From: Sent: To: Subject: Chris Mason <Chris.Mason.585938426@p2a.co> Thursday, February 2, 2023 9:24 AM CouncilMail Please vote no on Bill 5-2023!

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Dear Council Members,

I urge you to vote NO on Bill 5-2023, which seeks to advance an all-electric county building code.

While I support efforts to safeguard Maryland's environment and protect it for the future, this proposal goes too far, too soon, without providing for a balance of energy choices that still allows us to work towards being net-zero carbon.

Forcing homes and businesses to go all-electric is not only costly, but also decreases energy resilience and increases the negative effects of power outages. One cyberattack or weather event could leave millions without any source of electricity or heat. Energy reliability and resilience is best achieved through energy diversity.

Using a combination of low-carbon and renewable energy solutions, combined with electricity will allow us to protect the environment and reduce emissions in a pragmatic way that still respects consumer choice and allows Marylanders access to energy options that make the most economic sense to them.

Restricting the marketplace and increasing costs on energy consumers, especially as they combat historic inflation, is a bad idea. A recent study analyzing the costs of whole-home electrification in Baltimore found that added construction costs range from \$4,000 to \$15,000, while banning natural gas would increase annual energy costs by up to \$500.

Homeowners, small businesses and the industries that support Howard County are requesting a smart and multi-pronged approach to reduce emissions and safeguard the economy. An all-electric building code is anything but that.

Again, please vote NO on Bill 5-2023. Thank you for your consideration.

Regards, Chris Mason 116 N Commerce St Centreville, MD 21617

From:	philt@grassrootsmessages.com
Sent:	Thursday, February 2, 2023 9:04 AM
То:	CouncilMail
Subject:	Please oppose 5-2023!

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

As a business and employer, I am writing to express my concerns regarding forced electrification in new construction. While I strongly support climate action, forced electrification in new construction jeopardizes my business, my employees, and the consumers' ability to choose affordable, reliable heating options. This bill the council is considering would leave your constituents without any choice but electrify. Today's electric heating technology, such as heat pumps, underperforms on cold days. Electrification will also cost residents more money. Whole-home electrifying could cost \$4,000 to \$15,000, while energy bills would increase \$500 annually. As the Howard County Council considers this legislation, please consider the impact it will have on small businesses, jobs, and consumer choice. Please OPPOSE 5-2023. Thank you. Sincerely, Tonelli

From:	mhkeeny@grassrootsmessages.com
Sent:	Thursday, February 2, 2023 6:08 AM
То:	CouncilMail
Subject:	Please oppose 5-2023!

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

As a business and employer, I am writing to express my concerns regarding forced electrification in new construction. While I strongly support climate action, forced electrification in new construction jeopardizes my business, my employees, and the consumers' ability to choose affordable, reliable heating options. This bill the council is considering would leave your constituents without any choice but electrify. Today's electric heating technology, such as heat pumps, underperforms on cold days. Electrification will also cost residents more money. Whole-home electrifying could cost \$4,000 to \$15,000, while energy bills would increase \$500 annually. As the Howard County Council considers this legislation, please consider the impact it will have on small businesses, jobs, and consumer choice. Please OPPOSE 5-2023. Thank you. Sincerely, Keeny

From:	Andy Hesson <andy.hesson.601532139@p2a.co></andy.hesson.601532139@p2a.co>
Sent:	Wednesday, February 1, 2023 3:35 PM
То:	CouncilMail
Subject:	Please vote no on Bill 5-2023!

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Dear Council Members,

I urge you to vote NO on Bill 5-2023, which seeks to advance an all-electric county building code.

While I support efforts to safeguard Maryland's environment and protect it for the future, this proposal goes too far, too soon, without providing for a balance of energy choices that still allows us to work towards being net-zero carbon.

Forcing homes and businesses to go all-electric is not only costly, but also decreases energy resilience and increases the negative effects of power outages. One cyberattack or weather event could leave millions without any source of electricity or heat. Energy reliability and resilience is best achieved through energy diversity.

Using a combination of low-carbon and renewable energy solutions, combined with electricity will allow us to protect the environment and reduce emissions in a pragmatic way that still respects consumer choice and allows Marylanders access to energy options that make the most economic sense to them.

