

Sayers, Margery

From: Claire Lea <clairelea@gmail.com>
Sent: Thursday, July 1, 2021 11:15 AM
To: CouncilMail
Subject: CB 50

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

I oppose CB 50. It seems counter productive that the Council should be hearing a case that they will eventually be voting on when they meet as the Zoning Board.

I support Ginny Thomas's testimony.

Thank you.

Claire Lea

Clairelea@gmail.com

Sent from my iPad

CB 50-2021

Sayers, Margery

From: Jack Guarneri <jackguarneri@gmail.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 30, 2021 12:08 PM
To: CouncilMail
Subject: Fwd: CB50: Limestone Planning/ZoningBoard Timeline
Attachments: Zoning Board Hearing Schedule (Pandemic Not Cause of Delay).pdf

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Councilmembers,
Joel Hurewitz sent me the information below/attached based on my testimony on CB50. He indicated he had also sent this separately to the Council Auditor and to Councilman Yungmann. I decided to pass it on to the entire council.

Respectfully,

Jack Guarneri
Ellicott City, MD

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **joel hurewitz** <joelhurewitz@gmail.com>
Date: Tue, Jun 29, 2021 at 20:38
Subject: CB50: Limestone Planning/Zoning Board Timeline
To: <jackguarneri@gmail.com>

As discussed.

----- Forwarded message -----

From: **joel hurewitz** <joelhurewitz@gmail.com>
Date: Tue, Jun 22, 2021 at 8:29 PM
Subject: Re: Limestone Planning Board
To: Yungmann, David <dyungmann@howardcountymd.gov>
Cc: Stuart Kohn <stukohn@verizon.net>, Knight, Karen <kknight@howardcountymd.gov>, Skalny, Cindy <cskalny@howardcountymd.gov>

David,

Attached please find an analysis of the Zoning Board and other dates relative to CB50-2021.

As discussed below and in the attachment, there was really no time for the Zoning Board to consider the Erickson case in 2019. The ZB/Council schedule was generally full with other cases in the queue.

Fact: Bill Erskine drafted CB59-2018.

Fact: Bill Erskine suggested the 3-year time period.

Fact: Bill Erskine waited a month from October 6 to November 6, 2018 to file the Petition to Amend the Zoning Maps.

Fact: Planning Board cases require staff analysis, preparation of a Technical Staff Report, and notice and posting, and thus could not go directly to the Planning Board on November 7, 2018.

Fact: The CB50-2021 TSR skips over the time required from filling the Petition to Amend the Zoning Maps on November 6, 2018 to the Planning Board's Recommendation on April 8, 2019.

Fact: Zoning Board cases require notice and posting and thus could not go directly to the Zoning Board on April 9, 2019.

Fact: From April 11, 2019 to March 4, 2020 the Zoning Board's schedule was filed with Elm Street, Enterprise, Hickory Ridge and Meadowood Maple Lawn.

Fact: Bill Erskine did not submit the "Nonsubstantial" Amendments until June 17, 2020.

Fact: From March 4, 2020 to April 12, 2021 the Zoning Board's schedule was filled with HRVC and Erickson.

Fact: Only 2 hearings were postponed due to the Pandemic - April 1 and April 15, 2020.

Fact: The Zoning Board has held 23 virtual hearings through June 23, 2021.

Fact: The Pandemic had essentially zero impact on the delays relative to Erickson.

Fact: It was the 10 nights of virtual hearings for HRVC that took up time that would otherwise have been dedicated to Erickson during the Pandemic.

Fact: Bill Erskine, as an experienced Howard County land use attorney, knew or should have known the time required for each of the steps for a Petition to Amend the Zoning Maps through DPZ, the Planning Board and Zoning Board.

Fact: Bill Erskine, knew or should have known the backlog of Zoning Board cases when he chose the 3-year poison pill provision.

