Introduced MAy7, 2012
Public Hearing MAY 21, 2012
Council Action June 4 2012
Executive Action
Effective Date + TUSUS+ CO (CO12

County Council Of Howard County, Maryland

2010	Y 1 1 1	a .
76117	OCCIOINTIVIO	Account
ZUIZ	Legislative	26221011

Legislative Day No. 5

Bill No. 14 -2012

Introduced by: The Chairperson at the request of the County Executive

AN ACT amending the Howard County Employees' Retirement Plan to ensure that a cost of living adjustment can never be negative; to correct the name of a certain index; and generally relating to the Howard County Employees' Retirement Plan.

Introduced and read first time MAy 7, 2012. Ordered posted and hearing scheduled. By order Stephen M Stendard Stephen Region of Stephen LeGendre, Administrator
Having been posted and notice of time & place of hearing & title of Bill having been published according to Charter, the Bill was read for a second time at a public hearing on
This Bill was read the third time on June 4, 2012 and Passed, Passed with amendments, Failed By order
Approved/Vetoed by the County Executive July (2012 Ken Ulman, County Executive

NOTE: [[text in brackets]] indicates deletions from existing law; TEXT IN SMALL CAPITALS indicates additions to existing law; Strike-out indicates material deleted by amendment; Underlining indicates material added by amendment

1	Section 1. 1	Be It Enacted by the County Council of Howard County, Maryland that the Howard
2	County Cod	e is amended as follows:
3		
4	Ву а	mending:
5	Title	1- Human Resources
6	Secti	on 1.435 "Cost of living adjustments"
7		
8		Title 1. Human Resources.
9		Subtitle 4. Retirement Plan.
10		Article 3. Trust Fund.
11		
12	Section 1.43	5. Cost of living adjustments.
13	(a) Monthl	y Retirement Income Subject to Cost of Living Adjustment. Notwithstanding the
14	foregoing, bu	at subject to the limitations set forth in section 1.433, the monthly retirement income of a
15	participant w	who has reached his or her benefit commencement date, as otherwise computed pursuant
16	to section 1.4	428, 1.429, 1.430, 1.431, 1.432, or 1.437 (whichever is applicable), shall be subject to
17	the cost of li	ving adjustment described in this section 1.435.
18	(b) Compute	ation of Cost of Living Adjustment.
19	(1)	As of each July 1 (hereafter referred to as the "valuation date") which occurs during
20		the period beginning on the first valuation date occurring at least 12 months
21		subsequent to the participant's benefit commencement date and ending on the date of
22		death, the participant's then monthly retirement income shall be multiplied by a
23		fraction:
24		(i) The numerator of which is the consumer price index (as defined in subsection
25		(c) of this section) as of such valuation date; and
26		(ii) The denominator of which is the LESSER OF THE NUMERATOR OR THE
27		consumer price index (as defined in subsection (c) of this section) as of the
28		immediately preceding valuation date;
29	(2)	Provided, however, that no such cost of living adjustment shall cause a participant's

1		monthly retirement income to exceed an amount equal to the participant's monthly
2		retirement income as of his or her benefit commencement date compounded at the
3		annual rate of three percent as of each of the valuation dates (including the valuation
4		date for which the adjustment is being made) which have occurred subsequent to his
5		or her benefit commencement date.
6	(c) Definition	n of Consumer Price Index.
7	(1)	The consumer price index to be used is the index for [[all urban consumers (CPI-U,
8		Washington-Baltimore DC-MD-VA-WV average), all items (1996=100),]] "ALL
9		URBAN CONSUMERS (CPI-U) WASHINGTON-BALTIMORE, DC-MD-VA-WV – ALI
10		ITEMS - NOVEMBER 1996 = 100" published by the Bureau of Labor Statistics; and the
11		[[CPI-U]] CONSUMER PRICE INDEX as of any valuation date means the index reading
12		on the last day of March preceding such valuation date.
13	(2)	In the event the Bureau of Labor Statistics abandons publication of the [[CPI-U,]
14		INDEX FOR "ALL URBAN CONSUMERS (CPI-U) WASHINGTON-BALTIMORE, DC-MD
15		VA-WV – ALL ITEMS – NOVEMBER 1996 = 100" the County shall adopt any other
16		index which, in its judgment, provides an accurate measure of cost-of-living changes
17	(d) Monthly	Survivor Benefits Subject to Cost of Living Adjustments. All survivor benefits payable
18	pursuant to se	ection 1.439 (but only if payable in the form of a monthly income) shall be granted the
19	cost of living	adjustments provided in this section 1.435.
20		

Section 2. And Be It Further Enacted by the County Council of Howard County, Maryland that

this Act shall become effective 61 days after its enactment.

21

22

		•	•		
			*		
					÷



Internal Memorandum

Subject:

Testimony for Bill No.

To:

Lonnie R. Robbins

Chief Administrative Officer

From:

L. Todd Allen

Human Resources Administrator

Date:

April 18, 2012

The Howard County Retirement Plan Committee supports passage of Bill No. -2012, an amendment to the Howard County retirement plans that will eliminate the possibility of a negative Cost-of-Living adjustment (COLA) to plan retirees.