Restricting the marketplace and increasing costs on energy consumers, especially as they combat historic inflation, is a bad idea. A recent study analyzing the costs of whole-home electrification in Baltimore found that added construction costs range from \$4,000 to \$15,000, while banning natural gas would increase annual energy costs by up to \$500.

Homeowners, small businesses and the industries that support Howard County are requesting a smart and multi-pronged approach to reduce emissions and safeguard the economy. An all-electric building code is anything but that.

Again, please vote NO on Bill 5-2023. Thank you for your consideration.

Regards, Andy Hesson 22945 E Piney Grove Rd Georgetown, DE 19947

From:	joel hurewitz <joelhurewitz@gmail.com></joelhurewitz@gmail.com>
Sent:	Wednesday, February 1, 2023 2:33 PM
То:	CouncilMail
Cc:	Kuc, Gary
Subject:	CB5-2023 Technical Amendments Needed

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Dear Councilmembers,

There is a "codification" issue with CB5-2023 but not the one discussed at the Work Session.

The timing for the report is in the uncodified Section 2. So after December 29, 2023, of what value is the codified Section 1? People will read the section in future years anticipating a report. From Councilmember Yungmann's questions and the testimony of Director Francis, we learned that much of this analysis would be completed anyway. "Why not just ask?" Councilmember Yungmann said. Perhaps, a resolution rather than a bill would have been sufficient, yet it is a bill that is before the Council.

Therefore, either the date in Section 2 should be moved to the codified Section 1 or the provision should be abrogated --perhaps 30 or 60 days after the report is received or when DILP presents the 2024 Building Code to the Council for consideration. Otherwise, the County Code will have a functionally dead provision.

Furthermore, the subtitle should be "Comprehensive Building Decarbonization" rather than "Miscellaneous."

Unfortunately, though I have tried numerous times, D3 has not provided a clear response regarding any amendments for the past month.

Sincerely,

Joel Hurewitz Columbia, MD

From:	Cyngb@grassrootsmessages.com
Sent:	Wednesday, February 1, 2023 2:12 PM
То:	CouncilMail
Subject:	Please oppose 5-2023!

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

As a business and employer, I am writing to express my concerns regarding forced electrification in new construction. While I strongly support climate action, forced electrification in new construction jeopardizes my business, my employees, and the consumers' ability to choose affordable, reliable heating options. This bill the council is considering would leave your constituents without any choice but electrify. Today's electric heating technology, such as heat pumps, underperforms on cold days. Electrification will also cost residents more money. Whole-home electrifying could cost \$4,000 to \$15,000, while energy bills would increase \$500 annually. As the Howard County Council considers this legislation, please consider the impact it will have on small businesses, jobs, and consumer choice. Please OPPOSE 5-2023. Thank you. Sincerely, Barber

From:	amoore@grassrootsmessages.com
Sent:	Wednesday, February 1, 2023 11:48 AM
То:	CouncilMail
Subject:	Please oppose 5-2023!

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

As an employee within the hearth industry, I am writing to express my concerns regarding forced electrification in new construction. While I strongly support climate action, forced electrification in new construction jeopardizes my employer, myself and fellow employees, and the consumers' ability to choose affordable, reliable heating options. This bill the council is considering would leave your constituents without any choice but electrify. Today's electric heating technology, such as heat pumps, underperforms on cold days. Electrification will also cost residents more money. Whole-home electrifying could cost \$4,000 to \$15,000, while energy bills would increase \$500 annually. As the Howard County Council considers this legislation, please consider the impact it will have on small businesses, jobs, and consumer choice. Please OPPOSE 5-2023. Thank you. Sincerely, AJ

From:	martinsales@grassrootsmessages.com
Sent:	Wednesday, February 1, 2023 11:37 AM
То:	CouncilMail
Subject:	Please oppose 5-2023!

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

As a business and employer, I am writing to express my concerns regarding forced electrification in new construction. While I strongly support climate action, forced electrification in new construction jeopardizes my business, my employees, and the consumers' ability to choose affordable, reliable heating options. This bill the council is considering would leave your constituents without any choice but electrify. Today's electric heating technology, such as heat pumps, underperforms on cold days. Electrification will also cost residents more money. Whole-home electrifying could cost \$4,000 to \$15,000, while energy bills would increase \$500 annually. As the Howard County Council considers this legislation, please consider the impact it will have on small businesses, jobs, and consumer choice. Please OPPOSE 5-2023. Thank you. Sincerely, Martin

From:	bnrmec@grassrootsmessages.com
Sent:	Wednesday, February 1, 2023 10:09 AM
То:	CouncilMail
Subject:	Please oppose 5-2023!

