INCARCERATED ADOS? HOW CAN YOU IN GOOD CONSCIOUSNESS ALLOCATE RESOURCES, HOUSING, AND EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES TO ILLEGAL ALIENS WHILE SIMULTANEOUSLY THERE ARE MASSES OF UNEMPLOYED AND HOMELESS ADOS ABORIGINAL-AMERICANS IN THIS VERY COUNTY?! WHICH FOREIGN NATION HAS DACA RIGHTS FOR AMERICAN DESCENDANTS OF SLAVERY WHO ILLEGALLY TRESPASS IN THEIR COUNTRY? DO ADOS NOT DESERVE RECIPROCITY? ADOS TAX DOLLARS LITERALLY SPONSOR DACA AND FOREIGN AID TO COUNTLESS NATIONS BUT WHAT HAVE THE POPULATIONS OF THESE NATIONS RECIPROCATED BACK TO ADOS IN RETURN?- NOTHING! ADOS FOUGHT FOR THE 1965 IMMIGRATION AND NATURALIZATION ACT WHICH GAVE MILLIONS OF IMMIGRANTS CITIZENSHIP- AND THOSE SAME EXACT IMMIGRANT GROUPS ECONOMICALLY EXPLOIT ADOS COMMUNITIES NATIONWIDE. THERE IS NO DIFFERENCE BETWEEN GENTRIFICATION AND FLOODING ADOS COMMUNITIES WITH ILLEGAL ALIENS- EITHER WAY ADOS PEOPLE GET DISPLACED AND BARRED FROM EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES THAT WILL BE GIVEN TO ALIENS. IF WE GO TO THEIR COUNTRIES ILLEGALLY THEY WILL CAGE US, EXTORT US, AND DEPORT US TOO. YOU STILL USE THE COLONIAL TITLE "HOWARD COUNTY" TO REFER TO US BUT THIS IS HARRIET TUBMAN COUNTY AND IF YOU KNEW THE HISTORY OF THIS LAND YOU WOULD KNOW THAT THIS COUNTY IS A CONSOLIDATION OF FORMER SLAVE PLANTATIONS! OUR COMMUNITIES STILL ARE LABELLED BY THE NAMES OF FORMER SLAVE PLANTATIONS SUCH AS "LONG REACH", "OAKLAND MANOR", "HARPER'S FARM", ETC. YOU CANNOT COME HERE TO A FORMER SLAVE PLANTATION AND DISMISS THE UNCOMPENSATED LABOR OF ADOS PEOPLE! YOU DEFINITELY WILL NOT ALLOCATE ADOS TAX DOLLARS TO BE GIVEN TO FOREIGN COLONISTS WHO IMPEDE ON THE COHESION AND AUTONOMY OF ABORIGINAL-AMERICAN PEOPLE THE SAME WAY CAUCASIANS DO. THESE SAME EXACT FOREIGN COLONISTS JOIN THE POLICE DEPARTMENT AND ASSIST CAUCASIANS IN THE MASS INCARCERATION OF ADOS PEOPLE. YOU CANNOT ACCUSE ME OF BEING RACIST FOR ACKNOWLEDGING THE TRUTH! IN THESE FOREIGN COUNTRIES, THEIR INDIGENOUS POPULATIONS COME FIRST. ADOS COME FIRST ON THE FORMER AMERICAN SLAVE PLANTATIONS. ABORIGINAL-AMERICANS COME FIRST IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA. ADOS ARE BY DEFINITION OF ABORIGINAL-AMERICAN AND AFRICAN DESCENT. THESE SAME EXACT IMMIGRANT GROUPS ARE NOT FIGHTING TO GET THE ADOS WHO ARE INCARCERATED IN THEIR COUNTRIES RELEASED! OPEL JONES, CALVIN BALL, USE YOUR TIME TO CREATE A "LIBERTY ACT" THAT WILL RELEASE THE MASSES OF ADOS FROM HOWARD COUNTY DETENTION FACILITIES. CREATE A "LIBERTY ACT" THAT WILL SPECIFICALLY RESTORE THE AUTONOMY OF ADOS PEOPLE THAT WE WERE STRIPPED OF DURING THE CONTINUOUS COLONIZATION OF OUR ANCESTRAL LANDS IN AMERICA THAT STILL PERSISTS TO THIS DAY!

ADOS HARRIET TUBMAN COUNTY RESIDENT

(MY NAME AND ACTIVISM IS PUBLIC RECORD)

Sayers, Margery

From: Liming Cai <limingcai@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 18, 2020 10:31 AM
To: CouncilMail
Subject: Vote NO on CB63!

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Dear Council members,

My name is Liming Cai, a PhD economist in CMS and have been living in Howard County since 2010. I came to the United States many years ago through legal immigration process and became a naturalized citizen more than 15 years ago. I feel very strongly to protect the integrity of this County against the sanctuary bill CB63-2020.

I am astounded by CB63-2020 which is proposed by Mr. Opel Jones to make Howard County a sanctuary county. In 2017, in response to the widespread opposition from Howard County communities which caught national media attention, a similar sanctuary bill was vetoed by then Chief Executive and was finally abandoned by the county council. And now, Mr. Jones wants to rewrite history and challenge the will of the people to open Howard County to illegal immigrants.

The moral justification is presumably “No Human is Illegal”. This is an absurd statement – illegality is never associated with the nature of a human being, but associated with his or her conduct. By entering this country in violation with federal immigration laws, foreign immigrants already broke the law and became “illegal”. The fact that our great country is a country of immigrants does not justify illegal immigration. Immigrants are a source of strength to our great country, but there is no moral or legal ground on which one can encourage illegal immigration.

During the year of pandemic, when Howard County is likely facing a steep budget shortfall, do you really want to invite illegal immigrants into our county and allow them to share precious tax dollars with legal residents, who are already suffering from loss of job and income as well as potential reduction in public services in the future? Not to mention are the likely spike in minor as well as serious crime they will bring, just like what our neighbor Montgomery County has experienced. Whoever supported CB63 will sure be voted out of the council in next reelection!

I hope the county council has the courage and wisdom to vote down CB63-2020. Howard County voters are watching you!

Sincerely yours,
Liming Cai

Sayers, Margery

From: hailan zhou <hzhou1022@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 18, 2020 10:31 AM
To: CouncilMail
Subject: Sanctuary bill CB63

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Dear County Council members,

My name is Hailan Zhou, a proud, legal immigrant, a resident in Howard County for more than 6 years, and this is the third time here to oppose the Sanctuary bill in Howard County.

CB63 will label our county as a Sanctuary County and hence a destination of choice for illegals to come, impacting our public safety.

Yes, my biggest concern is public safety. Now those politicians want to remove SROs from our public schools, cancel county contract of detention center with ICE, even don't allow our local police officers working cooperatively with ICE, but welcome those illegal aliens to our county. It's not acceptable. Mr. Opel Jones, and others who support CB63, What do you really want to do to our county? If you welcome those illegal aliens, you are breaking our immigration laws, you are betraying your own county citizens by putting illegal aliens FIRST and county citizens LAST! This is called treason! I heard so many tragedies happened in Montgomery County. You will be fully responsible for all lives lost in those angel families, you should KNEEL before them.

Law enforcement officers are on the front lines, dealing with crime associated with illegal ALIENS, including drug- and gang- related crimes. Sanctuary practices result in dangerous criminal aliens being released back onto the streets instead of being removed.

Sanctuary practices pose a public safety and national security threat. By impeding cooperation with federal immigration officials, sanctuary cities create an environment where would-be terrorists and other criminal aliens can go undetected and uninterrupted.

Sanctuary county, providing a "safe haven" for illegal aliens, is unfair to legal immigrants who respect our nation's laws.

Again, Council members should not put Howard County residence personal life and properties under danger and threat by harboring illegal alien criminals.

If Any of our county residents become a victim like one of the angel families, you should feel Guilty and ashamed because you pass this terrible bill.

So dear councilmen and councilwomen, please vote NO to CB63.

Thanks,

Hailan Zhou

Howard County Resident

Sent from my iPhone

William Garcia Trejo Public Testimony on November 16, 2020 in Howard County Public Work Session

Good Evening Councilmembers, my name is William Garica Trejo. I was grateful to have spoken with Councilmember Doctor Jones and I am happy that he is introducing, CB-63 to prevent what happened to me from happening to other people in Howard County.

On Sunday, September 27, of this year, 2020, the Howard County Police stopped me for speaking on my cellphone while driving. They arrested and took me to the Howard County jail as a result of having not shown up for a court date in Montgomery County for a traffic violation.

The next day, September 28, the judge set my bail at \$400 for me to be set free. After the bail hearing, a Howard County Detention Center guard told me that I would have to wait for 48 hours at the detention center to give ICE a chance to pick me up. My mom paid the bail that same afternoon, but they would not let me leave. I was in the jail all night after I had paid my bail. I had no arrest record at all with ICE.

The next morning, September 29, ICE picked me up from the Howard County jail and took me to their main office in Baltimore. They put an ankle monitor on me and told me to present at the next check-in and follow all of their restrictions. I've been following their orders that say I have to stay home one day a week, so they can come check-in on me. The ICE agent in Baltimore where I was taken even asked me why I was there because I had no record with ICE. I also saw Howard County employees turning over other people to ICE while I was in the Howard County jail.

I'm scared to be deported because of the dangers I face in El Salvador. I want to stay with my wife and 3 year old daughter who was born in this country. I feel sad and upset that Howard County turned me over to ICE despite having no record with ICE. I hope to God that I'm able to stay united with my family. I hope that all of you vote to support this proposal CB-63.

Sayers, Margery

From: Haiyan_c <haiyan_c@yahoo.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 18, 2020 10:10 AM
To: CouncilMail
Subject: No CB-63

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Dear Council members,

I came to the United States through legal immigration process more than 20 years ago and became a naturalized US citizen more than ten years ago. Having lived in Howard County for more than 13 years, I felt strongly to protect the integrity of this County against the sanctuary bill CB63-2020. By the way, this is the third time and hopefully last time to oppose the Sanctuary bill in Howard County.

I am all for sensible immigration laws, and we must make a clear distinction between legal immigration and illegal immigration.

Dear Council members, you would not encourage break-ins or play favoritism for persons who cut in the line, do you? If so, you would NOT believe in something is designed to work against the existing immigration laws.

If you truly believe our immigration laws were flawed, then you should propose a reform in the immigration laws instead of attempting to make rules and regulations at the local level in contrary to the federal laws. By supporting CB63-2020, you would betray our trust and severely undermine the safety and integrity of Howard County.

During yesterday's hearing, I heard the people who were for CB63 were making false narratives as if the immigration laws were outrageously wrong. Again, if the immigration laws were outrageously wrong, they should have fought for changing those laws instead of misusing our tax money to fund their own political agenda!

Also, I wanted to ask Dr. Opel Jones:

Why do you expose legal residents under FEAR & danger since they are likely to be harmed by illegal alien criminals/gangsters?

Do you know that all legal immigrants have gone thru years of VETTING process - criminal background check, finger print, etc.but illegal aliens have NONE? And do you know how dangerous that is without vetting?

Do you know all sanctuary cities have suffered higher drug/rape/murder crimes? It's a fact! please Don't deny it!

I am with many hardworking Howard County residents. Together we strongly oppose the CB63-2020. I respectfully urge you to stand up for the people and fight against this self-serving, insidious, morally corrupt County Bill 63-2020.

Sincerely yours,
Haiyan Chen

Sent from my iPad

Sayers, Margery

From: alilly6@aol.com
Sent: Wednesday, November 18, 2020 9:50 AM
To: Ball, Calvin; Jones, Opel; CouncilMail; Walsh, Elizabeth; Jung, Deb; Rigby, Christiana; Yungmann, David
Subject: I OFFICIALLY HEREBY VETOE HOWARD COUNTY BILL CB63 2020! WE MUST LIBERATE THE MASSES OF ADOS PEOPLE WHO ARE LITERALLY IN BONDAGE INCARCERATED IN THIS EXACT COUNTY IN EXPONENTIALLY LARGER NUMBERS THAN ANY OTHER GROUP!

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

YOU ARE VERY AUDACIOUS NAMING CB63 THE "LIBERTY ACT" AND CREATING AN INITIATIVE TO EASE LEGAL CONSEQUENCES ON ILLEGAL ALIENS WHILE SIMULTANEOUSLY YOU HAVE LITERALLY EXPONENTIALLY MORE ADOS INCARCERATED IN THIS SAME EXACT COUNTY! WHY HAVEN'T YOU CREATED LEGISLATION FOR THE INCARCERATED ADOS? HOW CAN YOU IN GOOD CONSCIOUSNESS ALLOCATE RESOURCES, HOUSING, AND EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES TO ILLEGAL ALIENS WHILE SIMULTANEOUSLY THERE ARE MASSES OF UNEMPLOYED AND HOMELESS ADOS ABORIGINAL-AMERICANS IN THIS VERY COUNTY?! WHICH FOREIGN NATION HAS DACA RIGHTS FOR AMERICAN DESCENDANTS OF SLAVERY WHO ILLEGALLY TRESPASS IN THEIR COUNTRY? DO ADOS NOT DESERVE RECIPROCITY? ADOS TAX DOLLARS LITERALLY SPONSOR DACA AND FOREIGN AID TO COUNTLESS NATIONS BUT WHAT HAVE THE POPULATIONS OF THESE NATIONS RECIPROCATED BACK TO ADOS IN RETURN?- NOTHING! ADOS FOUGHT FOR THE 1965 IMMIGRATION AND NATURALIZATION ACT WHICH GAVE MILLIONS OF IMMIGRANTS CITIZENSHIP- AND THOSE SAME EXACT IMMIGRANT GROUPS ECONOMICALLY EXPLOIT ADOS COMMUNITIES NATIONWIDE. THERE IS NO DIFFERENCE BETWEEN GENTRIFICATION AND FLOODING ADOS COMMUNITIES WITH ILLEGAL ALIENS- EITHER WAY ADOS PEOPLE GET DISPLACED AND BARRED FROM EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES THAT WILL BE GIVEN TO ALIENS. IF WE GO TO THEIR COUNTRIES ILLEGALLY THEY WILL CAGE US, EXTORT US, AND DEPORT US TOO. YOU STILL USE THE COLONIAL TITLE "HOWARD COUNTY" TO REFER TO US BUT THIS IS HARRIET TUBMAN COUNTY AND IF YOU KNEW THE HISTORY OF THIS LAND YOU WOULD KNOW THAT THIS COUNTY IS A CONSOLIDATION OF FORMER SLAVE PLANTATIONS! OUR COMMUNITIES STILL ARE LABELLED BY THE NAMES OF FORMER SLAVE PLANTATIONS SUCH AS "LONG REACH", "OAKLAND MANOR", "HARPER'S FARM", ETC. YOU CANNOT COME HERE TO A FORMER SLAVE PLANTATION AND DISMISS THE UNCOMPENSATED LABOR OF ADOS PEOPLE! YOU DEFINITELY WILL NOT ALLOCATE ADOS TAX DOLLARS TO BE GIVEN TO FOREIGN COLONISTS WHO IMPEDE ON THE COHESION AND AUTONOMY OF ABORIGINAL-AMERICAN PEOPLE THE SAME WAY CAUCASIANS DO. THESE SAME EXACT FOREIGN COLONISTS JOIN THE POLICE DEPARTMENT AND ASSIST CAUCASIANS IN THE MASS INCARCERATION OF ADOS PEOPLE. YOU CANNOT ACCUSE ME OF BEING RACIST FOR ACKNOWLEDGING THE TRUTH! IN THESE FOREIGN COUNTRIES, THEIR INDIGENOUS POPULATIONS COME FIRST. ADOS COME FIRST ON THE FORMER AMERICAN SLAVE PLANTATIONS. ABORIGINAL-AMERICANS COME FIRST IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA. ADOS ARE BY DEFINITION OF ABORIGINAL-AMERICAN AND AFRICAN DESCENT. THESE SAME EXACT IMMIGRANT GROUPS ARE NOT FIGHTING TO GET THE ADOS WHO ARE INCARCERATED IN THEIR COUNTRIES RELEASED! OPEL JONES, CALVIN BALL, USE YOUR TIME TO CREATE A "LIBERTY ACT" THAT WILL RELEASE THE MASSES OF ADOS FROM HOWARD COUNTY DETENTION FACILITIES. CREATE A "LIBERTY ACT" THAT WILL SPECIFICALLY RESTORE THE AUTONOMY OF ADOS PEOPLE THAT WE WERE STRIPPED OF DURING THE CONTINUOUS COLONIZATION OF OUR ANCESTRAL LANDS IN AMERICA THAT STILL PERSISTS TO THIS DAY!

ADOS HARRIET TUBMAN COUNTY RESIDENT

(MY NAME AND ACTIVISM IS PUBLIC RECORD)

Sayers, Margery

From: alilly6@aol.com
Sent: Wednesday, November 18, 2020 9:49 AM
To: Ball, Calvin; Jones, Opel; CouncilMail; Walsh, Elizabeth; Jung, Deb; Rigby, Christiana; Yungmann, David
Subject: I OFFICIALLY HEREBY VETOE HOWARD COUNTY BILL CB63 2020! WE MUST LIBERATE THE MASSES OF ADOS PEOPLE WHO ARE LITERALLY IN BONDAGE INCARCERATED IN THIS EXACT COUNTY IN EXPONENTIALLY LARGER NUMBERS THAN ANY OTHER GROUP!