Sincerely,

Joel Hurewitz

**HOWARD COUNTY ZONING BOARD
HEARING SCHEDULE**

**Pandemic Was Not the Cause of Erickson Delays as Alleged
in CB50-2021, TSR, and Fiscal Analysis**

Joel Hurewitz

June 22, 2021

July 27, 2018	CB59-2018 Passed
October 6, 2018	CB59-2018 Effective Date
November 6, 2018	Erickson Files Petition to Amend the Zoning Map (See §202(g) of Charter)
December 2018	Council Inaugurated
January – March 2019	Council Orientation
March 21, 2019	PB Hearing on Erickson CEF
April 8, 2019	PB Recommendation on Erickson CEF
April 11, 2019	Elm Street ZB 1116M
May 8, 2019	Board of License Commissioners
May 8, 2019	Elm Street ZB 1116M
May 15, 2019	Board of License Commissioners
May 2019	BUDGET BREAK (Less time than in 2020 and 2021)
June 26, 2019	Board of License Commissioners
July 24, 2019	HRVC ZB 1119M
July 31, 2019	Board of License Commissioners - Postponed
August 2019	COUNCIL RECESS
September 4, 2019	HRVC ZB 1119M
September 11, 2019	Elm Street ZB 1116M
October 23, 2019	Elm Street ZB 1116M
November 6, 2019	Enterprise ZB 1120M

November 13, 2019	HRVC ZB 1119M
November 15, 2019	Board of License Commissioners (Friday)
November 20, 2019	Enterprise ZB 1120M
January 15, 2020	HRVC ZB 1119M
January 29, 2020	HRVC ZB 1119M
February 5, 2020	HRVC ZB 1119M
February 12, 2020	Enterprise ZB 1120M
February 19, 2020	Enterprise ZB 1120M
February 26, 2020	Meadowood Maple Lawn ZB 1122M
March 4, 2020	Erickson ZB 1118M (Ended with ethics issue)
March 11, 2020	Meadowood Maple Lawn ZB 1122M
17 ZB Hearing Days Prior to Pandemic	
March 13, 2020	PANDEMIC SHUTDOWN BEGINS (2 nights lost compared to 2021; See April 1 and April 15, 2020)
March 16, 2020	Board of License Commissioners (Monday Emergency)
March 20, 2020	Board of License Commissioners (Friday)
April 1, 2020	HRVC ZB 1119M (POSTPONED FOR PANDEMIC)
**** April 15, 2020	Erickson ZB 1118M (POSTPONED FOR PANDEMIC) ****
April 20, 2020	Board of License Commissioners (Monday)
May 2020	BUDGET BREAK
June 1, 2020	Board of License Commissioners (Monday)
June 3, 2020	HRVC ZB 1119M
June 10, 2020	HRVC ZB 1119M
June 17, 2020	Erickson ZB 1118M (“Nonsubstantial” Amendments Submitted)
June 24, 2020	HRVC ZB 1119M
July 15, 2020	Erickson ZB 1118M
July 22, 2020	HRVC ZB 1119M

August 2020 **COUNCIL RECESS**

September 2, 2020 Erickson ZB 1118M
September 16, 2020 Erickson ZB 1118M

September 30, 2020 HRVC ZB 1119M

October 7, 2020 Erickson ZB 1118M
October 28, 2020 Erickson ZB 1118M

November 18, 2020 HRVC ZB 1119M

December 2, 2020 Erickson ZB 1118M

December 2020 **HOLIDAY BREAK**

January 6, 2021 HRVC ZB 1119M

January 13, 2021 Erickson ZB 1118M

January 27, 2021 HRVC ZB 1119M

February 10, 2021 Erickson ZB 1118M

February 24, 2021 HRVC ZB 1119M

March 10, 2021 Erickson ZB 1118M

March 24, 2021 HRVC ZB 1119M

April 7, 2021 Erickson ZB 1118M

April 12, 2021 HRVC ZB 1119M (Work Session)