Each July 1, a COLA is applied to the benefits of retired members of the Howard County Retirement Plan (the "HCRP") and the Howard County Police and Fire Employees' Retirement Plan (the "Police and Fire Plan"). The COLA is calculated in accordance with Section 1.435 (for the HCRP) and Section 1.435 A (for the Police and Fire Plan) of the Howard County Code, which are summarized as follows:

- The COLA is based on the change in the CPI-U for the Baltimore Washington region ((CPI-U) Washington-Baltimore, DC-MD-VA-WV) from March 31 to March 31, as published by the Bureau of Labor Statistics.
- The COLA is applied each July 1, to benefits that have been in pay status 12 or more months.
- The COLA for the HCRP is limited to 3% compounded annually.
- The COLA for the Police and Fire Plan is limited to 2% compounded annually.

It is possible for the CPI-U to go down from one year to the next. If this should happen, the Howard County Code, as currently written, would produce a negative COLA, and the benefits of retirees would go down as a result. The plan's actuaries looked back over the last 50 years and found that from March to March there was only one decline in the ALL-US CPI over that full time period. Furthermore, the Howard County plans have never had a negative COLA. So, while the possibility of a negative COLA is rare and is not a concern for this July 1, it is a fear for current and future retirees that the retirement plan trustees want to remove.

Memo to Lonnie R. Robbins Page Two April 18, 2012

At its meeting on October 27, 2011, the Joint Retirement Plan Committee voted unanimously to approve an amendment to Code Sections 1.435 and 1.435A which would prevent the annual retiree COLA from ever being less than 0%.

The plan's actuaries have determined that the cost to the County of amending the plan to prevent a negative COLA is negligible (see attached letter from Bolton Partners). Further, the Pension Oversight Commission reviewed the recommendation and cost impact at its meeting of February 2, 2012.

Fiscal Note:

A copy of the fiscal impact statement prepared by Bolton Partners, Inc. is attached for reference.

Next Steps:

To implement any retirement plan change:

- The Retirement Plan Committee must approve the legislation. The Joint Retirement Plan Committee met on October 27, 2011, and voted unanimously to approve an amendment to Code Sections 1.435 and 1.435A which would prevent the annual retiree COLA from ever being less than 0%.
- The Pension Oversight Commission must consider the legislation. The Pension Oversight Commission considered the legislation, including its fiscal impact, at their regularly scheduled meeting on February 2, 2012 and expressed no concerns.
- The County Council and County Executive must consider and approve the legislation.

In closing, I am available to provide any further assistance or answer any questions you may have.

. Todd Allen

Human Resources Administrator

cc: Ken Ulman, County Executive
Jennifer Sager, Legislative Coordinator
Howard County Retirement Plan Committee
Howard County Police and Fire Employees' Retirement Plan Committee



January 13, 2012

Todd Allen Administrator, Office of Human Resources Howard County 3430 Court House Dr. Ellicott City, MD 21043

Re: Amendment to avoid negative COLA for retirees

Dear Todd:

As you requested, we have reviewed the amendments to both plans to avoid decreasing benefits when changes in the CPI are negative. We have also reviewed the illustrations provided to us.

Putting an exact cost on the amendment is difficult for two reasons. The main reason is that negative CPI increases have been rare. The other reason is the cumulative caps of 2% or 3% would be a factor. In addition, there is the fact that even if the COLA increase is zero (and not negative) there would be a gain relative to the funding assumption (even if there is a cost in terms of providing a larger benefit).

We know that over the last few years there have been time frames when annual CPI changes were negative and some other plans have reduced retiree benefits. We looked back over the last 50 years and found that from March to March there was only one decline in the All-US CPI. The average increase has been about 4% and the standard deviation about 3%. You might think that with these values of 4% and 3% that there would have been more than one negative year but since there have not, it points out that CPI increases are not "normally distributed" and that inflation is "sticky" on the down side.

Based on the above I conclude that the cost of these proposed change are deminimus and I would not try to place a cost on the change. That being said, it certainly is possible that future CPI changes could be negative and there could be a cost.

Todd Allen January 13, 2012 Page 2

I am a credentialed actuary and meet the Qualification Standards of the American Academy of Actuaries to render the actuarial opinion contained in this letter. I am currently compliant with the Continuing Professional Development Requirement of the Society of Actuaries.

Please let me know if you have any questions or need any additional information.

Sincerely,

BOLTON PARTNERS, INC.

Thomas Lowman, FSA, EA, MAAA

Copy: Terry Reider Ann Sturner

BY THE COUNCIL

This Bill, having been approved by the Executive and returned to the Council, stands enacted on
$oldsymbol{V}$
Stephen M. LeGendre, Administrator to the County Council
BY THE COUNCIL
This Bill, having been passed by the yeas and nays of two-thirds of the members of the Council notwithstanding the objections of the Executive, stands enacted on
Stephen M. LeGendre, Administrator to the County Council
BY THE COUNCIL
This Bill, having received neither the approval nor the disapproval of the Executive within ten days of its presentation, stands enacted on, 2012.
Stephen M. LeGendre, Administrator to the County Council
BY THE COUNCIL
This Bill, not having been considered on final reading within the time required by Charter, stands failed for want of consideration on, 2012.
Stephen M. LeGendre, Administrator to the County Council
BY THE COUNCIL
This Bill, having been disapproved by the Executive and having failed on passage upon consideration by the Council stands failed on, 2012.
Stephen M. LeGendre, Administrator to the County Council
BY THE COUNCIL
This Bill, the withdrawal of which received a vote of two-thirds (2/3) of the members of the Council, is withdrawn from further consideration on
Stephen M. LeGendre, Administrator to the County Council

	•				
·					
		•			
					·
			1		
				_	
		•			
			~		