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

YOU ARE VERY AUDACIOUS NAMING CB63 THE "LIBERTY ACT" AND CREATING AN INITIATIVE TO EASE LEGAL CONSEQUENCES ON ILLEGAL ALIENS WHILE SIMULTANEOUSLY YOU HAVE LITERALLY EXPONENTIALLY MORE ADOS INCARCERATED IN THIS SAME EXACT COUNTY! WHY HAVEN'T YOU CREATED LEGISLATION FOR THE INCARCERATED ADOS? HOW CAN YOU IN GOOD CONSCIOUSNESS ALLOCATE RESOURCES, HOUSING, AND EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES TO ILLEGAL ALIENS WHILE SIMULTANEOUSLY THERE ARE MASSES OF UNEMPLOYED AND HOMELESS ADOS ABORIGINAL-AMERICANS IN THIS VERY COUNTY?! WHICH FOREIGN NATION HAS DACA RIGHTS FOR AMERICAN DESCENDANTS OF SLAVERY WHO ILLEGALLY TRESPASS IN THEIR COUNTRY? DO ADOS NOT DESERVE RECIPROCITY? ADOS TAX DOLLARS LITERALLY SPONSOR DACA AND FOREIGN AID TO COUNTLESS NATIONS BUT WHAT HAVE THE POPULATIONS OF THESE NATIONS RECIPROCATED BACK TO ADOS IN RETURN?- NOTHING! ADOS FOUGHT FOR THE 1965 IMMIGRATION AND NATURALIZATION ACT WHICH GAVE MILLIONS OF IMMIGRANTS CITIZENSHIP- AND THOSE SAME EXACT IMMIGRANT GROUPS ECONOMICALLY EXPLOIT ADOS COMMUNITIES NATIONWIDE. THERE IS NO DIFFERENCE BETWEEN GENTRIFICATION AND FLOODING ADOS COMMUNITIES WITH ILLEGAL ALIENS- EITHER WAY ADOS PEOPLE GET DISPLACED AND BARRED FROM EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES THAT WILL BE GIVEN TO ALIENS. IF WE GO TO THEIR COUNTRIES ILLEGALLY THEY WILL CAGE US, EXTORT US, AND DEPORT US TOO. YOU STILL USE THE COLONIAL TITLE "HOWARD COUNTY" TO REFER TO US BUT THIS IS HARRIET TUBMAN COUNTY AND IF YOU KNEW THE HISTORY OF THIS LAND YOU WOULD KNOW THAT THIS COUNTY IS A CONSOLIDATION OF FORMER SLAVE PLANTATIONS! OUR COMMUNITIES STILL ARE LABELLED BY THE NAMES OF FORMER SLAVE PLANTATIONS SUCH AS "LONG REACH", "OAKLAND MANOR", "HARPER'S FARM", ETC. YOU CANNOT COME HERE TO A FORMER SLAVE PLANTATION AND DISMISS THE UNCOMPENSATED LABOR OF ADOS PEOPLE! YOU DEFINITELY WILL NOT ALLOCATE ADOS TAX DOLLARS TO BE GIVEN TO FOREIGN COLONISTS WHO IMPEDE ON THE COHESION AND AUTONOMY OF ABORIGINAL-AMERICAN PEOPLE THE SAME WAY CAUCASIANS DO. THESE SAME EXACT FOREIGN COLONISTS JOIN THE POLICE DEPARTMENT AND ASSIST CAUCASIANS IN THE MASS INCARCERATION OF ADOS PEOPLE. YOU CANNOT ACCUSE ME OF BEING RACIST FOR ACKNOWLEDGING THE TRUTH! IN THESE FOREIGN COUNTRIES, THEIR INDIGENOUS POPULATIONS COME FIRST. ADOS COME FIRST ON THE FORMER AMERICAN SLAVE PLANTATIONS. ABORIGINAL-AMERICANS COME FIRST IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA. ADOS ARE BY DEFINITION OF ABORIGINAL-AMERICAN AND AFRICAN DESCENT. THESE SAME EXACT IMMIGRANT GROUPS ARE NOT FIGHTING TO GET THE ADOS WHO ARE INCARCERATED IN THEIR COUNTRIES RELEASED! OPEL JONES, CALVIN BALL, USE YOUR TIME TO CREATE A "LIBERTY ACT" THAT WILL RELEASE THE MASSES OF ADOS FROM HOWARD COUNTY DETENTION FACILITIES. CREATE A "LIBERTY ACT" THAT WILL SPECIFICALLY RESTORE THE AUTONOMY OF ADOS PEOPLE THAT WE WERE STRIPPED OF DURING THE CONTINUOUS COLONIZATION OF OUR ANCESTRAL LANDS IN AMERICA THAT STILL PERSISTS TO THIS DAY!

ADOS HARRIET TUBMAN COUNTY RESIDENT

(MY NAME AND ACTIVISM IS PUBLIC RECORD)

Sayers, Margery

From: ying matties <ymatties@hotmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 18, 2020 9:10 AM
To: CouncilMail
Subject: CB63-2020

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Dear County Council members,

I am writing to support CB63-2020 with the amendments put forward by the Howard County Coalition for Immigrant Justice.

I would also hope that all council members who support this bill strongly condemn the human right abuses as documented in this DHS report <https://www.oig.dhs.gov/sites/default/files/assets/2020-10/OIG-21-03-Oct20.pdf>. Nobody can call themselves champions of immigrant justice if they support one bill while being silent about human rights violations in the ICE detention center right in our backyard.

Thank you for your consideration.

Regards,

Ying Matties
10228 Little Brick House
Ellicott City, MD 21042

Sayers, Margery

From: hong Liu <hong752319@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, November 18, 2020 12:46 AM
To: CouncilMail

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Dear County Council members,

My name is Hong Liu, three kids' mom. I came to the United States through the legal immigration process and became a naturalized US citizen. Having lived in Howard County for more than 6 years, I love this county and we should protect it together.

CB63 will label our county as a Sanctuary County and hence a destination of choice for illegals to come, impacting our public safety.

Yes, my biggest concern is public safety. I heard so many tragedies happened in Montgomery County. You will be fully responsible for all lives lost in those angel families.

Sanctuary practices pose a public safety and national security threat. By impeding cooperation with federal immigration officials, sanctuary cities create an environment where would-be terrorists and other criminal aliens can go undetected and uninterrupted.

Sanctuary county, providing a "safe haven" for illegal aliens, is unfair to legal immigrants who respect our nation's laws.

Again, Council members should not put Howard County residence personal life and properties under danger and threat by harboring illegal alien criminals.

If Any of our county residents become a victim like one of the angel families, you should feel Guilty and ashamed because you pass this terrible bill.

Sincerely yours,

Sayers, Margery

From: Qian Zhang <zhqwind@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 17, 2020 10:52 PM
To: CouncilMail
Subject: Opposing CB63

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Dear County Council members,

My name is Qian Zhang, a PhD in Sociology, a proud, legal immigrant, a resident in Howard County for 10 years, and this is the third time here to oppose the Sanctuary bill in Howard County. CB63 will label our county as a Sanctuary County and hence a destination of choice for illegals to come, impacting our public safety.

Yes, my biggest concern is public safety. Now those politicians want to remove SROs from our public schools, cancel county contract of detention center with ICE, even don't allow our local police officers working cooperatively with ICE, but welcome those illegal aliens to our county. It's not acceptable. Mr. Opel Jones, and others who support CB63, What do you really want to do to our county? If you welcome those illegal aliens, you are breaking our immigration laws, you are betraying your own county citizens by putting illegal aliens FIRST and county citizens LAST! This is called treason! I heard so many tragedies happened in Montgomery County. You will be fully responsible for all lives lost in those angel families, you should KNEEL before them.

Law enforcement officers are on the front lines, dealing with crime associated with illegal ALIENS, including drug- and gang- related crimes. Sanctuary practices result in dangerous criminal aliens being released back onto the streets instead of being removed.

Sanctuary practices pose a public safety and national security threat. By impeding cooperation with federal immigration officials, sanctuary cities create an environment where would-be terrorists and other criminal aliens can go undetected and uninterrupted.

Sanctuary county, providing a "safe haven" for illegal aliens, is unfair to legal immigrants who respect our nation's laws.

Again, Council members should not put Howard County residence personal life and properties under danger and threat by harboring illegal alien criminals.

If Any of our county residents become a victim like one of the angel families, you should feel Guilty and ashamed because you pass this terrible bill.

So dear councilmen and councilwomen, please vote NO to CB63.

Thanks,

Qian Zhang

Howard County Resident

Sent from my iPhone

Sayers, Margery

From: Yong Chen <flyeagle2001@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 17, 2020 10:24 PM
To: CouncilMail
Subject: Strongly against CB63-2020

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Dear Howard County Council Members:

I came to the United States through legal immigration process and became a naturalized US citizen more than three years ago. Having lived in Howard County for almost 10 years, I felt strongly to protect the integrity of this County against the sanctuary bill CB63-2020.

I am all for sensible immigration laws, and we must make a clear distinction between legal immigration and illegal immigration.

Dear Council members, you would not encourage break-ins or play favoritism for persons who cut in the line, do you? If so, you would NOT believe in something is designed to work against the existing immigration laws.

If you truly believe our immigration laws were flawed, then you should propose a reform in the immigration laws instead of attempting to make rules and regulations at the local level in contrary to the federal laws. By supporting CB63-2020, you would betray our trust and severely undermine the safety and integrity of Howard County.

I am with many hardworking Howard County residents. Together we strongly oppose the CB63-2020. On behalf of my friends, neighbors, and colleagues, I respectfully urge you to stand up for the people and fight against this self-serving, insidious, morally corrupt County Bill 63-2020.

Sincerely yours,
Yong Chen

[Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android](#)

Sayers, Margery

From: Chuanqing Wu <chuangingwu@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 17, 2020 10:14 PM
To: CouncilMail
Subject: Objection to Sanctuary Bill, CB63

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Dear County Council members,

My name is Charles, a proud legal immigrant, a resident in Howard County for more than 11 years, and this is the third time here to oppose the Sanctuary bill in Howard County.

CB63 will label our county as a Sanctuary County and hence a destination of choice for illegals to come, impacting our public safety.

There is no doubt that my biggest concern is public safety. Now some politicians want to remove SROs from our public schools, cancel county contract of detention center with ICE, even don't allow our local police officers working cooperationally with ICE, but welcome those illegal aliens to our county. It's not acceptable. Mr. Opel Jones, and others who support CB63, What do you really want to do to our county? If you welcome those illegal aliens, you are breaking our immigration laws, you are betraying your own county citizens by putting illegal aliens FIRST and county citizens LAST! This is called treason! I heard so many tragedies happened in Montgomery County. You will be fully responsible for all lives lost in those angel families, you should KNEEL before them.

Law enforcement officers are on the front lines, dealing with crime associated with illegal ALIENS, including drug- and gang- related crimes. Sanctuary practices result in dangerous criminal aliens being released back onto the streets instead of being removed.

Sanctuary practices pose a public safety and national security threat. By impeding cooperation with federal immigration officials, sanctuary cities create an environment where would-be terrorists and other criminal aliens can go undetected and uninterrupted.

Sanctuary county, providing a "safe haven" for illegal aliens, is unfair to legal immigrants who respect our nation's laws.

Again, Council members should not put Howard County residence personal life and properties under danger and threat by harboring illegal alien criminals.

If any of our county residents become a victim like one of the angel families, you should feel Guilty and Ashamed because you pass this terrible bill.

So dear councilmen and councilwomen, please vote NO to CB63.

Thanks,

Charles Wu

Howard County Resident

Sent from Yahoo Mail for iPhone

Sayers, Margery

From: Yong Ma <mayong135@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 17, 2020 10:06 PM
To: CouncilMail
Subject: Against CB63

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Dear councilman,

I write to express my view of CB 63. On the surface, it appears to be nice for us to have such a bill. However, the ultimate effect of the Bill would attract more illegal immigrants to Howard county. My question is, do we really have the resources to support the immigrant children? Who is going to pay for their education? Are you going to increase taxes? And if so, is that fair to us the tax payers?

Based on a already crowded school system and lack of budget for our children, I want to say that I am against the Bill.

Thanks,
Yong Ma
Resident of Ellicott City

Sayers, Margery

From: Paul H <myoldsmoky@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 17, 2020 9:48 PM
To: CouncilMail
Subject: Vote NO on CB63

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Dear Howard County Council members,

My name is Paul Huang, I have been living in Ellicott City for over 7 years and this is the third time I'm writing to oppose the Sanctuary bill. CB63 will label our county as a Sanctuary County and hence a destination of choice for illegals to come, impacting our public safety.

My biggest concern is public safety. I've heard lately for removal of SRO from our public schools, cancel county contract of detention center with ICE, restricting our local police officers working cooperatively with ICE. I do not approve the position that Mr. Opel Jones stands on CB63. What do you really want to do to our county? If you welcome those illegal aliens, you are breaking our immigration laws, you are betraying your own county citizens by putting illegal aliens FIRST and county citizens LAST! I've heard so many tragedies happened in our neighboring Montgomery County, we do not want that happen here!

Law enforcement officers are on the front lines dealing with crime associated with illegal aliens, including drug and gang related crimes. Sanctuary practices result in dangerous criminal aliens being released back onto the streets instead of being removed.

Sanctuary practices pose a public safety and national security threat. By impeding cooperation with federal immigration officials, sanctuary cities create an environment where would-be terrorists and other criminal aliens can go undetected and uninterrupted.

Sanctuary county, providing a 'safe haven' for illegal aliens, is unfair to legal immigrants who respect our nation's laws.

Again, Council members should not put Howard County residents' personal life and properties under danger and threat by harboring illegal alien criminals. It's not a time for politics, you should focus on citizens of our county.

So, dear councilmen and councilwomen, please vote NO to CB63.

Thank you.

Paul Huang

Sayers, Margery

From: hlqin2009@gmail.com
Sent: Tuesday, November 17, 2020 9:50 PM
To: CouncilMail
Subject: No to CB63

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Dear County Council members,

My name is Hanlin Qin, a PhD in Civil Engineering, a proud, legal immigrant, a resident in Howard County for more than 18 years, and this is the third time here to oppose the Sanctuary bill in Howard County. CB63 will label our county as a Sanctuary County and hence a destination of choice for illegals to come, impacting our public safety.

Yes, my biggest concern is public safety. Now those politicians want to remove SROs from our public schools, cancel county contract of detention center with ICE, even don't allow our local police officers working cooperatively with ICE, but welcome those illegal aliens to our county. It's not acceptable. Mr. Opel Jones, and others who support CB63, What do you really want to do to our county? If you welcome those illegal aliens, you are breaking our immigration laws, you are betraying your own county citizens by putting illegal aliens FIRST and county citizens LAST! This is called treason! I heard so many tragedies happened in Montgomery County. You will be fully responsible for all lives lost in those angel families, you should KNEEL before them.

Law enforcement officers are on the front lines, dealing with crime associated with illegal ALIENS, including drug- and gang- related crimes. Sanctuary practices result in dangerous criminal aliens being released back onto the streets instead of being removed.

Sanctuary practices pose a public safety and national security threat. By impeding cooperation with federal immigration officials, sanctuary cities create an environment where would-be terrorists and other criminal aliens can go undetected and uninterrupted.

Sanctuary county, providing a "safe haven" for illegal aliens, is unfair to legal immigrants who respect our nation's laws.

Again, Council members should not put Howard County residence personal life and properties under danger and threat by harboring illegal alien criminals.

If Any of our county residents become a victim like one of the angel families, you should feel Guilty and ashamed because you pass this terrible bill.

So dear councilmen and councilwomen, please vote NO to CB63.

Thanks,

Hanlin Qin

Howard County Resident

Sayers, Margery

From: Ed Sues <edsues@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 17, 2020 9:35 PM
To: CouncilMail
Subject: Opposition to CB63

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

As a resident of Howard County since 2004, I would like to express my strong opposition to CB63.

Sanctuary county designation is marketing to encourage people to move to our county. Statistically, people who are more likely to draw away resources needed for the current residents of the county. Inequity will only be increased as jobs, already reduced by the pandemic will have more applicants.

Police services, which also will be facing reduced funding will be stretched further as crime statistics are higher in counties designating themselves as sanctuaries.

This designation has no positive side to offset these issues. It is merely stating what anyone familiar with our county already knows. Do the right thing, the common sense thing, support the people that form your current constituency and reject this proposal.

Sincerely,
Ed Sues

Sent from my iPhone

Sayers, Margery

From: si wen <siwen55@hotmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 17, 2020 8:57 PM
To: CouncilMail
Subject: Vote down CB63-2020

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Dear Council Members,

I am astounded with CB63-2020 proposed by Dr. Opel Jones that is clearly intended to make Howard County a sanctuary county.

In 2017, in response to the widespread opposition from Howard County communities which caught national media attention, a sanctuary bill was vetoed by then Chief Executive and was finally abandoned by the county council. This sanctuary bill also become a hot campaign topic in the 2018 county election. And now, Dr. Jones wants to rewrite history and challenge the will of people to open the gate to illegal immigrants.

I hope the county council has the courage and wisdom to vote down CB63-2020. Howard County Votes are watching you!

Sincerely,

Gwen S.

Sayers, Margery

From: Nancy Shih <shih4500-nancy@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 17, 2020 8:13 PM
To: CouncilMail
Subject: Re: Opposing CB63

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Council Open Jones,

By proposing CB63, you should be charged with the crime of **TREASON & TREACHERY for your BETRAYAL of County residents.**

First of all, you are selling out the safety & well-being of Howard County residents in exchange with **FOREIGN** illegal criminal influence & interference!

Your intention is obviously to subject HoCo residents to perpetual FEAR and harassment of illegal criminal threat!

Like the rest radical left politicians in this country, you want to weaken the law-abiding & hardworking citizens and make them vulnerable in every aspect.

Secondly, by proposing a Sanctuary City bill, you have **obstructed** Federal immigration law! You have ignored law & order which is the foundation of our country. Such lawless act & attitude should be forbidden, if not sanctioned!

HoCo police department already adopts a policy that prohibits policeman from asking suspects immigration questions. HoCo police are great and we should trust them!

CB63 is against the will of HoCo legal residents and is totally unnecessary!

Please DROP it! Thank you.

Sincerely,

Nancy Shih
D2 resident

Sayers, Margery

From: Chunxiao Zhu <indydolphin@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 17, 2020 7:49 PM
To: CouncilMail
Subject: No to CB63

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Dear County Council members,

My name is Lily Zhu, a US citizen and physician living in Howard County. This is the third time here to oppose the Sanctuary bill in Howard County. CB63 will label our county as a Sanctuary County and hence a destination of choice for illegals to come, impacting our public safety.

Yes, my biggest concern is public safety. Now those politicians want to remove SROs from our public schools, cancel county contract of detention center with ICE, even don't allow our local police officers working cooperatively with ICE, but welcome those illegal aliens to our county. It's not acceptable. Mr. Opel Jones, and others who support CB63, What do you really want to do to our county? If you welcome those illegal aliens, you are breaking our immigration laws, you are betraying your own county citizens by putting illegal aliens FIRST and county citizens LAST! This is called treason! I heard so many tragedies happened in Montgomery County. You will be fully responsible for all lives lost in those angel families, you should KNEEL before them.

Law enforcement officers are on the front lines, dealing with crime associated with illegal ALIENS, including drug- and gang- related crimes. Sanctuary practices result in dangerous criminal aliens being released back onto the streets instead of being removed.

Sanctuary practices pose a public safety and national security threat. By impeding cooperation with federal immigration officials, sanctuary cities create an environment where would-be terrorists and other criminal aliens can go undetected and uninterrupted.

Sanctuary county, providing a "safe haven" for illegal aliens, is unfair to legal immigrants who respect our nation's laws.