May 2021 **BUDGET BREAK**

June 9, 2021 Erickson ZB 1118M

June 16, 2021 Board of License Commissioners

June 23, 2021 Erickson ZB 1118M

23 ZB Hearing Days Since the Pandemic Began – 10 HRVC (Work Session not included)

Sayers, Margery

From: Betty Jones <brandt.betty@verizon.net>
Sent: Wednesday, June 30, 2021 11:25 AM
To: CouncilMail
Subject: bill CB50

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Ericsson at Limestone should be held to their promises. Bill CB50 should be withdrawn or defeated Elizabeth L. Jones
5400 Vantage Point Road Columbia MD 21044.

Sayers, Margery

From: Michael Glasgow <msglasgow9@icloud.com>
Sent: Wednesday, June 30, 2021 11:12 AM
To: CouncilMail
Subject: CB 50

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

I oppose cb 50 as it isn't fair to give one developer special privileges. The council should not be hearing a case that they will be voting on in their role as a zoning board member.

Dr. Michael S. Glasgow
msglasgow9@icloud.com
410-992-1364 (h)
410-908-3035 (c)

Sayers, Margery

From: Mike Clark <clarkmj1@verizon.net>
Sent: Wednesday, June 30, 2021 12:23 AM
To: CouncilMail
Subject: CB50

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Dear Council members:

I am requesting you defeat or withdraw CB50. It seems the only fair thing to do, and would assure Howard Countians that the petitioner is playing by the rules it initially agreed to follow.

Mike Clark
410-730-7624
9000 Fathers Legacy Apt. 310
Ellicott City, MD 21042

Sayers, Margery

From: Ray Donaldson <rtdonaldson@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 29, 2021 10:55 PM
To: CouncilMail
Subject: Please defeat Council Bill 50.

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

I ask you to withdraw or defeat this bill. I support the testimony of Ginny Thomas. You should not assume the previous Council acted in the best interests of Howard County residents.

Raymond T Donaldson
2911 Pauls Provision
Ellicott City, MD 21042

Sayers, Margery

From: Ginny Thomas <ginny.thomas@ca-board.org>
Sent: Tuesday, June 29, 2021 10:08 PM
To: Sayers, Margery; Ginny Thomas; Charles Thomas
Subject: Fwd: Testimony on CB 50--Virginia Thomas

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

June 21, County Council hearing on CB 50
Virginia (Ginny) Thomas
CA-rep for Oakland Mills
Vice Chair of the CA Board of Directors
410-992-7984-home #

I ask that you withdraw or defeat this bill for the following reasons:

You, sitting as the zoning board, are still hearing the land use change case for Erickson at Limestone, so how can you legally listen to any testimony regarding this case?

In order for you to approve Erickson's request for a two-year extension, you would need to disregard the intent of former Councilman Gregg Fox, who made it clear that to get his vote the project must start two years after the Council voted to allow the project into the water and sewer district. In fact, the entire Council supported this amendment.

In order to disregard that amendment, wouldn't this County Council have to schedule a hearing on the benefit to the County and the taxpayers to change the land use category from Rural? If Erickson cannot establish the need, then why would you extend the time to build it? Is this an example of how much you can trust the word of Erickson's staff? Or should you be hearing from the investors in this project as to their intentions.

When the project was admitted into the water and sewer district 2 years ago, the Council was not in possession of all the facts about the true need for a project of this magnitude. At the very least, you should require that the petitioner

submit the case so this Council can decide if the area should be changed to the Metro District. You should not assume that the previous Council acted in the best interests of the residents of Howard County, especially seniors or individuals with a disability and their caregivers.

Thanks for your consideration

Virginia M Thomas

"The information transmitted is intended only for the person to which it is addressed and may contain proprietary or privileged material. Any review, re-transmission, dissemination or other use of or action taken in reliance on this information by a person other than the intended recipient is prohibited. If you received this information in error, please contact the sender and delete the information. Thank you for your cooperation."