Again, Council members should not put Howard County residence personal life and properties under danger and threat by harboring illegal alien criminals.

If Any of our county residents become a victim like one of the angel families, you should feel Guilty and ashamed because you pass this terrible bill.

So dear councilmen and councilwomen, please vote NO to CB63.

Thanks,

Lily Zhu

Howard County Resident

Sent from my iPhone

Sayers, Margery

From: Chunxiao Zhu <zhuchunxiao@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 17, 2020 7:48 PM
To: CouncilMail
Subject: No to CB63

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Dear County Council members,

My name is Lily Zhu, a US citizen and physician living in Howard County. This is the third time here to oppose the Sanctuary bill in Howard County. CB63 will label our county as a Sanctuary County and hence a destination of choice for illegals to come, impacting our public safety.

Yes, my biggest concern is public safety. Now those politicians want to remove SROs from our public schools, cancel county contract of detention center with ICE, even don't allow our local police officers working cooperatively with ICE, but welcome those illegal aliens to our county. It's not acceptable. Mr. Opel Jones, and others who support CB63, What do you really want to do to our county? If you welcome those illegal aliens, you are breaking our immigration laws, you are betraying your own county citizens by putting illegal aliens FIRST and county citizens LAST! This is called treason! I heard so many tragedies happened in Montgomery County. You will be fully responsible for all lives lost in those angel families, you should KNEEL before them.

Law enforcement officers are on the front lines, dealing with crime associated with illegal ALIENS, including drug- and gang- related crimes. Sanctuary practices result in dangerous criminal aliens being released back onto the streets instead of being removed.

Sanctuary practices pose a public safety and national security threat. By impeding cooperation with federal immigration officials, sanctuary cities create an environment where would-be terrorists and other criminal aliens can go undetected and uninterrupted.

Sanctuary county, providing a "safe haven" for illegal aliens, is unfair to legal immigrants who respect our nation's laws.

Again, Council members should not put Howard County residence personal life and properties under danger and threat by harboring illegal alien criminals.

If Any of our county residents become a victim like one of the angel families, you should feel Guilty and ashamed because you pass this terrible bill.

So dear councilmen and councilwomen, please vote NO to CB63.

Thanks,

Lily Zhu

Howard County Resident

Sent from my iPhone

Sayers, Margery

From: sue huang <suehuang9@hotmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 17, 2020 7:32 PM
To: CouncilMail
Subject: Please support Howard Residents against Sanctuary City

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Hi Councilmen,

Please support local residents including both citizen and legal residents to vote against Sanctuary City.

Opel Jones betrayed our residents, and must be voted off.

Thanks for your listening!

Su
Howard Resident

Sayers, Margery

From: alilly6@aol.com
Sent: Tuesday, November 17, 2020 7:30 PM
To: CouncilMail
Subject: I OFFICIALLY HEREBY VETOE HOWARD COUNTY BILL CB63 2020! WE MUST LIBERATE THE MASSES OF ADOS PEOPLE WHO ARE LITERALLY IN BONDAGE INCARCERATED IN THIS EXACT COUNTY IN EXPONENTIALLY LARGER NUMBERS THAN ANY OTHER GROUP!

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

YOU ARE VERY AUDACIOUS NAMING CB63 THE "LIBERTY ACT" AND CREATING AN INITIATIVE TO EASE LEGAL CONSEQUENCES ON ILLEGAL ALIENS WHILE SIMULTANEOUSLY YOU HAVE LITERALLY EXPONENTIALLY MORE ADOS INCARCERATED IN THIS SAME EXACT COUNTY! WHY HAVEN'T YOU CREATED LEGISLATION FOR THE INCARCERATED ADOS? HOW CAN YOU IN GOOD CONSCIOUSNESS ALLOCATE RESOURCES, HOUSING, AND EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES TO ILLEGAL ALIENS WHILE SIMULTANEOUSLY THERE ARE MASSES OF UNEMPLOYED AND HOMELESS ADOS ABORIGINAL-AMERICANS IN THIS VERY COUNTY?! WHICH FOREIGN NATION HAS DACA RIGHTS FOR AMERICAN DESCENDANTS OF SLAVERY WHO ILLEGALLY TRESPASS IN THEIR COUNTRY? DO ADOS NOT DESERVE RECIPROCITY? ADOS TAX DOLLARS LITERALLY SPONSOR DACA AND FOREIGN AID TO COUNTLESS NATIONS BUT WHAT HAVE THE POPULATIONS OF THESE NATIONS RECIPROCATED BACK TO ADOS IN RETURN?- NOTHING! ADOS FOUGHT FOR THE 1965 IMMIGRATION AND NATURALIZATION ACT WHICH GAVE MILLIONS OF IMMIGRANTS CITIZENSHIP- AND THOSE SAME EXACT IMMIGRANT GROUPS ECONOMICALLY EXPLOIT ADOS COMMUNITIES NATIONWIDE. THERE IS NO DIFFERENCE BETWEEN GENTRIFICATION AND FLOODING ADOS COMMUNITIES WITH ILLEGAL ALIENS- EITHER WAY ADOS PEOPLE GET DISPLACED AND BARRED FROM EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES THAT WILL BE GIVEN TO ALIENS. IF WE GO TO THEIR COUNTRIES ILLEGALLY THEY WILL CAGE US, EXTORT US, AND DEPORT US TOO. YOU STILL USE THE COLONIAL TITLE "HOWARD COUNTY" TO REFER TO US BUT THIS IS HARRIET TUBMAN COUNTY AND IF YOU KNEW THE HISTORY OF THIS LAND YOU WOULD KNOW THAT THIS COUNTY IS A CONSOLIDATION OF FORMER SLAVE PLANTATIONS! OUR COMMUNITIES STILL ARE LABELLED BY THE NAMES OF FORMER SLAVE PLANTATIONS SUCH AS "LONG REACH", "OAKLAND MANOR", "HARPER'S FARM", ETC. YOU CANNOT COME HERE TO A FORMER SLAVE PLANTATION AND DISMISS THE UNCOMPENSATED LABOR OF ADOS PEOPLE! YOU DEFINITELY WILL NOT ALLOCATE ADOS TAX DOLLARS TO BE GIVEN TO FOREIGN COLONISTS WHO IMPEDE ON THE COHESION AND AUTONOMY OF ABORIGINAL-AMERICAN PEOPLE THE SAME WAY CAUCASIANS DO. THESE SAME EXACT FOREIGN COLONISTS JOIN THE POLICE DEPARTMENT AND ASSIST CAUCASIANS IN THE MASS INCARCERATION OF ADOS PEOPLE. YOU CANNOT ACCUSE ME OF BEING RACIST FOR ACKNOWLEDGING THE TRUTH! IN THESE FOREIGN COUNTRIES, THEIR INDIGENOUS POPULATIONS COME FIRST. ADOS COME FIRST ON THE FORMER AMERICAN SLAVE PLANTATIONS. ABORIGINAL-AMERICANS COME FIRST IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA. ADOS ARE BY DEFINITION OF ABORIGINAL-AMERICAN AND AFRICAN DESCENT. THESE SAME EXACT IMMIGRANT GROUPS ARE NOT FIGHTING TO GET THE ADOS WHO ARE INCARCERATED IN THEIR COUNTRIES RELEASED! OPEL JONES, CALVIN BALL, USE YOUR TIME TO CREATE A "LIBERTY ACT" THAT WILL RELEASE THE MASSES OF ADOS FROM HOWARD COUNTY DETENTION FACILITIES. CREATE A "LIBERTY ACT" THAT WILL SPECIFICALLY RESTORE THE AUTONOMY OF ADOS PEOPLE THAT WE WERE STRIPPED OF DURING THE CONTINUOUS COLONIZATION OF OUR ANCESTRAL LANDS IN AMERICA THAT STILL PERSISTS TO THIS DAY!

ADOS HARRIET TUBMAN COUNTY RESIDENT

(MY NAME AND ACTIVISM IS PUBLIC RECORD)

Sayers, Margery

From: alilly6@aol.com
Sent: Tuesday, November 17, 2020 7:29 PM
To: Ball, Calvin; Jones, Opel; CouncilMail; Walsh, Elizabeth; Jung, Deb; Rigby, Christiana; Yungmann, David; CouncilMail
Subject: I OFFICIALLY HEREBY VETOE HOWARD COUNTY BILL CB63 2020! WE MUST LIBERATE THE MASSES OF ADOS PEOPLE WHO ARE LITERALLY IN BONDAGE INCARCERATED IN THIS EXACT COUNTY IN EXPONENTIALLY LARGER NUMBERS THAN ANY OTHER GROUP!

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

YOU ARE VERY AUDACIOUS NAMING CB63 THE "LIBERTY ACT" AND CREATING AN INITIATIVE TO EASE LEGAL CONSEQUENCES ON ILLEGAL ALIENS WHILE SIMULTANEOUSLY YOU HAVE LITERALLY EXPONENTIALLY MORE ADOS INCARCERATED IN THIS SAME EXACT COUNTY! WHY HAVEN'T YOU CREATED LEGISLATION FOR THE INCARCERATED ADOS? HOW CAN YOU IN GOOD CONSCIOUSNESS ALLOCATE RESOURCES, HOUSING, AND EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES TO ILLEGAL ALIENS WHILE SIMULTANEOUSLY THERE ARE MASSES OF UNEMPLOYED AND HOMELESS ADOS ABORIGINAL-AMERICANS IN THIS VERY COUNTY?! WHICH FOREIGN NATION HAS DACA RIGHTS FOR AMERICAN DESCENDANTS OF SLAVERY WHO ILLEGALLY TRESPASS IN THEIR COUNTRY? DO ADOS NOT DESERVE RECIPROCITY? ADOS TAX DOLLARS LITERALLY SPONSOR DACA AND FOREIGN AID TO COUNTLESS NATIONS BUT WHAT HAVE THE POPULATIONS OF THESE NATIONS RECIPROCATED BACK TO ADOS IN RETURN?- NOTHING! ADOS FOUGHT FOR THE 1965 IMMIGRATION AND NATURALIZATION ACT WHICH GAVE MILLIONS OF IMMIGRANTS CITIZENSHIP- AND THOSE SAME EXACT IMMIGRANT GROUPS ECONOMICALLY EXPLOIT ADOS COMMUNITIES NATIONWIDE. THERE IS NO DIFFERENCE BETWEEN GENTRIFICATION AND FLOODING ADOS COMMUNITIES WITH ILLEGAL ALIENS- EITHER WAY ADOS PEOPLE GET DISPLACED AND BARRED FROM EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES THAT WILL BE GIVEN TO ALIENS. IF WE GO TO THEIR COUNTRIES ILLEGALLY THEY WILL CAGE US, EXTORT US, AND DEPORT US TOO. YOU STILL USE THE COLONIAL TITLE "HOWARD COUNTY" TO REFER TO US BUT THIS IS HARRIET TUBMAN COUNTY AND IF YOU KNEW THE HISTORY OF THIS LAND YOU WOULD KNOW THAT THIS COUNTY IS A CONSOLIDATION OF FORMER SLAVE PLANTATIONS! OUR COMMUNITIES STILL ARE LABELLED BY THE NAMES OF FORMER SLAVE PLANTATIONS SUCH AS "LONG REACH", "OAKLAND MANOR", "HARPER'S FARM", ETC. YOU CANNOT COME HERE TO A FORMER SLAVE PLANTATION AND DISMISS THE UNCOMPENSATED LABOR OF ADOS PEOPLE! YOU DEFINITELY WILL NOT ALLOCATE ADOS TAX DOLLARS TO BE GIVEN TO FOREIGN COLONISTS WHO IMPEDE ON THE COHESION AND AUTONOMY OF ABORIGINAL-AMERICAN PEOPLE THE SAME WAY CAUCASIANS DO. THESE SAME EXACT FOREIGN COLONISTS JOIN THE POLICE DEPARTMENT AND ASSIST CAUCASIANS IN THE MASS INCARCERATION OF ADOS PEOPLE. YOU CANNOT ACCUSE ME OF BEING RACIST FOR ACKNOWLEDGING THE TRUTH! IN THESE FOREIGN COUNTRIES, THEIR INDIGENOUS POPULATIONS COME FIRST. ADOS COME FIRST ON THE FORMER AMERICAN SLAVE PLANTATIONS. ABORIGINAL-AMERICANS COME FIRST IN THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA. ADOS ARE BY DEFINITION OF ABORIGINAL-AMERICAN AND AFRICAN DESCENT. THESE SAME EXACT IMMIGRANT GROUPS ARE NOT FIGHTING TO GET THE ADOS WHO ARE INCARCERATED IN THEIR COUNTRIES RELEASED! OPEL JONES, CALVIN BALL, USE YOUR TIME TO CREATE A "LIBERTY ACT" THAT WILL RELEASE THE MASSES OF ADOS FROM HOWARD COUNTY DETENTION FACILITIES. CREATE A "LIBERTY ACT" THAT WILL SPECIFICALLY RESTORE THE AUTONOMY OF ADOS PEOPLE THAT WE WERE STRIPPED OF DURING THE CONTINUOUS COLONIZATION OF OUR ANCESTRAL LANDS IN AMERICA THAT STILL PERSISTS TO THIS DAY!

ADOS HARRIET TUBMAN COUNTY RESIDENT

(MY NAME AND ACTIVISM IS PUBLIC RECORD)

Sayers, Margery

From: Linglei <lingleim@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 17, 2020 7:29 PM
To: CouncilMail
Subject: Against CB63

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Dear Council Members,

I am astounded with CB63-2020 proposed by Dr. Opel Jones that is clearly intended to make Howard County a sanctuary county. In 2017, in response to the widespread opposition from Howard County communities which caught national media attention, a sanctuary bill was vetoed by then Chief Executive and was finally abandoned by the county council. This sanctuary bill also became a hot campaign topic in the 2018 county election. And now, Dr. Jones wants to rewrite history and challenge the will of the people to open the gate to illegal immigrants.

The moral justification is presumably “No Human is Illegal”. This is an absurd statement – illegality is never associated with the nature a human being, but associated with his or her conduct. By entering this country in violation with federal immigration laws, foreign immigrants, for whatever the reasons, broke the law and became “illegal”. The fact that our great country is a country of immigrants does not justify illegal immigration. Immigrants are a source of strength to our great country, but there is no high moral ground by encouraging illegal immigration.

Secondly, immigration is not a shared responsibility between the federal government and local government, but strictly a responsibility of the federal government and an area to which federal laws apply. The obstruction of federal law enforcement by states and cities creates anarchy in the governance of a country. If a local government disagrees with the federal government or the interpretation of a federal law, it should go through an orderly process to challenge the federal government, but refusing to comply with federal government or law is by itself detrimental to the foundation of this country. For those who are championing for democracy, remember law and order are always the foundation of any democracy.

I hope the county council has the courage and wisdom to vote down CB63-2020. Howard County voters are watching you!

Sincerely,

Linglei Ma
District 5

Sent from my iPhone

Sayers, Margery

From: Luyin Sun <myzmlm@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 17, 2020 7:02 PM
To: CouncilMail
Subject: Against bill cb63

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

To whom it may concern,

I am writing to oppose cb63 bill. As you all know there are so families are affected by covid-19. A lot of our county residents are struggling to earn meals for their family. How dare you waist our tax payer money on your own agenda. If you really care illigal immigrants please welcome them to your own house and share your fortune with them. Don't waist our tax money and tax payers time on this nonsense every year. It's called corruption.

Thanks for your time,
Luyin Sun

Sayers, Margery

From: Thomas Liu <jtliu_99@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 17, 2020 6:33 PM
To: CouncilMail
Subject: Opposition to CB63-2020

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Dear Council Members,

I am astounded with CB63-2020 proposed by Dr. Opel Jones that is clearly intended to make Howard County a sanctuary county. In 2017, in response to the widespread opposition from Howard County communities which caught national media attention, a sanctuary bill was vetoed by then Chief Executive and was finally abandoned by the county council. This sanctuary bill also become a hot campaign topic in the 2018 county election. And now, Dr. Jones wants to rewrite history and challenge the will of the people to open the gate to illegal immigrants.

The moral justification is presumably “No Human is Illegal”. This is an absurd statement – illegality is never associated with the nature a human being, but associated with his or her conduct. By entering this country in violation with federal immigration laws, foreign immigrants, for whatever the reasons, broke the law and became “illegal”. The fact that our great country is a country of immigrants does not justify illegal immigration. Immigrants are a source of strength to our great country, but there is no high moral ground by encouraging illegal immigration.

Secondly, immigration is not a shared responsibility between the federal government and local government, but strictly a responsibility of the federal government and an area to which federal laws apply. The obstruction of federal law enforcement by states and cities creates anarchy in the governance of a country. If a local government disagrees with the federal government or the interpretation of a federal law, it should go through an orderly process to challenge the federal government, but refusing to comply with federal government or law is by itself detrimental to the foundation of this country. For these who are championing for democracy, remember law and order are always the foundation of any democracy.

I hope the county council has the courage and wisdom to vote down CB63-2020. Howard County votes are watching you!

Sincerely,

Thomas Liu

Sayers, Margery

From: Lei Qian <qianleidl@hotmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 17, 2020 6:21 PM
To: CouncilMail
Subject: please vote NO to CB63

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Dear County Council members,

My name is Lei Qian, a proud, legal immigrant, a resident in Howard County for more than 12 years, and this is the third time here to oppose the Sanctuary bill in Howard County.

CB63 will label our county as a Sanctuary County and hence a destination of choice for illegals to come, impacting our public safety.

Yes, my biggest concern is public safety. Now those politicians want to remove SROs from our public schools, cancel county contract of detention center with ICE, even don't allow our local police officers working cooperatively with ICE, but welcome those illegal aliens to our county. It's not acceptable. Mr. Opel Jones, and others who support CB63, What do you really want to do to our county? If you welcome those illegal aliens, you are breaking our immigration laws, you are betraying your own county citizens by putting illegal aliens FIRST and county citizens LAST! This is called treason! I heard so many tragedies happened in Montgomery County. You will be fully responsible for all lives lost in those angel families, you should KNEEL before them.

Law enforcement officers are on the front lines, dealing with crime associated with illegal ALIENS, including drug- and gang- related crimes. Sanctuary practices result in dangerous criminal aliens being released back onto the streets instead of being removed.

Sanctuary practices pose a public safety and national security threat. By impeding cooperation with federal immigration officials, sanctuary cities create an environment where would-be terrorists and other criminal aliens can go undetected and uninterrupted.

Sanctuary county, providing a "safe haven" for illegal aliens, is unfair to legal immigrants who respect our nation's laws.

Again, Council members should not put Howard County residence personal life and properties under danger and threat by harboring illegal alien criminals.

If Any of our county residents become a victim like one of the angel families, you should feel Guilty and ashamed because you pass this terrible bill.

So dear councilmen and councilwomen, please vote NO to CB63.

Thanks,

Lei Qian

Howard County Resident

Sent from my iPad

Sayers, Margery

From: Katie Wolven <katiewolven@aol.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 17, 2020 6:20 PM
To: superintendent@hcpss.org; BOE@hcpss.org; mavis_ellis@hcpss.org; vicky_cutroneo@hcpss.org; kirsten_coombs@hcpss.org; Christina_delmont-small@hcpss.org; jennifer_mallo@hcpss.org; sabina_taj@hcpss.org; chao_wu@hcpss.org
Cc: Ball, Calvin; CouncilMail
Subject: Failure to vote for a hybrid option for HCPSS

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Dear Dr. Martirano, Ms, Ellis, Mrs. Cutroneo, Ms. Coombs, Ms. Delmont-Small, Ms. Mallo, Ms. Taj and Mr. Wu,

I am writing to you regarding your quick decision last night to not even consider a hybrid school option for the students of HCPSS to start until the end of 3rd quarter. I am confused as to why the vote occurred during what was supposed to be a work session to tweak the plan that Dr. Martirano brought forward to the entire Board of Education. It is sad, that only one group is mentioned over and over again when discussing a plan for in person learning. Each and every time, the students are left out of the conversation. At the same time you were making motion after motion to strike down any plan to return to in person learning the NBC nightly news aired a very important segment that allowed the students voice to be heard. I want to share this segment with you. I found it very important and something each and everyone one of you should consider. You can scroll to 12:20 to reach the segment or watch the entire evening in full, but please pay close attention.

<https://www.nbcnews.com/nightly-news-netcast/video/nightly-news-full-broadcast-november-16th-96034373650>

As you can see, this pandemic is impacting students in many ways. Yes, health is the most important factor and thank goodness a proper plan was put into place for the HCPSS school system to operate in person when allowed by the metrics. However, if our metrics allow, the BOE did not allow any plan to go forward to allow each and every student an option to return to in person learning. Which in turn, impacts these kids this year and for years to come. Teachers have month after month to improve upon their virtual teaching methods, but they know how to teach in person. Students only have one chance at this school year. One. Last night you took away their chance to choose what learning plan works best for them, you took away the parents choice to make the best decision for their kids. You took away my daughter's one chance to experience her senior year.

We accept the fact that the metrics may not allow her to return, but I do not accept the fact that you can not allow any plan to move forward for a chance for in person learning, whatever it looks like, to happen.

Sincerely,
Katie Wolven

Sayers, Margery

From: Wu Di <andyрпи2012@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 17, 2020 5:49 PM
To: CouncilMail
Subject: CB-9 is a political posture, it creates financial burden

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Dear Council Members,

My name is Andy. I am a computer programmer and first generation immigrant. My family has lived in Howard County, the best place to live in this country, for over 10 years. Today I am here to strongly oppose the CB9-2017 bill.

I am a Democratic and strong supporter of Ms. Hillary Clinton. I still do not like president-elect Donald Trump, and disagree with many of his positions. However, let me emphasize, this is not a time or matter about post-election disappointment, anti-Trump sentiment, hate, or diversity. This is about the future of Howard County, our home, our children's home. This is beyond Donald Trump and his administration. The timing of this bill is awkward and bad. It was introduced during the holiday season and right before the new president's inauguration. Even today, many people still have not heard about this bill at all. For such a critical matter, we simply cannot make a rush and risky decision.

Secondly, the content of this bill is vague. As much as I believe in all the good intentions of this bill attempting to provide better benefits and protection to undocumented immigrants, it lacks practical details, especially in terms of financial funding, or how to make this feasible in the long term. Let me ask this question: are we going to use the same amount of taxpayers' money we have today (or actually less money due to the potential loss of federal funding) to support more people, OR increase taxes in order to provide the same benefits we have today to all people, documented and undocumented? Either way, how will this bill make financial sense to our whole community? For example, to our schools and already tight education resources? We all live by real financial numbers, not by politics. Trump and sanctuary California can declare bankruptcy, shall we?

Due to the limited time I have today, I am not even going to talk about other major issues like public safety concerns.

Council members, this matter is crossing party lines. Here I urge you to please carefully consider or re-consider your position. We are counting on you.

Thank you!

Sayers, Margery

From: SG Chen <schen255@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 17, 2020 5:03 PM
To: CouncilMail
Subject: Vote NO to CB63-2020

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Dear Council members,

I came to the United States through legal immigration process and became a naturalized US citizen more than ten years ago. Having lived in Howard County for more than 15 years, I felt strongly to protect the integrity of this County against the sanctuary bill CB63-2020.

I am all for sensible immigration laws, and we must make a clear distinction between legal immigration and illegal immigration.

Dear Council members, you would not encourage break-ins or play favoritism for persons who cut in the line, do you? If so, you would NOT believe in something is designed to work against the existing immigration laws.

If you truly believe our immigration laws were flawed, then you should propose a reform in the immigration laws instead of attempting to make rules and regulations at the local level in contrary to the federal laws. By supporting CB63-2020, you would betray our trust and severely undermine the safety and integrity of Howard County.

During yesterday's hearing, I heard the opposing views from each side. Overall, the people who were against CB63 were truly thinking for the wellbeing of the Howard County residents. Whereas the people who were for CB63 were making false narratives as if the immigration laws were outrageously wrong. Again, if the immigration laws were outrageously wrong, they should have fought for changing those laws instead of misusing our tax money to fund their own political agenda!

I am with many hardworking Howard County residents. Together we strongly oppose the CB63-2020. On behalf of my friends, neighbors, and colleagues, I respectfully urge you to stand up for the people and fight against this self-serving, insidious, morally corrupt County Bill 63-2020.

Please vote NO to CB63-2020.

Thanks.

Sincerely yours,

Shaoguang Chen

Sayers, Margery

From: no-reply@howardcountymd.gov
Sent: Tuesday, November 17, 2020 4:47 PM
To: abszk1123@gmail.com
Subject: Council - No for CB63-2020

First Name: SHINIERY
Last Name: KOYUKI
Email: abszk1123@gmail.com
Street Address: 4128 TIBER FALLS DR
City: Ellicott City
Subject: No for CB63-2020

Message:

Dear Council members, My name is Shiniery Koyuki and have been living in Howard County for more than 18 years. I felt strongly to protect the integrity of this County against the sanctuary bill CB63-2020. I am all for sensible immigration laws, and we must make a clear distinction between legal immigration and illegal immigration. Dear Council members, you would not encourage break-ins or play favoritism for persons who cut in the line, do you? If so, you would NOT believe in something is designed to work against the existing immigration laws. If you truly believe our immigration laws were flawed, then you should propose a reform in the immigration laws instead of attempting to make rules and regulations at the local level in contrary to the federal laws. By supporting CB63-2020, you would betray our trust and severely undermine the safety and integrity of Howard County. Overall, the people who were against CB63 were truly thinking for the wellbeing of the Howard County residents. Whereas the people who were for CB63 were making false narratives as if the immigration laws were outrageously wrong. Again, if the immigration laws were outrageously wrong, they should have fought for changing those laws instead of misusing our tax money to fund their own political agenda! I am with many hardworking Howard County residents. Together we strongly oppose the CB63-2020. On behalf of my friends, neighbors, and colleagues, I respectfully urge you to stand up for the people and fight against this self-serving, insidious, morally corrupt County Bill 63-2020. Please vote "No" to CB63-2020. Sincerely yours, Shiniery Koyuki

Sayers, Margery

From: Dayong Shen <dayong_shen@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 17, 2020 3:36 PM
To: CouncilMail
Subject: Opposing bill CB63-20

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Dear Ms./Mr.,
Good afternoon.

I am writing to strongly oppose the new bill CB63-20 for changing Howard county into a sanctuary county.

The article below explains why we should oppose this bill.

<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.heritage.org%2Fimmigration%2Fcommentary%2Fsanctuary-cities-thats-constitutional-hell-no&data=04%7C01%7Cmsayers%40howardcountymd.gov%7C1c1a586226984f778ace08d88b388215%7C0538130803664bb7a95b95304bd11a58%7C1%7C0%7C637412422696255961%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWljoimC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzliLCJBTil6Ik1haWwiLCJXVCi6Mn0%3D%7C2000&reserved=0>

I hope you can take into consideration of my concern.

Sincerely,

Dayong

Howard County Resident

Sayers, Margery

From: Lixin Zhang <lixinzhang@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 17, 2020 3:33 PM
To: CouncilMail
Subject: Against cg63

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Dear Council members,

you would not encourage break-ins or play favoritism for persons who cut in the line, do you? If so, you would NOT believe in something is designed to work against the existing immigration laws.

If you truly believe our immigration laws were flawed, then you should propose a reform in the immigration laws instead of attempting to make rules and regulations at the local level in contrary to the federal laws. By supporting CB63-2020, you would betray our trust and severely undermine the safety and integrity of Howard County.

During yesterday's hearing, I heard the opposing views from each side. Overall, the people who were against CB63 were truly thinking for the wellbeing of the Howard County residents. Whereas the people who were for CB63 were making false narratives as if the immigration laws were outrageously wrong. Again, if the immigration laws were outrageously wrong, they should have fought for changing those laws instead of misusing our tax money to fund their own political agenda!

I am with many hardworking Howard County residents. Together we strongly oppose the CB63-2020. On behalf of my friends, neighbors, and colleagues, I respectfully urge you to stand up for the people and fight against this self-serving, insidious, morally corrupt County Bill 63-2020.

Sincerely

Lixin

Sayers, Margery

From: Cynthia Yung <chuiyuen.yung@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 17, 2020 3:24 PM
To: CouncilMail
Subject: Against evil CB9-2017

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Dear Council Members,

My name is Chuiyuen Yung. I moved to this country from Hong Kong 25 years ago, and I moved from Northern Virginia to live in Laurel 12 years ago. I pay all taxes every year and love Howard County very much.

Unfortunately, I did not know that people in the council who drafted CB9-2017, or otherwise they might have been able to advise me on how to legally stay here illegally, and get all the benefits such as going to school, going to college with full financial aid, going to emergency rooms for both minor and major problems without paying a dime. I wish I knew you sooner, Mr. Ball; however, you must be happy to know that, there are hundreds of million people all over the world, after hearing your amnesty, may want to come to Howard county, so you will have a chance to squeeze further the hard-working taxpayers. Maybe this is the beacon you intended in the first place, maybe not.

I am worried about the safety of my kids and family, when people moved here without going through the vetting process. I do not understand why legal immigrants have to go through background checks, but illegals do not have to do so. Why do you want to tie the hands of our law enforcement, either the police or immigration officers? I consider immigration a federal issue and we need to respect the authority of the federal government, even if you do not like the new administration. You are so concerned about the safety of the illegal immigrants; wouldn't you please also look after the safety of my kids?

I am also worried about the long-term economic consequences for my family. With each illegal immigrant, kids and adults, the residents here have to pay for whatever the expenses they incur. As a mother of two kids, I have to work to be able to pay various taxes and assessments, and make our ends meet. When my children go to college, the chance for them to get any financial aid is pretty much non-existent for no reason other than we are paying enough taxes, we have to save money for their tuition. I would also like to stay home to raise the children, because that would be of best interest to them, but we could not afford it. I am very much worried about the additional financial burden that will be forced upon us to cover the additional cost. Do you understand?

I strongly oppose CB9-2017. It is a bad , even evil legislation, and has destructive consequences for the county and the nation.

Chuiyuen Yung

11104 Scotts Landing Rd, Laurel, MD 20723

Sayers, Margery

From: Leying Zhang <leyingz@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 17, 2020 3:12 PM
To: CouncilMail
Subject: Nooooooo to CB63 Bill

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Dear County Council members,

My name is Leying Zhang, a PhD in Environmental Engineering, a proud, legal immigrant, a resident in Howard County for almost 10 years, and this is my second time here to oppose the Sanctuary bill in Howard County. CB63 will label our county as a Sanctuary County and hence a destination of choice for illegals to come, impacting our public safety.

Yes, my biggest concern is public safety. Now those politicians want to remove SROs from our public schools, cancel county contract of detention center with ICE, even don't allow our local police officers working cooperatively with ICE, but welcome those illegal aliens to our county. It's not acceptable. Mr. Opel Jones, and others who support CB63, What do you really want to do to our county? If you welcome those illegal aliens, you are breaking our immigration laws, you are betraying your own county citizens by putting illegal aliens FIRST and county citizens LAST! This is called treason! I heard so many tragedies happened in Montgomery County. You will be fully responsible for all lives lost in those angel families, you should KNEEL before them.

Law enforcement officers are on the front lines, dealing with crime associated with illegal ALIENS, including drug- and gang- related crimes. Sanctuary practices result in dangerous criminal aliens being released back onto the streets instead of being removed.

Sanctuary practices pose a public safety and national security threat. By impeding cooperation with federal immigration officials, sanctuary cities create an environment where would-be terrorists and other criminal aliens can go undetected and uninterrupted.

Sanctuary county, providing a "safe haven" for illegal aliens, is unfair to legal immigrants who respect our nation's laws.

Again, Council members should not put Howard County residence personal life and properties under danger and threat by harboring illegal alien criminals. If Any of our county residents become a victim like one of the angel families, you should feel guilty and ashamed because you pass this terrible bill.

So dear councilmen and councilwomen, please vote NO to CB63.

Thanks,

Leying Zhang
Howard County Resident

Sayers, Margery

From: Leon Liang <liangguizhi@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 17, 2020 2:44 PM
To: CouncilMail
Subject: STRONGLY AGAINST CB63

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

I AM OUTRAGEOUS, WE ARE OUTRAGEOUS!!!

SICK AND TIRED OF THIS BS STUFF COMING BACK OVER AND OVER.

SOMEONE AMONG YOU ARE TRYING TO RUIN HOCO.

IF YOU WANT TO MAKE HOCO A SANCTUARY PLACE, OPEN UP YOUR OWN HOME AND TAKE A FEW ILLEGALS - YES IT IS POLITICALLY INCORRECT WORD BUT SHOW US THAT THEY DIDN'T BREAK THE LAW!!

Best regards

Leon

1. Topic: CB-63 is a political posture, it creates financial burden

Dear Council Members,

My name is Mike Ren. I am a computer engineer and first generation immigrant. My family moved in Howard County 3 years ago because Howard County is one of the best places to live in this country. Today I am here to strongly oppose the CB63-2020 bill.

I am an unaffiliated citizen to any of political parties. However, let me emphasize, this is not a time or matter about post-election disappointment, anti-Trump sentiment, hate, or diversity. This is about the future of Howard County, our home, our children's home. This is beyond Donald Trump and his administration. The timing of this bill is awkward and bad. It was introduced during the holiday season and right before the new president's inauguration. Even today, many people still have not heard about this bill at all. For such a critical matter, we simply cannot make a rush and risky decision.

Secondly, the content of this bill is vague. As much as I believe in all the good intentions of this bill attempting to provide better benefits and protection to illegal immigrants, it lacks practical details, especially in terms of financial funding, or how to make this feasible in the long term. Let me ask this question: are we going to use the same amount of taxpayers' money we have today (or actually less money due to the potential loss of federal funding) to support more people, OR increase taxes in order to provide the same benefits we have today to all people, documented and undocumented? Either way, how will this bill make financial sense to our whole community? For example, to our schools and already tight education resources? We all live by real financial numbers, not by politics. Trump and sanctuary California can declare bankruptcy, shall we?

Furthermore, if the County passes such bill, it will encourage the illegal immigrants to rush into our community and cause a lot of more issues. It will create more burdens on the security, finance, housing and school resources.

Due to the limited time I have today, I am not even going to talk about other major issues like public safety concerns.

Council members, this matter is crossing party lines. Here I urge you to please carefully consider or re-consider your position. We are counting on you.

Thank you!

Mike Ren

2. Topic: Concerns on safety, financial burden, being victimized by the Bill

Good evening. My name is Hui Jiang. I have lived in Howard County for 16 years. I am a data manager and my husband is a scientist. Like all hard-working middle class, we contribute a lot of income tax to support our County's operation. Here I am strongly against CB-9 because I have many fears and concerns.

My first concern is that the safety of our community will be compromised if this bill passes. This bill is a short term, temporary, and potentially dangerous solution to the much bigger issue of citizenship rather than trying to make a political move to solve this issue in some way. Under sanctuary policies, illegal immigrants can obtain all the benefits living in Howard County regardless of their citizenship. So they don't have to strive to apply for citizenship and go through all the legal steps including criminal background check, medical exam, citizenship test, etc. Lack of criminal background check is very risky. As everybody knows, background check is crucial to maintain a safe and welcoming community. Therefore, I am afraid if this bill passes, the crime rate could increase. The bill could jeopardize the safety for majority of our county's legal resident and citizens.

I am also concerned that our county will run into more fiscal trouble if the bill is passed; our schools are already running out of budget in some areas. For example, during this school year, due to the shortness of budget, my daughter's high school no longer provides text books to every student in some classes. If we continue to increase expenditure without proper management, the quality of public school education we have worked so hard to build will be no more.

In addition, another concern is that our community will become unstable. Incoming illegal immigrants will definitely increase in our county as a result of this bill. This situation will add huge financial and economic burden. It may cause depreciation in housing values and degradation of public service. On the whole, I fear this sanctuary status will make our beautiful county become unattractive for law-abiding hardworking young people when they move into our community.

Please consider all of my realistic fears and concerns. We are all very vulnerable and do not want to become victims of CB-9. Please put the citizens and children of Howard County first.

Thank you,

Hui

3. Topic: Respect law, bad experience living in Montgomery County in the past

My name is Yong Ma, I live on xxxx xxxxx in Ellicott City. I moved to Howard County last October. Living here only for 3 months, I already love the beautiful place and the very friendly people of Howard County. Coming from Montgomery county, I want to tell you, do NOT vote for CB-9. If the bill passed, two things will happen, as I witnessed during my 17 year stay in Montgomery County: higher crime rate, and lower rating schools.

Last night I came to listen. I didn't plan to speak. But after listening to folks supporting the bill, I decided to sign up, I simply could not agree with what they said.

One person mentioned that 70% of Howard County voted against Donald Trump. And because Trump is against illegal immigration, the same 70% people must all support the bill. Wrong, that is completely wrong! I, for one, did vote against Donald Trump, but, I want to speak loud and clearly, I oppose bill CB-9. And here is why:

1. Nobody should go beyond our law. Not you, not me, not someone from other countries. The United States is a great country and Howard County is the place we call home. We love our country and our county. Why? Because this is a place law is respected and things are in order. Illegal immigrants are illegal, they came to our country illegally. They broke our law first, why should we put their interest above ours? The immigration law was put in place to protect us, if it needs to be amended, let's work together at the federal level, through the legal route. The CB-9 bill does not serve that purpose, rather, it'll open a flood gate, calling all illegal immigrants to come here. That is not what we need and that is not what we want.
2. There is a difference between legal immigrants and illegal immigrants. Mind you, I am an immigrant, a legal immigrant. Please don't mix up us with illegal immigrants. The CB-9 bill wants to think that we are all the same, but we are NOT. We, the legal ones, followed the law, worked every step of the way to become US citizens. It took us years to gain the permanent residence status. And, we don't have any fear, we didn't break our law. What are we afraid of?
3. One person claimed that statistics showed crime rate is lower among immigrants. Yes, that is true for the legal immigrants. But I DOUBT that is true among illegal immigrants. Before we can have solid data, let's be cautious and protect our lawful citizens first.

4. Topic: CB-9 cannot solve discrimination problems. Bill is false compassion (hypocrisy)

My name is Nancy. Tonight I come here as a Christian with faith in Christ. I am also here as a friend to the undocumented immigrants and those who have Muslim faith.

To these people, I'd like to say: I love you and I want to embrace you and accept you totally as who you are and as precious human beings.

To the undocumented immigrants and your children, I would like to say: I heard your cry. I am with you. I understand your strife and sorrow that you've been thru.

However, I want to tell you that CB9 cannot and will not offer you shield of protection from the act of discrimination or prejudice as you said you have experienced in the community. NO LAWS CAN. Discrimination is a symptom of a root problem. That is the sinful nature of human beings. A heart problem can only be fixed by being transformed by the power of the Gospel. And that is the pastors' job! That's the church's and charitable organizations' job to light the community by showing real actions of love to these people who live as our neighbors, and to influence the society with culture and atmosphere of kindness. This was what Jesus did on earth to reach out to the weak and the needed.

In addition, we can set up non-profit organizations specialized in providing legal service, social and emotional support to these people. Let the humanitarian organizations do the humanitarian jobs! CB-9 is not the solution!

The wrong kind of compassion by using public funding instead of individual and organization funding and put the majority's public safety and policemen life in jeopardy, is not true compassion. It is hypocrisy. POLICEMAN'S LIFE MATTERS!

While officially being labeled as Sanctuary County, CB9 will inevitably lure a steady influx of new incoming undocumented immigrants including gangsters from neighboring Counties. The existing undocumented immigrants will actually be victimized instead of being benefited.

This Bill's hollow content will do little to help these people. Only the collaborative effort of every individual and organization in the community, combined with the spirit of understanding and compassion can bring about genuine acceptance, comfort and peace for them. I am against CB9 and I urge the Council to postpone your vote till after 60 days of the Hearing.

5. Topic: safety (no vetting for illegals), economic consequences

My name is Greg Soon. I live at xxx, Clarksville, District 4. I am a resident there for 13 years; I lived in Maryland for over 20 years. And I came to this country more than 30 years ago and paid all taxes every year; I received my citizenship in 1999, waiting in the line patiently for 12 years.

That is the only way I know then on how to become a citizen. Unfortunately, I did not know the people in the council who drafted this legislation, or otherwise they might have been able to advise me on how to legally staying here illegally, and get all the benefits such as going to school, going to college with full financial aid, going to emergency rooms for both minor and major problems without paying a dime. I wish I knew you sooner, Mr. Ball and Ms. Terrasa. However you must be happy to know that, there are hundreds millions people all over the world, after hearing your amnesty, may want to come to Howard county, so you will have a chance to squeeze further the hard working tax payers. Maybe this is the beacon you intended in the first place, maybe not.

Dear Council members, Mary Kay you are in my district, I am worried about the safety of my kids and family, when people moved here without going through the vetting process. I do not understand why legal immigrants have to go through background checks, but illegals do not have to do so. Why do you want to tie the hands of our law enforcement, either the police or immigration officers? I consider immigration a federal issue and we need to respect the authority of the federal government, even if you do not like the new administration. You are so concerned about the safety of the illegal immigrants; wouldn't you please also look after the safety of my kids?

I am also worried about the long-term economic consequences for my family. With each illegal immigrant, kids and adults, the residents here have to pay for whatever the expenses they incur. As a father of three kids, both my wife and I have to work to be able to pay various taxes and assessments, and making our ends meet. When my children go to college, the chance for them to get any financial aid is pretty much non-existent for no reason other than we are paying enough taxes, we have to save money for their tuition. I would also like my wife to stay home to raise the children, because that would be of best interest to them, but we could not afford it. I am very much worried about the additional financial burden that will be forced upon us to cover the additional cost. Do you understand?

Last night's testimony included several lawyers who profit from illegal immigrants, it is obvious that they are biased and will do things that harm the interest of the residents, but I am very disappointed that they were being invited to the work session. Could you get people who truly represent the voters to the working session, not the special interest?

I'm here tonight to strongly oppose CB9-2017. I think it is a bad legislation, and have bad consequences for the county and the nation.

6. Topic: The bill divides our community

My name is Jean Xu. I have lived in Howard County District 1 for 10 years. I immigrated from China more than 20 years ago and became a proud US Citizen after 10 years of waiting in the line. Currently I'm the president of Chinese American Parent Association of Howard County. But I'm speaking as an individual tonight.

I'm here to strongly oppose CB9-2017.

Howard County has always been known for its diversity, inclusion, and civility. My High School daughter has friends from diverse backgrounds. She just learned some facts about fasting from one of her muslim friends and found it very interesting and shared it with me. She and her friend work on team projects and go to clubs together. We parents arrange car pool and became good friends as well. In addition, our county has many diverse programs / initiatives that support all members of the community. I have the privilege to be involved in a few of them, including the Culture Proficiency and Equity Advisory Committee, Diversity and Inclusion Committee, both are under HCPSS. I have always been very proud that my family lives in a place that respects all cultures and celebrates diversity. Therefore when I read this bill, I felt very offended by its language. The message in the bill appears to be that if we don't agree with your position then we must be xenophobic, Islamophobic or a racist and a bigot. This kind of narrative divides up and tears apart our wonderful community.

Introducing this bill does not prevent hate speech or provide additional protection as the stated intention of this bill. Quite the opposite, it creates problems. It has polarized this community unnecessarily. Council members, please look around this meeting room. So many people came out tonight, taking away our precious time with our family and friends. I believe many of you have received tons of emails and read thousands of social media posts with dividing opinions. Tension has been built up in our community after the introduction of this bill. And this very fact deeply troubles me. This bill does not make our county a better community. To the contrary, it will wreck the trust among our community members. Mr. Weinstein, Mrs. Sigaty and Mr. Fox, I urge you to vote against CB9-2017.

Thank you for listening.

7. Topic: How to increase County revenue to support the expenses incurred by the bill

My name is Xinning. I have been living in Maryland for 8 years. I ever lived in Silver Spring, Rockville, Elkridge, Gaithersburg, and finally settle down in Ellicott City, a beautiful neighborhood in District 1 of Howard County. I don't represent any organization. But, I do carry the message from several of my neighbors, including a couple I just talked to this afternoon. We are strongly opposing Bill CB-9, because we are deeply concerned about the consequence and impact this bill will bring on our public finances if it is passed.

First, CB-9 does not explain how to generate revenue to support all the public services and programs required for the bill, i.e., public schools, hospitals, social welfare program, security force, libraries, and other public services. It is very easy and convenient for politicians to assert their diversity and humanitarian attributes when they can do so simply by spending public funds. That's the money of people sitting in this room, including you and me. According to the recent county fiscal report, about 60% of the county revenue of Howard comes from property tax and income tax. We don't get a whole lot of state or federal grant and so we the tax payers are directly responsible for the bulk of the county's revenue, and I think we deserve well to know and choose better how our tax dollars are spent.

Based on what we have learned from Montgomery County's experience so far, it is clear that additional funding will be required to support increasing demand for public safety and service as well as education programs if the sanctuary status is declared. Montgomery County is a host of two sanctuary cities, and they just announced an increase of property tax rate by almost 9% for this year¹.

Furthermore, Bill CB-9 does not provide measures on how to cover the potential loss on federal funding toward certain public services and programs. Very recently, city of San Francisco, a pioneer of sanctuary cities, has encountered potential budget deficit, and has to reevaluate their spending, partially because foreseeing that they may lose federal funding due to the sanctuary city status². The financial constraint may result in cut on housing subsidies for current residents with low income, new leases for buildings to shelter the homeless, which I think lots of people including myself and also those who support the bill do not want to see.

So my question to you council members is simple: We work hard and pay taxes to make Howard as a beautiful home, and we are already experiencing shortage of funding and resources in some areas. If we declare sanctuary status, do we have the capacity and affordability to support the social and charity work on such a large scale underlined by CB-9?

Thanks for listening.

1. Biggest tax hike since 2009 is now official in Montgomery County
<https://www.washingtonpost.com/local/md-politics/biggest-tax-hike-since-2009-is-now->

official-in-montgomery-county/2016/05/26/abf9200e-228b-11e6-8690-f14ca9de2972_story.html?utm_term=.33b9e11790c1

2. Trump's sanctuary city threat, shortfalls lead SF to revise budget
<http://www.sfchronicle.com/politics/article/Trump-s-sanctuary-city-threat-shortfalls-lead-10638974.php>

(Supplemental ☺)

After hearing the testimonies from people who support the bill, I have some additional points to make, which I did not get time to cover in my testimony.

First, some bill supporters argued it is not very likely that sanctuary cities will lose federal funding, because this needs the approval of congress. Federal funding to sanctuary cities covers a number of things and is complicated, so it is difficult for the congress to simply peel it off. Well, we all know that the congress is controlled by Republicans. We just heard that the majority leader of the congress, Mr. Paul Ryan, publically stated that 'Sanctuary cities are a violation of the rule of law. We need to secure the border and enforce our laws'. The above news cited clearly demonstrated that the local administrative of San Francisco is serious about the risk, unless the supporters of CB-9 think those guys in SF having nothing else to do. I'd rather trust the judgement of professionals in San Francisco, than a group of people living across the whole continental, in terms of the local issues of SF.

Secondly, some supporters of CB-9 said that Howard is different from SF, and the federal funding only accounts for a small amount of county spending. That's true. It could be just 5%. However, this is still a big amount of money. The Howard county budge in 2017 is 1.5 billion. Even 5% of that will be 75 million dollars. Currently, there are lots of struggles among students and parents about the starting time of public schools of Howard. To resolve the issue of high school students not having enough sleeping time, some proposals plan to push the starting time of high school later. However, this will be implemented at the expense of moving the starting time of elementary schools earlier, since there are limited sources of school buses. The same batches of school buses are used for transport of elementary, middle, and high school students. This raises tremendous worrisome among parents of young kids, as the starting time of elementary schools could be as early as 7:30AM, which means that the bus time is even earlier and young kids have to get up very early.

Currently, this issue seems stuck there, as none of these proposals sound reasonable solutions. However, there is actually an easy way, that is, simply allocating some additional funding to buy more buses and hire drivers. The cost is estimated to be several millions, definitely no more than 10 million. Compared to the federal funding put at risk by CB-9 bill, this is just a small fraction. However, it seems very challenging for the school system/county to get this extra amount of money, if not impossible. So, my question to the supporters of CB-9 is that, why not take care of our own problem, take care of kids in our schools first (please note the kids of undocumented immigrants currently living in Howard and enrolled in schools will also be

affected by the school starting time issue)? No matter how BIG our heart is, no matter how willing we want to be generous, we must face the reality.

8. Topic: The importance to follow U.S. immigration law, how did I become a U.S. citizen thru strict vetting process, safety concern

My name is Yunshan Ye, resident of Ellicott City MD. Thank you for the opportunity to testify regarding the proposed bill, CB-9. For the record, I strongly oppose the bill, for reasons that many have already stated clearly and forcefully. For sake of time, I will not repeat what's already been said. I just want to take the opportunity to make one clarification and make a final plea.

I have heard many who support the bill speak about "breaking the US immigration law" as if it was no big deal. Repeatedly, following a so-called expert, an immigration lawyer, they claim that it is not a crime to break the immigration law. Yes, we understand that it is not the same as committing robbery or murder. But when you break a law and are punishable by the government, in my definition, you have committed a crime. It may be called a misdemeanor, or felony. But it is a crime, no less! And when we talk about possible impact of illegal immigrants on the security of our community, let's listen to our police, the true and trustworthy experts, not an immigration lawyer who has an obviously vested interest in gaining more customers, nor politicians who are counting on gaining more votes from certain population!

Many CB-9 supporters repeatedly lump all immigrants together, legal and illegal. As a first generation, legal immigrant, I find it very misleading and personally offensive. I came to US as student in 1997. After six years of graduate school, I graduated, got a job, and applied for green card in 2003. I did not get green card until 2012, a total of 9 years' wait. And then I had to wait another three years to become a US citizen. It was a long, often painful process. But I understand, for those of us born outside the country, the US citizenship is a privilege, not a right. Through the long immigration process, I did numerous finger prints, three physical exams, many face to face interviews with immigration officers. In other words, I was thoroughly vetted. When I took my first job, my position was advertised nationally and my employer had to prove to the government that no US citizen would want or was qualified for the job, to ensure I was not taking jobs from US citizens. My employer also had to pay me above average salary, again to ensure I was not cheap labor and undercutting wages of US citizens. This is what it takes to become a legal immigrant. Can we say the same about illegal immigrants? I understand by far the majority of undocumented immigrants are good, decent, hardworking people, people just like us in search of a better life. But the crucial difference is that there is no vetting process for illegal immigrants. That's why we are worried. We are worried that there might be bad guys mixed in them. Again, nobody is saying that all illegal immigrants are criminals. But as someone said earlier, one criminal, one crime, in our community would be too many, if we could have prevented it. If you think this is just fear mongering, tell me why this concern is not justified. When you invite more illegal immigrants coming to our community, don't just cite this number

or that number. Just tell me how you would prevent bad guys from coming to our community. If you have a plan, go ahead and tell us. I am all ears. If you have no plan and choose to ignore the concern, then you are being reckless and do not deserve the seat in our government.

I want to end my testimony with a plea. I want to plea with everybody present, all the concerned citizens on both sides of debate. Let's not stop propagating this either/or logic inherent in this bill. That is, either you support the bill or you are a bigot; either you welcome illegal immigrants or you are xenophobic, racist. Instead, let's agree to disagree and try to find middle ground. Let's find solutions instead of pointing fingers. Despite our differences, I believe we have plenty in common. We all love Howard County, our home. We all take pride in its rich diverse culture and beautiful environment. We all want and need safety. And more importantly, we are not mean spirited people. We all want to help, no matter the homeless, refugees, illegal immigrants, and their children especially. What differs among us is how. Is "Sanctuary County" really the only way to help? Is it really worth it, tearing apart our community, pitting neighbor against neighbor, in order to pursue this bill? Can we wait another 90 days, take a breath, clear our heads of our prejudices and pre-conceived notions, and have more constructive discussions? Can we explore together other, maybe better solutions, solutions that could both help those in need while not destroying our own community?

9. Topic: Potential MS-13 gang members will threaten the County safety, financial burden on taxpayers

My name is Xin Yi and I want to introduce myself as an immigrant. I made several choices when I grew up. I chose to study and work very hard to attend college then to get a good job here 10 years ago. It was a long and difficult journey to obtain legal residency status. But I made these choices so my kids can live a life with dignity. I am sharing my story here because it is very similar to many other immigrants like me.

My husband and I have built a small family with two kids. Like many others, we have chosen Howard County because of its low crime rate and great schools. In reading the news, MS-13 gang in DC often recruits young illegal immigrants. Washington Metro Area has seen an increased gang-related violence from illegal immigrants, including Montgomery County, where the Police said they investigated eight gang-related murders in 2015 alone. These happen to be the Sanctuary county or city you are proposing for Howard county. Although I recognize that most undocumented immigrants do not engage in criminal activities, but don't you think that ONE crime is too many if it could've been prevented with proper enforcement of immigration laws?

I've also found out that there are estimated 250,000 undocumented immigrants living in Maryland. Majority of them live in Sanctuary cities. And the annual costs added to Maryland Taxpayers to support them are close to \$2 billion². On top of that, CB-9 will risk losing federal funding. Montgomery county has seen sharp tax hikes since it declared "Sanctuary" and they have seen 42% student increase in its ESOL program last year ALONE. It is OBVIOUS that CB-9 will add additional financial burden to each tax payer.

The question I have today is:

1. Why do you propose a bill to bind the hands of our police officers to keep the crime rate low in Howard County?
2. Why do you want to attract more undocumented immigrants to come live in Howard County and add more burden to the tax payers?
3. When Federal funding is cut off, do you plan to raise taxes or reduce services?

A measure to place a "Sanctuary" label only offers false sense of security and cannot prevent immigrants from being deported from federal enforcement. It just compromises local public safety. I strongly believe CB-9 will attract more undocumented criminal immigrants to Howard County and undermine the hard work of law-abiding residents. And that is why I OPPOSE CB-9 for Howard County! Thank you!

10. Topic: Trust our police department policies

I am here to remind everyone not to forget the power of “MORAL VALUES” that have kept us safe and peaceful for generations. Although today I am speaking as an individual, but I can speak on behalf of most people who are here to oppose the bill when I say that we’re not racist and we do not dislike the undocumented immigrants who live here peacefully. We have great sympathy for them as well because we ALSO have moral values and common sense.

This country has come a long way since Dr. King’s speech and many civil rights movements. Yes, Law has been put in place as a result of those movements. But BELIEVE IT OR NOT, it’s not any legislature or law enforcement that has changed so many hearts of Americans. It’s from Dr. King’s speech! It’s from stories from many suppressed minorities in the past. It’s the education from our parents, our teachers and pastors through many generations. Just like how we would teach our kids not to speak foul words or hurtful things to others, and we should do nothing but to respect one another. I have sat here since last night listening to many CB9 supporters who speak of fear from the undocumented immigrants. Let us not forget that Donald Trump had said repeatedly that his administration will ONLY deport undocumented CRIMINAL immigrants. Yes, there are bullies in this world, and there ALWAYS will be. What we teach our kids in this situation is to stand up for themselves. Do not fear! Do not wail! Channel your frustration to strength and become a better person yourself. That is the MORAL VALUES among all of us that had kept this society a secure place to live. The police chief who testified yesterday told us that the police department has always implemented a policy not to inquire immigration status to the county residents and their policy is to treat all individuals equally. Let us not insult them by saying: “Your Policy could change anytime without a written legislature”. If I am not mistaken, I believe our police officers established their department policy NOT because of any legislature, but because of their MORAL VALUES and COMMON SENSE.

Dear Council Members – We do NOT need CB9 that divides our community. You should believe in us to do the RIGHT thing because of our moral values just like how we believed in you when we placed you in this office. If you feel compelled to do SOMETHING, then launch public ads that speak true stories of undocumented immigrants who live here peacefully. As an immigrant myself, I don’t like the immigration law either. If we want to make changes, then let’s unite together and place pressure on the congress and the senate to change immigration law, but NOT introduce a bill to initiate a fight among ourselves.

Thank you!

11. Topic: Government should not do social charity which is a waste of money on taxpayers

My name is Jianning Zeng. I am here to present my strong objection to Bill CB-9.

16 years ago, I came to America from China. I got my PhD degree, and work as a system engineer. My husband is a meteorologist. As Christians, donation is our life. We follow the law of this country, and the law protects us.

I have been lived in Maryland, Baltimore County and Howard County, for more than 15 years. Through these years I have seen the fact that the undocumented immigrants in Howard County are already warmly embraced, welcomed, accepted, accommodated, treated and given many benefits.

Historically, charity and social welfare has always been done most efficiently, and compassionately through churches, volunteer organizations, local communities, and individuals, as many of us are diligently and faithfully doing now.

Our church members are involved in helping poor including undocumented people living in McDonald House and other charities in Howard County and Baltimore city. I know many local churches have free English teaching classes and free summer camps for the kids including undocumented kids. According to Giving USA and Bureau of Labor, American have given to charitable organizations almost \$400 billion or 2.1% GDP, and volunteered almost 63 million hours in 2015.

Charity begins at home and is not the purview of professional politicians!

James Madison, (one of our great nation's founding fathers) who wrote the first drafts of the U.S. Constitution and sponsored the Bill of Rights, once said, "Charity is no part of the legislative duty of the government." Those who crafted the Constitution did not intend to limit the government's role in helping less fortunate people out of mean-spirit; they did so out of a sense of what would best serve the public. They believed that bureaucrats spending other people's money – funds taken by force – is not the best way to promote charitable activities.

We have grown weary of elected politicians enacting legislation for their personal political gains or recognition. We are tired of legislators spending our money to further their political aspirations and agenda, and we are angry that elected government officials are once again ignoring the will of the people.

I urge you to vote NO to Howard County Bill-9, 2017 or we need more public hearing.

According to a 2012 Congressional Research Service report, combined annual federal and state spending on antipoverty programs exceeds one trillion dollars. These programs, are notoriously wasteful, often counterproductive and frequently motivated by political gain rather than a

sincere, intent to help people. These programs allegedly intended to assist those who are less fortunate, use monies extracted from taxpayers, dispensed by bureaucrats, and subject to rules and regulations designed by government administrators, remove personal responsibility from both the giving and receiving ends of the equation.

In addition to waste, the subsequent unintended consequences of these social engineering experiments have produced government dependency, family breakdown, and have eliminated the sense of accountability by the recipients in that they are primarily responsible for their lives.

Private charitable giving and the spirit of volunteerism have been essential bulwarks of the American character, and they remain indispensable to our national success. Can there be any doubt that a trillion dollars, derived from private sources, provided to those in need, and managed by private entities (not government) that the money would be better spent, less wasted and the recipients more benefited?

Thank you.

Jianning Zeng

12. Topic: Government should not support social charity

My name is Hongling Zhou. I am a Statistician and my husband is a Software Engineer. We have been Howard county residents for 18 years. We are the hard-working taxpayers that are the backbones of this County's revenue source. I am here to express my strong opposition to CB9.

I am an immigrant from China. I became a proud U.S. citizen after 14 years waiting in line. It was not easy, but we did it with dignity. I heard Dr. Ball on Radio saying that CB9 is intended to address concerns of foreign born residents in Howard County. I would like to tell you that this bill not only does not address any of my concerns but also created feelings of uncertainty and anxiety among many people around me.

I personally know a few undocumented immigrants. My husband and I have given materialistic and logistical help to these families. One lady in particular connected with me on personal level. She cares deeply about her children's education and the topic often comes up in our conversations. I respect her for that. I informed her that the public school has pre-K program made available first to students with disadvantaged economic status and helped her to enroll her then 4 year old daughter in the program. Through this experience, I observed what these families really need are social, health and educational services, which CB9 fails to mention. And Howard County has already made these benefits and resources available for undocumented residents.

While I agree we, the Howard County residents, should be willing to share our good fortune with the poor and the needy from the world whenever we can as individuals, I take issue with government charity forced upon taxpayers. James Madison once said, "Charity is no part of the legislative duty of the government." If this bill is enacted, it will in effect become an advertisement for more and more undocumented immigrants to come to our county, given our excellent public school systems. Can we really afford the increased cost associated with such seemingly moral high ground? Montgomery County as a Sanctuary Jurisdiction had a property tax hike of 8.7% this year. Does Howard County want to follow the suit? Mr. Weinstein, do you want to be the person who breaks the news to us on your next term? It doesn't take long for this to happen.

It is exceedingly unfair to force the cost of such government charity upon the loyal income and property taxpayers who contribute to the county's revenue.

I urge you to vote against this bill!

Sincerely,

Hongling Zhou

A letter to one sponsor of the Bill CB9

13. Topic: False humanity and charity cannot truly help the illegal immigrants

Dr. Calvin Ball,

We all heard it in the last Hearing. The woman who came across the boarder 17 years ago and had lived in the U.S. since, said, "she got nothing back from the tax she paid".

I would like to ask her, does she send her kids to public schools and libraries? Does she use all the public facilities and services? Does she drive on the roads and highways? Does she enjoy all the nice infrastructure and environment in the community? And yet she said she got nothing back?

What she and her children received in this country is far more than what she contributed.

Stunningly, we only heard words of grudges and dissatisfaction from her. We did not hear a word of gratitude from her.

I am afraid she and her children will never be able to walk out of the shadow as CB-9 promised.

CB-9 will not help this woman at all if she chooses live in a state of ignorance plus an attitude of ungratefulness and deservedness.

Sadly, FERN only pushes this woman and other clients more toward marginalized in the society, and so does CB-9.

The false concept on humanity and charity, disguised in a noble cause in this Bill, defies higher law authority and ignores the well-being of the majority.

The Bill meant to do "good" yet such "good" is done at all cost and at the expense of the majority. It will only bring harm and damages to the society as a whole.

A letter to another sponsor of the Bill CB9

14. Topic: Listen to the Police

Ms. Jen Terrasa,

We all heard it! Chief Gardner testified it. Other senior police officers testified it.

Sanctuary County! These are the two words eerie enough to chill the police's spine.

They ALL opposed the bill because they knew TOO well how dangerous it will be for the police and for the society when there is no way to keep the bad guys from coming.

We all saw it! You questioned the Chief, "Do you have a written policy? Your Policy could change anytime without a written legislature".

We were completely dismayed when you asked the Chief such things.

Are you thinking the lives of the police, at stake for doing "good" for illegal immigrants, worth far less than the vain dignity of these people you try to protect?

Aren't our police human too?

Why the kind of humanity that you intend to give to the illegal immigrants must be done at the cost of the lives of the police who are protecting us?

I must advise you, this is not humanity at all. This is inhumane and even cruel to the police and to the general public.

If a bill, with humanity intention for a few, yet brings the majority devastation, is not worth to propose. There are lots of other humanity channels for illegal immigrants. Most important of all, it is thru heart change of the society, not thru legislation, can truly bring blessings to this group of people.

If the police chief said "STOP", you'd better stop. I don't want to see a policeman dies in the hands of an illegal immigrant. I don't want to see you regret and feel guilty for the rest of your life for the decision you made today.

Please listen to your conscience. Don't betray yourself. Don't betray your integrity. A good reputation is worth more than wealth (Proverb).

I sincerely urge you, please drop the ball, and please drop the bill. You will earn the utmost respect from the society because you've protected them and because you've honored and listened to the police.

15. Topic: Listen to the policemen's professional opinions

Dear Ms. Sigaty, Mr. Weinstein and Mr. Fox:

Self introduction..... I am a proud resident of Howard County from the Chinese community. We have listened to many testimonies on January 17 and 18, and also listened to the work session on Jan. 23. Thanks to social media, the Chinese community had never been more united on issues such as what CB9 could bring upon us.

Chief Gardner and Mr. Dan Coon's testimonies have painted a very clear picture for all of us on how CB9 will hinder their normal operation to cooperate with the federal enforcement in order to apprehend possible suspect of crime or gang members. After listening to nearly 10-min statement and fact reading from the retired police officer (Mr. Martin Johnson) about 911 and the conclusion that had been drawn from the horrifying event regarding how full cooperation among Federal, State and Local enforcement is essential in preventing such tragedy from happening again, it is obvious that CB-9 could add serious risks not only to Howard County residents, but possibly someone else from neighboring counties or states.

I agree with Ms. Sigaty when she said we needed to find balance not only to treat undocumented people (non-criminals) with respect, but also not to jeopardize safety for innocent people. However, I am afraid that enacting CB9 is not the answer to this because LAW draws clear lines, but the issue we're facing is a grey area. There are no clear lines to cut. I think the judgement on how to handle undocumented immigrants should be left to the professionals (our police officers) on a case-by-case basis. Our police officers have had tremendous amount of training on how to identify suspects. They are the only professionals who know the best on how to provide community safety, not CASA or FIRN, and definitely not any immigration lawyers. **Although I admire some council members' intention to improve quality of life for the undocumented immigrants, but it should not be at the cost of any individual's life.**

We have heard a lot about MS13. MS13 originated from refugees from El Salvador and continue to prey on the young generations of this undocumented group. It is not surprising to me when I found out that the currency of El Salvador has become US dollars. The reason is because that one of the main revenue of this country is to receive money sent back by the illegal immigrants living in the U.S. Here are a few facts. Illegal immigrants residing in the U.S. send \$50 billion in remittances to their home countries each year, according to the Bureau of Economic Analysis. The World Bank estimates that number is even higher, closer to \$120B. Nearly a quarter of that money is sent to family members in El Salvador, Honduras and Guatemala. The Central American governments have encouraged the high levels of emigration because it is earning their economy billions of dollars! And Americans are the ones forced to pick up the \$113 billion tab for taking care of the country's 12 million illegal immigrants.

It is disturbing to me that Dr. Ball and Ms. Terrasa had invited so many individuals to the work session that have clear conflict of interests on this matter. Let's not forget that organizations such as CASA, FIRN and some of the immigration lawyers (such as Mr. Green and a few others) are also beneficiaries from this chain of business. And if Dr. Ball and Ms. Terrasa had received sponsorship from CASA or FIRN on their past election campaign, should I doubt their true motive on this CB9 bill?

I also wanted to share with you on a piece of news below regarding sanctuary cities. In the article, it quoted a poll that shows 74% Californians want sanctuary cities ended, 65% Hispanics, 70% of Indies, 73% Dems and 82% GOP.

<http://www.sandiegouniontribune.com/opinion/commentary/sd-utbg-sanctuary-cities-opposition-20170120-story.html>

The evidence from various sources and the testimonies is overwhelming. So PLEASE!!! Let us NOT follow the footsteps of the people who have already regretted their decision. And PLEASE vote "NO" on CB9. Thank you very much!

Sayers, Margery

From: Isabel Kadoorie <isabelyk@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 17, 2020 2:34 PM
To: CouncilMail
Subject: NO, NO, NO to CB63-2020

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Dear Council members,

I came to the United States through legal immigration process and became a naturalized US citizen more than ten years ago. Having lived in Howard County for more than 15 years, I felt strongly to protect the integrity of this County against the sanctuary bill .

I am all for sensible immigration laws, and we must make a clear distinction between legal immigration and illegal immigration.

Dear Council members, you would not encourage break-ins or play favoritism for persons who cut in the line, do you? If so, you would NOT believe in something is designed to work against the existing immigration laws.

If you truly believe our immigration laws were flawed, then you should propose a reform in the immigration laws instead of attempting to make rules and regulations at the local level in contrary to the federal laws. By supporting CB63-2020, you would betray our trust and severely undermine the safety and integrity of Howard County.

During yesterday's hearing, I heard the opposing views from each side. Overall, the people who were against CB63 were truly thinking for the wellbeing of the Howard County residents. Whereas the people who were for CB63 were making false narratives as if the immigration laws were outrageously wrong. Again, if the immigration laws were outrageously wrong, they should have fought for changing those laws instead of misusing our tax money to fund their own political agenda!

I am with many hardworking Howard County residents. Together we strongly oppose the CB63-2020. On behalf of my friends, neighbors, and colleagues, I respectfully urge you to stand up for the people and fight against this self-serving, insidious, morally corrupt County Bill 63-2020.

Sincerely yours,
Isabel Kadoorie

Sayers, Margery

From: Hui Cao <rachcao@yahoo.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 17, 2020 2:32 PM
To: CouncilMail
Subject: NO to CB63

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Dear County Council members,

My name is Hui Cao, a proud, legal immigrant, a resident in Howard County for more than 14 years, and I'm writing to oppose the Sanctuary bill in Howard County.

CB63 will label our county as a Sanctuary County and hence a destination of choice for illegals to come, impacting our public safety.

Yes, my biggest concern is public safety. Now those politicians want to remove SROs from our public schools, cancel county contract of detention center with ICE, even don't allow our local police officers working cooperatively with ICE, but welcome those illegal aliens to our county. It's not acceptable. Mr. Opel Jones, and others who support CB63, What do you really want to do to our county? If you welcome those illegal aliens, you are breaking our immigration laws, you are betraying your own county citizens by putting illegal aliens FIRST and county citizens LAST! This is called treason! I heard so many tragedies happened in Montgomery County. You will be fully responsible for all lives lost in those angel families, you should KNEEL before them.

Law enforcement officers are on the front lines, dealing with crime associated with illegal ALIENS, including drug- and gang- related crimes. Sanctuary practices result in dangerous criminal aliens being released back onto the streets instead of being removed.

Sanctuary practices pose a public safety and national security threat. By impeding cooperation with federal immigration officials, sanctuary cities create an environment where would-be terrorists and other criminal aliens can go undetected and uninterrupted.

Sanctuary county, providing a "safe haven" for illegal aliens, is unfair to legal immigrants who respect our nation's laws.

Again, Council members should not put Howard County residence personal life and properties under danger and threat by harboring illegal alien criminals.

If Any of our county residents become a victim like one of the angel families, you should feel Guilty and ashamed because you pass this terrible bill.

So dear councilmen and councilwomen, please vote NO to CB63.

Thanks,

Hui Cao

Howard County Resident

Sayers, Margery

From: Alice Ma <alicedma2010@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 17, 2020 2:21 PM
To: CouncilMail
Subject: Strongly oppose CB63

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Dear Council members,

My name is Donghong Ma, and I am working as an IT professional.
I live in district 6 and have been a Howard County resident since 2004 .

CB63 will label our county as a Sanctuary County and hence a destination of choice for illegals to come, impacting our public safety.

CB63 will expose legal residents under FEAR & danger since they are likely to be harmed by illegal alien criminals/gangsters.

CB63 will cause extra final burden to the county thus to us - legal tax payers.

Among the above reasons, my biggest concern is public safety. Now those politicians want to remove SROs from our public schools, cancel county contracts of detention centers with ICE, even don't allow our local police officers working cooperatively with ICE, but welcome those illegal aliens to our county. It's not acceptable. Mr. Opel Jones, and others who support CB63, What do you really want to do to our county? If you welcome those illegal aliens, you are breaking our immigration laws, you are betraying your own county citizens by putting illegal aliens FIRST and county citizens LAST! This is called treason! I heard so many tragedies happened in Montgomery County. You will be fully responsible for all lives lost in those angel families, you should KNEEL before them.

Law enforcement officers are on the front lines, dealing with crime associated with illegal ALIENS, including drug- and gang- related crimes. Sanctuary practices result in dangerous criminal aliens being released back onto the streets instead of being removed.

Sanctuary practices pose a public safety and national security threat. By impeding cooperation with federal immigration officials, sanctuary cities create an environment where would-be terrorists and other criminal aliens can go undetected and uninterrupted.

Sanctuary county, providing a "safe haven" for illegal aliens, is unfair to legal immigrants who respect our nation's laws.

Again, Council members should not put Howard County residence personal life and properties under danger and threat by harboring illegal alien criminals.

If any of our county residents become a victim like one of the angel families, you should feel Guilty and ashamed should you pass this terrible bill.

So dear councilmen and councilwomen, please vote NO to CB63.

Thank you very much in advance for reading my email and taking my opposition into account.

Donghong Ma

Sayers, Margery

From: xiaoyang chen <cxy84chenxiao@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 17, 2020 2:17 PM
To: CouncilMail
Cc: Mengxi Wang
Subject: Say No to CB-9

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Good evening. My name is Yang Chen. I have lived in Howard County for 10 years. I am a tax manager and my wife is a payroll specialist for Howard County. Like all hard-working middle class, we contribute a lot of income tax to support our County's operation. Here I am strongly against CB-9 because I have many fears and concerns.

My first concern is that the safety of our community will be compromised if this bill passes. This bill is a short term, temporary, and potentially dangerous solution to the much bigger issue of citizenship rather than trying to make a political move to solve this issue in some way. Under sanctuary policies, illegal immigrants can obtain all the benefits living in Howard County regardless of their citizenship. So they don't have to strive to apply for citizenship and go through all the legal steps including criminal background check, medical exam, citizenship test, etc. Lack of criminal background check is very risky. As everybody knows, background check is crucial to maintain a safe and welcoming community. Therefore, I am afraid if this bill passes, the crime rate could increase. The bill could jeopardize the safety for majority of our county's legal resident and citizens.

I am also concerned that our county will run into more fiscal trouble if the bill is passed; our schools are already running out of budget in some areas. For example, during this school year, due to the shortness of budget, my daughter's high school no longer provides text books to every student in some classes. If we continue to increase expenditure without proper management, the quality of public school education we have worked so hard to build will be no more.

In addition, another concern is that our community will become unstable. Incoming illegal immigrants will definitely increase in our county as a result of this bill. This situation will add huge financial and economic burden. It may cause depreciation in housing values and degradation of public service. On the whole, I fear this sanctuary status will make our beautiful county become unattractive for law-abiding hardworking young people when they move into our community. Please consider all of my realistic fears and concerns. We are all very vulnerable and do not want to become victims of CB-9. Please put the citizens and children of Howard County first.

Thank you,

Yang

Sent from my iPhone

Sayers, Margery

From: laura zhao <laura_gl_zhao@msn.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 17, 2020 2:11 PM
To: CouncilMail
Subject: Anti-CB63

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Dear Howard County council members,

I am a residence in Howard County for over 10 years. I am an assistant professor working in the University of Maryland. I am proud to be living in such a safe and well educated county. The reason why this county is able to maintain so attractive to those who are seeking good public education and safe neighborhood because the administrators of this county cherish the legal activity and civil responsibility and obligations. Obeying laws and constitutions are what we must do. That is why we are willing to work hard, to pay high taxes, and to teach our kids the same value. As a legal immigrant, I personally don't object to love those whoever entered this country. What I object strongly is to protect those who continue doing illegal things in this country and county where Law has been enforced even to the legal citizens and immigrants. If passed, this CB63 bill would no doubtly become a sign of encouragement to those illegal immigrants. If this bill intends to protect illegal immigrants, what are going to be done to protect the legal immigrants and citizens? Chinese and Indian, for example, are willing to spend years and years before they are able to live here as permanent residence. And they represent a large group of people who follow the laws and regulations, who have been intellectually contribute to this community. For the past 10 years, I witnessed how much the Montgomery county has been changed since they passed the bill to protect the illegal immigrants. The increasing crime is one of the consequences that threaten the safety of the whole community, including the school system. The bottom line is: I do not care how you come to this country (legal or illegal). Once you are here, you need to obey the law. No one should be standing beyond the law and be protected from being punished should he/she dare to break.

So me and my family say "no" to Sanctuary Bill CB63.

Sincerely,

Guiling Zhao

Sayers, Margery

From: Shun Liu <shun.liu@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 17, 2020 2:08 PM
To: CouncilMail
Subject: Government should not support social charity

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Dear Council Members,

My name is Shun Liu. I am a meteorologist and my wife is scientist. We have been Howard county residents for 16 years. We are the hard-working taxpayers that are the backbones of this County's revenue source. I am here to express my strong opposition to CB9.

I heard Dr. Ball on Radio saying that CB9 is intended to address concerns of foreign born residents in Howard County. I would like to tell you that this bill not only does not address any of my concerns but also created feelings of uncertainty and anxiety among many people around me.

I personally know a few undocumented immigrants. My husband and I have given materialistic and logistical help to these families. One lady in particular connected with me on personal level. She cares deeply about her children's education and the topic often comes up in our conversations. I respect her for that. I informed her that the public school has pre-K program made available first to students with disadvantaged economic status and helped her to enroll her then 4 year old daughter in the program. Through this experience, I observed what these families really need are social, health and educational services, which CB9 fails to mention. And Howard County has already made these benefits and resources available for undocumented residents.

While I agree we, the Howard County residents, should be willing to share our good fortune with the poor and the needy from the world whenever we can as individuals, I take issue with government charity forced upon taxpayers. James Madison once said, "Charity is no part of the legislative duty of the government." If this bill is enacted, it will in effect become an advertisement for more and more undocumented immigrants to come to our county, given our excellent public school systems. Can we really afford the increased cost associated with such seemingly moral high ground? Montgomery County as a Sanctuary Jurisdiction had a property tax hike of 8.7% this year. Does Howard County want to follow the suit? Mr. Weinstein, do you want to be the person who breaks the news to us on your next term? It doesn't take long for this to happen.

It is exceedingly unfair to force the cost of such government charity upon the loyal income and property taxpayers who contribute to the county's revenue.

I urge you to vote against this bill!

Sincerely,
Shun Liu

Sayers, Margery

From: J.Y, Yu <yujy80@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 17, 2020 1:54 PM
To: CouncilMail
Subject: NO CB63 !!!!

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

BIG NO TO SANCTUARY BILL.

Jingyu Yu
Ellicott City
734—330—9914

Sayers, Margery

From: Bin Tan <bin.tan@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, November 17, 2020 1:31 PM
To: CouncilMail
Subject: Please do NOT vote for CB-9

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

My name is Bin Tan, I live in turf valley overlook in Ellicott City. I moved to Howard County 10 years ago. I love the beautiful place and the very friendly people of Howard County. Coming from Montgomery county, I want to tell you, do NOT vote for CB-9. If the bill passed, two things will happen, as I witnessed in Montgomery County: higher crime rate, and lower rating schools.

Last night I came to listen. I didn't plan to speak. But after listening to folks supporting the bill, I decided to sign up, I simply could not agree with what they said.

One person mentioned that 70% of Howard County voted against Donald Trump. And because Trump is against illegal immigration, the same 70% people must all support the bill. Wrong, that is completely wrong! I, for one, did vote against Donald Trump, but, I want to speak loud and clearly, I oppose bill CB-9. And here is why:

1. Nobody should go beyond our law. Not you, not me, not someone from other countries. The United States is a great country and Howard County is the place we call home. We love our country and our county. Why? Because this is a place law is respected and things are in order. Illegal immigrants are illegal, they came to our country illegally. They broke our law first, why should we put their interest above ours? The immigration law was put in place to protect us, if it needs to be amended, let's work together at the federal level, through the legal route. The CB-9 bill does not serve that purpose, rather, it'll open a flood gate, calling all illegal immigrants to come here. That is not what we need and that is not what we want.
2. There is a difference between legal immigrants and illegal immigrants. Mind you, I am an immigrant, a legal immigrant. Please don't mix up us with illegal immigrants. The CB-9 bill wants to think that we are all the same, but we are NOT. We, the legal ones, followed the law, worked every step of the way to become US citizens. It took us years to gain the permanent residence status. And, we don't have any fear, we didn't break our law. What are we afraid of?
3. One person claimed that statistics showed crime rate is lower among immigrants. Yes, that is true for the legal immigrants. But I DOUBT that is true among illegal immigrants. Before we can have solid data, let's be cautious and protect our lawful citizens first.



HOWARD COUNTY COUNCIL
AFFIDAVIT OF AUTHORIZATION
TO TESTIFY ON BEHALF OF AN ORGANIZATION

I, Eric Lopez, have been duly authorized by
(name of individual)

Capital Area Immigrants' Rights Coalition to deliver testimony to the
(name of nonprofit organization or government board, commission, or task force)

County Council regarding CB63-2020 to express the organization's
(bill or resolution number)

support for / opposition to / request to amend this legislation.
(Please circle one.)

Printed Name: Eric Lopez

Signature: _____

Date: 11/16/2020

Organization: Capital Area Immigrants' Rights Coalition

Organization Address: Baltimore MD 21201

Baltimore MD 21201

Number of Members: 100

Name of Chair/President: Kathryn M. Doan

This form can be submitted electronically via email to councilmail@howardcountymd.gov no later than 2 hours prior to the start of the Public Hearing.



HOWARD COUNTY COUNCIL
AFFIDAVIT OF AUTHORIZATION
TO TESTIFY ON BEHALF OF AN ORGANIZATION

I, LESLIE SALGADO, have been duly authorized by
(name of individual)

FRIENDS OF LATIN AMERICA to deliver testimony to the
(name of nonprofit organization or government board, commission, or task force)

County Council regarding CB 63 to express the organization's
(bill or resolution number)

support for / opposition to / request to amend this legislation.
(Please circle one.)

Printed Name: LESLIE P. SALGADO

Signature: Leslie P. Salgado

Date: 11/16/20

Organization: FRIENDS OF LATIN AMERICA

Organization Address: 6336 SANDCHAIN RD.

COLUMBIA, MD 21045

Number of Members: 50 CORE MEMBERS

Name of Chair/President: LESLIE P. SALGADO

This form can be submitted electronically via email to
councilmail@howardcountymd.gov no later than 2 hours prior to the start of the
Public Hearing.



HOWARD COUNTY COUNCIL
AFFIDAVIT OF AUTHORIZATION
TO TESTIFY ON BEHALF OF AN ORGANIZATION

I, Joe Spielberger, have been duly authorized by
(name of individual)

ACLU of Maryland to deliver testimony to the
(name of nonprofit organization or government board, commission, or task force)

County Council regarding CB63 The Liberty Bill to express the organization's
(bill or resolution number)

support for / opposition to / request to amend this legislation.
(Please circle one.)

Printed Name: Joe Spielberger

Signature: Joseph Spielberger
Digitally signed by Joseph Spielberger
DN: cn=Joseph Spielberger, o, ou, email=spielberger@aclu-md.org, c=US
Date: 2020.11.16 14:44:32 -05'00'

Date: 11/16/2020

Organization: ACLU of Maryland

Organization Address: 3600 Clipper Mill Rd., Baltimore, MD 21211

3600 Clipper Mill Rd., Baltimore, MD 21211

Number of Members: 42,000

Name of Chair/President: Dana Vickers Shelley, Executive Director

*This form can be submitted electronically via email to
councilmail@howardcountymd.gov no later than 2 hours prior to the start of the
Public Hearing.*

CB63-20 (Liberty Bill) Testimony on November 16, 2020 7pm

Good Evening,

Esteemed members of the Howard County Council and my fellow community members.

My name is Sheila Jennifer and I am a 30+ year resident of Columbia Maryland. I am also a strong proponent of diversity having produced a documentary on Columbia entitled "Promise of Colors" which looks at James Rouse's vision for this city. I also initiated our community's Dialogue on Diversity. So in speaking Against CB 63-20 I would hope this will not make me an adversary of diversity.

Specifically, would our already over tasked teachers be in conflict with the provision of this bill that states "no inquiries about citizenship" if a student shares voluntarily information about injuries sustained as an illegal immigrant i.e through child trafficking, non immunization, homelessness?

Does this bill provide an unfair advantage over our legal naturalized citizens?

Does it undermine the legal naturalization process?

Previously Howard County Corrections Director, Jack Kavanaugh, has stated that Immigration and Customs Enforcement Protects our community by detaining felons, criminal gang members, deported felons, drug offenders and rapists. Who is contesting our community safety?

If this bill enables increased fiscal responsibility for illegal immigration, already burgeoning at 1.7 billion in Maryland according to FAIR estimates, who pays for the cost? CASA? Taxpayers? Can anyone foresee litigation fees for violations of the 287(g) detention program?

If legal citizens, especially our children and minority communities are already suffering from under performing schools with stagnant test scores and disparate

employment why take on this agenda? What happened to the commitment to improve the minority achievement gap Dr. Opel?

Kindly make community safety, supporting our taxpayers, and fairness to all citizens the top priority as you consider Against passing CB 63-20.

Sincerely,

Sheila Jennifer

Sayers, Margery

From: Nancy Shih <shih4500-nancy@yahoo.com>
Sent: Monday, November 16, 2020 11:02 PM
To: CouncilMail
Subject: Oppose CB63

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Dear Council members,

I live in D2 and I want to ask **Open Jones:**

Why do you put illegal aliens ABOVE legal residents?

Are you serving illegal aliens rather than legal residents?

Do you know your job as a council member is to protect county residents' safety & enhance their well-being? Why are you doing the OPPOSITE?

Why do you expose legal residents under FEAR & danger because they are likely to be harmed by illegal alien criminals/gangsters?

Do you know that all legal immigrants have gone thru years of VETTING process - criminal background check, finger print, etc. but illegal aliens have NONE? And do you know how dangerous that is without vetting?

Do you know all sanctuary cities have suffered higher drug/rape/murder crimes? It's a fact! Don't deny it! You need to educate yourself!

If you don't know the answers and don't know your job, you need to **drop CB63!** Please stop HURTING our county legal residents!

County residents should always be FIRST, not illegal aliens!
KNOW YOUR JOB!

Thank you.

Nancy Shih
D2 resident

Sayers, Margery

From: Jianning zeng <jnzeng@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 16, 2020 10:06 PM
To: CouncilMail
Subject: Oppose CB63

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Dear County Council members,

My name is Jianning Zeng, a PhD in astrophysics, a proud, legal immigrant, a resident in Howard County for more than 12 years, and this is the third time here to oppose the Sanctuary bill in Howard County. CB63 will label our county as a Sanctuary County and hence a destination of choice for illegals to come, impacting our public safety.

Yes, my biggest concern is public safety. Now those politicians want to remove SROs from our public schools, cancel county contract of detention center with ICE, even don't allow our local police officers working cooperatively with ICE, but welcome those illegal aliens to our county. It's not acceptable. Mr. Opel Jones, and others who support CB63, What do you really want to do to our county? If you welcome those illegal aliens, you are breaking our immigration laws, you are betraying your own county citizens by putting illegal aliens FIRST and county citizens LAST! This is called treason! I heard so many tragedies happened in Montgomery County. You will be fully responsible for all lives lost in those angel families, you should KNEEL before them.

Law enforcement officers are on the front lines, dealing with crime associated with illegal ALIENS, including drug- and gang- related crimes. Sanctuary practices result in dangerous criminal aliens being released back onto the streets instead of being removed.

Sanctuary practices pose a public safety and national security threat. By impeding cooperation with federal immigration officials, sanctuary cities create an environment where would-be terrorists and other criminal aliens can go undetected and uninterrupted.

Sanctuary county, providing a "safe haven" for illegal aliens, is unfair to legal immigrants who respect our nation's laws.

Again, Council members should not put Howard County residence personal life and properties under danger and threat by harboring illegal alien criminals. If Any of our county residents become a victim like one of the angel families, you should feel Guilty and ashamed because you pass this terrible bill.

So dear councilmen and councilwomen, please vote NO to CB63.

Thanks,

Jianning Zeng

Howard County Resident

Sayers, Margery

From: Matthew Molyett <matthew@molyett.com>
Sent: Monday, November 16, 2020 9:39 PM
To: CouncilMail
Subject: Support for CB63 as delivered verbally

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Good evening. Thank you for allowing me to speak tonight in favor of CB63. I am District 1 resident Matthew Molyett of Ellicott City.

The first thing I would like to draw attention to is the explicit support for existing or future State or Federal Laws. This does not weaken our Police Department when investigating criminal offenses. This bill will not make the residents of Howard County any less safe.

Passing this will ensure that no future executive or department leadership will implement policies that enter the County into the business of immigration enforcement. This will ensure that all of our neighbors can engage on equal footing with our county civil servants. Citizenship status plays no role in whether our neighbors are people.

The People of Howard County, all of them, make up our community. Please ensure the safety and wellbeing of our people. Please enshrine these limitations in the County Code as a promise to our People for now and for all of the future.

If you would, please allow me a moment to speak directly to my representative on the Council, Member Walsh.

Council Vice Chairperson Walsh, you know me. To quote my letter to you from this summer "I, and many of your other voters, expected you to use the seat differently than your predecessor did when he refused to support Councilmember Ball's CB-9. Please reintroduce the bill as previously passed."

We voted for you because we expected D1 to support D2 in passing this bill. Frankly, it has already been disappointing that we had to wait so long for this introduction. You were elected to replace the disgraceful vote on CB09-2017, and we expected you to be the member who introduced it.

Please support CB63 and, to the whole Council, pass it unanimously. Thank you.

Thank you,
Matthew Molyett
443-598-2441

Sayers, Margery

From: Greg Razzano <greg.razzano@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 16, 2020 7:27 PM
To: CouncilMail
Subject: Support for CB-63

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Good morning,

As a resident of Howard County in Hickory Ridge, Columbia, I call on the council to pass CB-63, helping to stop the discrimination and targeting of our residents based on immigration status. Let's loudly and publicly protect our community from the overreach of ICE and others who pursue ethnocentric ends.

Thankfully yours,
Greg Razzano
516-287-5218

Music Therapist
gregrazz.wordpress.com



Sayers, Margery

From: diana.cullum@verizon.net
Sent: Monday, November 16, 2020 6:56 PM
To: CouncilMail
Subject: sanctuary county

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

I am opposed of this county becoming a county that illegal immigrants can hide in from ICE. I am a law abiding citizen and have a problem with individuals coming here illegally. There is a process and I know many that have done the process. I have no problem with that but not allowing ICE or the federal none access to the information or person that is here illegally I do have a problem.

Diana Cullum
Western Howard County
4104425849 (h)

Testimony to County Council in Support of CB63-2020, Daniel Newberger, Nov. 16, 2020

Good evening. My name is Daniel Newberger, I live in District 4.

Our country is a mess right now, overflowing with fear and hate and distrust and general misery. It's 2020 in America.

Like the deadly virus that has sickened so many bodies, the Trump Administration is a disease that has poisoned our country's soul. Those in the executive branch who willingly carried out the president's immoral agenda have sullied this country in ways that may never be fully repaired. In particular, officials within DHS and ICE have committed terrible acts of cruelty and hatred against those families and individuals who have sought refuge in the promise of America.

Come January, this administration will end, but it will take years for the nation's institutions and norms of decency and democracy and human rights to be repaired. Now is the time to ensure that here in Howard County, our county-level institutions and norms are strong enough to withstand any future challenges we may face.

Just as we must continually maintain and strengthen the physical infrastructure of the county—its roads, its sewers, its electrical grid—we also must strengthen the county's moral infrastructure. Passing CB63 is an important step towards that end. This bill is a chance to make life better for the most vulnerable of our fellow residents in Howard County, to remove some degree of fear from the lives of our undocumented neighbors.

Howard County can become anything and everything we hope it to be. Howard County can be the best of America. Howard County can lead the way in restoring our national soul. We are limited only by our belief in what is possible and our determination to make it happen.

At the very least, Howard County can and should become a place where everyone can live without fear. CB63 will help make that happen. Please pass this bill.

November 16, 2020

Howard County Government – Oppose CB63-2020

In breaking down this bill and some of its implications, the bill is vague and misleading. “Requiring that certain information related to citizenship status be kept confidential”. In other words hidden from community scrutiny and exposure? “Prohibiting certain discrimination based on immigration status” and “generally relating to human rights in Howard County”. Illegal immigrants have access to equal protection and due process but this does not negate the fact that they are subject to deportation every day that they are in this country illegally. This bill wants to interfere with the federal process of verifying who is in this country illegally. You, members of the Howard County Council, are not put in office to use taxpayer dollars to flagrantly thumb your collective noses at federal law. This attitude by itself manifests a disregard for law and order. Who are you to preempt federal law? “Requiring specified officials to take actions under certain circumstances”. What does that even mean? We will/will not investigate or help investigate criminal activity? Circle one. So much of the criminal activity in foreign communities is illegal alien on illegal alien crime and goes unreported. Are you really putting the safety of illegal aliens first in this bill?

Under fiscal impact it is stated that the “fiscal impact of this legislation cannot be determined at this time”. Okay, well you’re on the Howard County Council and you can’t even provide an estimate? Is \$10,000 to 1 million a ball park figure? It is stated that JAG funds could be affected. Well isn’t your bill putting American citizens in need of “heroin and opioid addiction treatment” behind the needs of illegal aliens? People in this country have paid taxes for generation upon generation, including addicts, and they don’t even get help to go to the dentist!

In September 2019 a rare event occurred in Montgomery County Maryland. There was actually a rally questioning the criminal process involving illegal immigrants. I live in Montgomery County where our county council has rolled out the red carpet to illegal alien criminal activity making all of Maryland quite a dangerous state in which to live. The state of Maryland has the second highest number of MS13 criminal cases in the nation. This rally was rare because Marylanders do not often get the chance to demonstrate their opinions on illegal immigration. Throngs of people showed up to support the rally. WHY? Because despite your opinion, your fluff, “Howard County is comprised of immigrants...who contribute to our community’s social vitality, cultural richness, and economic strength”, the rest of us want VERIFICATION REGARDING WHO IS HERE LEGALLY AND ILLEGALLY! CB63-2020 will be a lure to illegal alien criminals making us all less safe. This bill will cut into federal monies owed to Marylanders. Finally the majority of Americans when polled want federal law regarding illegal aliens to be ENFORCED!

Margaret Montuori

Member – Help Save Maryland, P.O. Box 5742 Rockville, MD 20855

Sayers, Margery

From: Jung, Deb
Sent: Monday, November 16, 2020 3:29 PM
To: Sayers, Margery
Subject: FW: CB63-2020

Deb Jung

Council Chair, District 4
3430 Court House Drive
Ellicott City, MD 21043
410-313-2001

Sign up for my newsletter [here](#).



From: Beth D <exaa2011@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, November 16, 2020 1:31 PM
To: Yungmann, David <dyungmann@howardcountymd.gov>
Cc: Jones, Opel <ojones@howardcountymd.gov>; Jung, Deb <djung@howardcountymd.gov>; Rigby, Christiana <crigby@howardcountymd.gov>; Walsh, Elizabeth <ewalsh@howardcountymd.gov>
Subject: CB63-2020

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

November 16, 2020

Howard County Council
George Howard Building
3430 Court House Drive
Ellicott City, MD 21043

Re: CB63-2020

The Honorable Councilman David Yungmann,

The passage of the above-referenced bill is likely a foregone conclusion, nevertheless, I urge you to vote "No" on Bill No. 63-2020.

It's my understanding that it is already Howard County policy that our police do not inquire as to a person's citizenship status and do not pursue immigrants who have entered this country illegally. It appears the only goal of this bill is to officially put Howard County on the "sanctuary county" map. The logical conclusion is it will encourage even more of an influx of population to our popular county, increasing the load on our already overcrowded schools and our roads that have not kept pace with overdevelopment.

If these practices are already in place, why is it necessary to put them into county law?

What consideration has been given to what this official designation will do to the county's resources (e.g., schools, roads, emergency services)?

Has any investigation been done into what effect this designation has had on Baltimore City, Montgomery County, and Prince George's County?

Again, I urge you to vote "No" on Bill No. 63-2020.

Sincerely,
Beth Daniel
3247 Old Fence Ct.
Ellicott City, MD 21042

cc: Opel Jones, Deb Jung, Christiana Rigby, Liz Walsh

Sayers, Margery

From: Williams, China
Sent: Monday, November 16, 2020 3:28 PM
To: Sayers, Margery
Subject: FW: Concerning CB63-2020 - Please Read Attached Washington Post Article
Attachments: Howard Cnty Illegal Alien Murderer.pdf; Frederick Burger King Murder - MyFox - 10-29-2011.doc; Six illegal aliens arrested for Maryland gang rape 3-19-10.doc; Third trial set to start in slashing of three children - Balt Sun 03-10-13.doc

-----Original Message-----

From: John Masterson <masterj4321@verizon.net>
Sent: Monday, November 16, 2020 9:20 AM
To: Walsh, Elizabeth <ewalsh@howardcountymd.gov>; Royalty, Wendy <wroyalty@howardcountymd.gov>; Little, Cristiana <clittle@howardcountymd.gov>; Jones, Opel <ojones@howardcountymd.gov>; Harris, Michael <mrharris@howardcountymd.gov>; Alston, Ashley <aalston@howardcountymd.gov>; Rigby, Christiana <crigby@howardcountymd.gov>; Gelwicks, Colette <cgelwicks@howardcountymd.gov>; Facchine, Felix <ffacchine@howardcountymd.gov>; Jung, Deb <djung@howardcountymd.gov>; Williams, China <ccwilliams@howardcountymd.gov>; Gick, Ginnie <ggick@howardcountymd.gov>; Yungmann, David <dyungmann@howardcountymd.gov>; Knight, Karen <kknight@howardcountymd.gov>; Skalny, Cindy <cskalny@howardcountymd.gov>
Subject: Concerning CB63-2020 - Please Read Attached Washington Post Article

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

This email is to the Howard County Council Members and their Special Assistants and District Aides regarding CB63-2020, which provides illegal aliens the same benefits, opportunities, and services as the county's legal residents. As a rational, logical, and level-headed person I say "why would anyone want to give these taxpayer-funded privileges to someone who is working in this country illegally, getting paid 'under the table', and not paying taxes."

As a cynical Frederick County citizen I read the attached Washington Post article (PDF file) and say "let the Howard County politicians and citizens attract the un-vetted illegal aliens to their county, and away from my county, so these illegals can commit their crimes in their county." Unfortunately other crimes by illegal aliens have been occurring in surrounding counties, as documented in the attached MS Word articles.

Lets face it, the illegal aliens are here to look for a better life.

They are looking out for number one, which is themselves. There idea of a better life may be only to get a better job and take that job away from an American citizen, or they may be here to ultimately destroy what many Muslims call "the great Satan", which is America.

The choice is up to you about how you want to protect your citizens when considering CB63-2020 and other legislation that gives benefits to, and protects, criminal illegal aliens.

Sincerely,

John Masterson

--

This email has been checked for viruses by Avast antivirus software.

<https://gcc02.safelinks.protection.outlook.com/?url=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.avast.com%2Fantivirus&data=04%7C01%7Cmsayers%40howardcountymd.gov%7Ca775124be3e94651092a08d88a6e1fe8%7C0538130803664bb7a95b95304bd11a58%7C1%7C0%7C637411552867348409%7CUnknown%7CTWFpbGZsb3d8eyJWIjoiMC4wLjAwMDAiLCJQIjoiV2luMzliLCJBTiI6Ikk1haWwiLCJXVCi6Mn0%3D%7C1000&sdata=MNNQQ%2FyO%2FxDW0bWq5Q3JulJ2UhdMBoelcGYeOXEXs8I%3D&reserved=0>

HOWARD COUNTY

Washington Post 11/30/06 Nov. 30, 2006

Crash Suspect Had Feb. Charge

Police Faulted After Earlier Drunken Driving Case Dropped

By AMY R. PALER
Washington Post Staff Writer

The man accused of killing a Marine in a drunken driving accident on Thanksgiving was charged with drunken driving earlier this year, but the case was dropped because Howard County police did not collect enough evidence, prosecutors said yesterday.

Prosecutors also said a police blunder allowed the man, Eduardo Raul Morales-Soriano, 25, of Laurel, to keep his driver's license after the Feb. 5 incident, although it should have been suspended immediately after he refused to take a breath test.

Police have opened an internal investigation to review the performance of the officers involved and determine whether they could have built a stronger case, said police spokeswoman Sherry Llewellyn. She declined to comment further.

Federal authorities determined yesterday that Morales-Soriano was an illegal immigrant from Mexico and filed papers to begin deportation proceedings, said James Dinkins, acting special agent in charge of U.S. Immigration and Customs En-

forcement's Baltimore office.

Morales-Soriano was charged last week in the deaths of Marine Cpl Brian Mathews, a 21-year-old Columbia native who spent eight months in Iraq, and Mathews's date, Jennifer Bower, 24, of Montgomery Village. Police said Morales-Soriano's blood alcohol level was four times the legal limit when he slumped into the back of the car carrying the couple.

Morales-Soriano's February charge was first reported yesterday in the Baltimore Sun.

Authorities have alleged that Morales-Soriano was intoxicated the night of Feb. 5. Police smelled alcohol on his breath and saw three beer cans in his vehicle, said Howard County State's Attorney Timothy J. McCrone. He said Morales-Soriano lost his balance as officers asked him to begin a field sobriety test.

But for undetermined reasons, the officers stopped him from completing the test, McCrone said. "I don't know what was in the minds of the officers at the time," he said. "I certainly help prove the case if you have field sobriety exercises."

Morales-Soriano then refused to

take a breath test, which should have led to the suspension of his license for 120 days. But the police accidentally gave Morales-Soriano all the copies of the form that was supposed to have been sent to the Maryland Motor Vehicle Administration to begin the suspension, McCrone said.

"The information was never transmitted to the MVA," said Buel Young, an agency spokesman. "We have no idea of knowing he is even stopped unless the police tell us."

Morales-Soriano, a landscaper, moved to Maryland about a year ago from North Carolina, according to court and state records. A woman who answered the phone at his home yesterday said the family would not comment.

Although police charged him in February with four counts related to drunken driving, the case was dropped in April after prosecutors determined that they could not obtain a conviction. There were no witnesses who saw him driving when he failed the field sobriety test and no form showing that he had refused a breath test, McCrone said.

"We need evidence to prove our cases," he said. "We didn't have it."

<http://www.myfoxdc.com/dpp/news/local/suspect-charged-in-murder-at-burger-king-in-frederick-102811>

Suspect Charged in Murder At Burger King in Frederick

Updated: Friday, 28 Oct 2011, 6:22 PM EDT

Published : Friday, 28 Oct 2011, 6:22 PM EDT

By JOHN HENREHAN/ myfoxdc

FREDERICK, Md. - Seven months after the murder of an assistant manager at the Burger King restaurant in Frederick, Md., police have announced that charges have been filed against a suspect.

Jose Reyes Mejia-Varela, 21, is charged with murder and armed robbery. Investigators say he was a former employee of the restaurant, and was in the U.S. illegally for a second time.

The shooting in the restaurant occurred on March 18th. Assistant manager Jacinta "Patty" Ayala was found dead around 5:30 that morning. She was preparing to open the Burger King. Ayala, 32, was the mother of three children.

Authorities say the suspect had been in trouble with the law before. Mejia-Varela was arrested for attempted robbery in Frederick County in 2008, according to investigators. He was brought before a federal judge on a charge of being in the U.S. illegally, and was deported to his native El Salvador.

The suspect managed to get back into the U.S., and, according to a source familiar with the investigation, used a phony name to get a job at the Burger King restaurant in Frederick. He left that job some time before the shooting, but police say the victim and suspect knew each other from working together at the restaurant.

Federal agent Thomas White, who works for ICE (Immigration and Customs Enforcement) interviewed Mejia-Varela. "He came back to Frederick just to live, he told me," White explained to reporters. "His family is here. And he just came back to be with his family."

The suspect is currently in federal custody on a charge of (again) being in the U.S. illegally. He could get prison time for that. A federal judge will sentence Jose Reyes Mejia-Varela on November 3rd. He then will be brought back to Frederick to face murder and armed robbery charges in connection with the killing at the Burger King.

Six illegal aliens arrested for Maryland gang rape

<http://www.examiner.com/immigration-reform-in-national/six-illegal-aliens-arrested-for-maryland-gang-rape>

- March 19th, 2010 3:26 am ET
- By [Dave Gibson](#), Immigration Reform Examiner



On Tuesday, deputies in Charles County, MD arrested six illegal aliens in their residence on Nicholas Road. Detectives say the men are responsible for a gang rape which lasted several hours, which ended when the victim was able to escape her attackers.

Detectives from the Special Victims Unit said that the woman met one of the men the night before, at an Alexandria, Va. nightclub. The man offered to drive her home, and she accepted.

Once in the car, she found several other men already in the vehicle. Instead of taking her to her home in Va., he drove her to his own residence which he shared with more than 12 other illegal aliens.

According to investigators, the men forced her inside and proceeded to rape and sodomize her for several hours.

Sometime before 5:30 a.m., the woman managed to escape the residence, and ran to a nearby home for assistance. Deputies from the Charles County Sheriff's Office responded and the woman was taken to Civista Medial Center for treatment.

Deputies immediately went to the location of the reported rape, and found many of the alleged attackers. However, detectives are still looking for additional suspects who fled the residence that morning.

Immigration and Customs Enforcement is assisting in the ongoing investigation.

The following individuals have been charged with first-degree rape and false imprisonment, and are now in custody:

- Henry Misael Hernandez-Ramos, 20
- Adelio Orellana Cruz, 28
- Ivan Urillas-Hernandez, 21
- Ismael Echeverria Montoya, 27
- Jose Omar Hernandez-Orellana, 20
- Juan Carlos Santa-Maria, 18

Anyone with information leading to the whereabouts of anyone involved in this crime is asked to call Charles County Crime Solvers at 1-866-411-TIPS.

<http://www.baltimoresun.com/news/maryland/crime/blog/bs-md-ci-beheading-third-trial-20130310,0,1530106,full.story>

Third trial set to start in slashing of three children's throats

Side by side for many years, men accused of killings will go to trial separately

By Ian Duncan, The Baltimore Sun

Policarpio Espinoza Perez and Adan Canela have been mentioned in the same breath since they were charged nine years ago in Baltimore with slashing the throats of three young relatives, ages 8, 9 and 10. They have sat side-by-side at two trials, but as prosecutors this week make a third try at convicting them, each man will get a chance to tell his own story.

The change could allow the defendants to challenge the prosecution's theory that they were both involved in the Northwest Baltimore murders — another hurdle for a prosecution already without key pieces of evidence from the last trial seven years ago.

E. Wesley Adams III, a former Baltimore homicide prosecutor who was not involved in the case, said it is generally more difficult to convict defendants separately. "It's like watching a TV show with only one character."

Perez, 31, an uncle of two of the victims, will begin his trial Monday. Canela, 26, a cousin of theirs, is scheduled to face a jury later this spring.

The illegal immigrants from Mexico are accused of brutally murdering Lucero Espinoza, 8, her brother Ricardo Espinoza, 9, and their cousin Alexis Espejo Quezada, 10, at their apartment in the 7000 block of Park Heights Ave. in 2004.

The bizarre case captured the region's attention and focused on a complex family tree with roots in a small Mexican town. Defendants, witnesses and victims share common relatives — some here and some abroad — making it harder for investigators to untangle what happened on the day the children died.

The men's lawyers say the separate trials will allow them to shed new light on parts of a story that has eluded explanation for years.

"For the first time everyone's going to hear exactly what my client said," said Nicholas Panteleakis, Perez's attorney, referring to a police interview in which his client claims never to have entered the apartment where the children were nearly beheaded.

Police arriving on the scene later described it as one of the most gruesome they had ever encountered.

Officers soon found a 10-inch knife they believed was used in the crime, and a neighbor picked out Canela and Perez at the scene, saying she'd seen them acting suspiciously near the apartment a few days before. Subsequent searches turned up what police said was bloodied clothing.

But confusion about the evidence left jurors unable to agree on a verdict the first time the case came to trial in 2005. The Baltimore State's Attorney's Office tried again the following year, securing convictions that were overturned because of judicial errors.

Canela's lawyer, Brian J. Murphy, said he thinks the evidence has always been weaker against his client and that the juries will now have a chance to weigh the case of each man more carefully.

"Even though the jury's supposed to consider each one separately it's hard to do," he said. "You're sitting in the same boat."

The state's attorney's office declined to comment on the case, following its usual policy.

But Tony Garcia, a former prosecutor who handled the first two trials, said securing a conviction has become "harder, but it's not impossible."

Lawyers for Perez and Canela won a number of pretrial rulings to have prosecution evidence — including much of the DNA used last time — barred. Guadalupe Juarez Hernandez, a key witness, is now in prison in Mexico, convicted of arranging the murder of her husband Victor Espinoza Perez, and it is unclear whether she will be available at trial.

Garcia said prosecutors will have to be creative in constructing a narrative around the killings without Hernandez. He relied heavily on her testimony during the last trial.

"She is extremely important to the case," he added. "She is the center of the wheel, everything else is a spoke from her."

On top of that, prosecutors will have to deal with the added workload of trying the case twice, back-to-back.

Perez and Canela have been linked since the neighbor identified both of them, and Garcia said the evidence suggested that both men were present during the killings. But prosecutors have never been able to conclusively establish a motive for the attacks.

In the vacuum, theories have flourished. At trial Garcia suggested romantic jealousies in the large family. Panteleakis proposed in an interview that the crime led back to a vengeful figure in Mexico and said his client was not connected.

The two men were quickly arrested and charged with the May 27 killings. But Noemi "Mimi" Quezada and Ricardo Espinoza Perez, the parents of Lucero and Ricardo, have consistently said they think the two are innocent and, like many of the witnesses, they are expected to be called for both the prosecution and the defense.

The couple has been working with Panteleakis to gather evidence for the third trial. Friday morning, Ricardo Perez came by the lawyer's office to drop off clothes for Policarpio Perez, his brother, to wear in court. He declined to be interviewed.

Shortly after being taken into custody, Policarpio Perez told police that he was never inside the apartment where the children were killed, according charging documents in the case. He said he dropped Canela off and waited outside.

The statement has only been presented at the previous trials in heavily redacted form because attorneys for Canela could not question Policarpio Perez to challenge his accusation. Panteleakis said that gave an "inaccurate" picture of Perez's actions, but it can now be introduced in full.

"It's going to make a lot more sense," he added.

Murphy said the full statement will not be used in Canela's trial and does not think Panteleakis's strategy will harm him.

Panteleakis also said he thinks more will be revealed about the relationship between Alexis Quezada's mother, Maria Andrea Espejo Quezada, and her husband. At the second trial, Noemi Quezada reluctantly told the judge that he had made threats against the family, but the testimony caught lawyers off guard and was only presented to the jury in general terms.

In the second trial, prosecutors suggested that Guadalupe Hernandez, Canela's stepmother and Perez's sister-in-law, might have orchestrated the killings to get revenge on Victor Perez for having an affair.

To advance the theory, prosecutors introduced phone records that they said showed Hernandez was in constant communication with Policarpio Perez that day in May. Even though Hernandez is imprisoned, Judge M. Brooke Murdock ruled that her prior testimony could not be used, making it hard for prosecutors to make good use of those records, according to Garcia.

Panteleakis and Murphy, perhaps not surprisingly, thought Murdock had ruled fairly.

"As the unreliable evidence has been winnowed down or excluded the case against both of them really gets weaker," Murphy said. "The reason it's a weak case is because they didn't do it.

"It's sort of simple."