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

As a business and employer, I am writing to express my concerns regarding forced electrification in new construction. While I strongly support climate action, forced electrification in new construction jeopardizes my business, my employees, and the consumers' ability to choose affordable, reliable heating options. This bill the council is considering would leave your constituents without any choice but electrify. Today's electric heating technology, such as heat pumps, underperforms on cold days. Electrification will also cost residents more money. Whole-home electrifying could cost \$4,000 to \$15,000, while energy bills would increase \$500 annually. As the Howard County Council considers this legislation, please consider the impact it will have on small businesses, jobs, and consumer choice. Please OPPOSE 5-2023. Thank you. Sincerely, Watson

From:swl707@grassrootsmessages.comSent:Wednesday, February 1, 2023 10:09 AMTo:CouncilMailSubject:Please oppose 5-2023!

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

As a business and employer, I am writing to express my concerns regarding forced electrification in new construction. While I strongly support climate action, forced electrification in new construction jeopardizes my business, my employees, and the consumers' ability to choose affordable, reliable heating options. This bill the council is considering would leave your constituents without any choice but electrify. Today's electric heating technology, such as heat pumps, underperforms on cold days. Electrification will also cost residents more money. Whole-home electrifying could cost \$4,000 to \$15,000, while energy bills would increase \$500 annually. As the Howard County Council considers this legislation, please consider the impact it will have on small businesses, jobs, and consumer choice. Please OPPOSE 5-2023. Thank you. Sincerely, Larkin

From:	cyngb@grassrootsmessages.com
Sent:	Wednesday, February 1, 2023 9:58 AM
То:	CouncilMail
Subject:	Please oppose 5-2023!

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

As a business and employer, I am writing to express my concerns regarding forced electrification in new construction. While I strongly support climate action, forced electrification in new construction jeopardizes my business, my employees, and the consumers' ability to choose affordable, reliable heating options. This bill the council is considering would leave your constituents without any choice but electrify. Today's electric heating technology, such as heat pumps, underperforms on cold days. Electrification will also cost residents more money. Whole-home electrifying could cost \$4,000 to \$15,000, while energy bills would increase \$500 annually. As the Howard County Council considers this legislation, please consider the impact it will have on small businesses, jobs, and consumer choice. Please OPPOSE 5-2023. Thank you. Sincerely, Goucher-Barber

From:	cyngb@grassrootsmessages.com
Sent:	Wednesday, February 1, 2023 9:58 AM
То:	CouncilMail
Subject:	Please oppose 5-2023!

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

As a business and employer, I am writing to express my concerns regarding forced electrification in new construction. While I strongly support climate action, forced electrification in new construction jeopardizes my business, my employees, and the consumers' ability to choose affordable, reliable heating options. This bill the council is considering would leave your constituents without any choice but electrify. Today's electric heating technology, such as heat pumps, underperforms on cold days. Electrification will also cost residents more money. Whole-home electrifying could cost \$4,000 to \$15,000, while energy bills would increase \$500 annually. As the Howard County Council considers this legislation, please consider the impact it will have on small businesses, jobs, and consumer choice. Please OPPOSE 5-2023. Thank you. Sincerely, Goucher-Barber

From:	Josh@grassrootsmessages.com
Sent:	Wednesday, February 1, 2023 8:52 AM
То:	CouncilMail
Subject:	Please oppose 5-2023!

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

As a business and employer, I am writing to express my concerns regarding forced electrification in new construction. While I strongly support climate action, forced electrification in new construction jeopardizes my business, my employees, and the consumers' ability to choose affordable, reliable heating options. This bill the council is considering would leave your constituents without any choice but electrify. Today's electric heating technology, such as heat pumps, underperforms on cold days. Electrification will also cost residents more money. Whole-home electrifying could cost \$4,000 to \$15,000, while energy bills would increase \$500 annually. As the Howard County Council considers this legislation, please consider the impact it will have on small businesses, jobs, and consumer choice. Please OPPOSE 5-2023. Thank you. Sincerely, Josh Merson

From:	Info@grassrootsmessages.com
Sent:	Wednesday, February 1, 2023 6:29 AM
То:	CouncilMail
Subject:	Please oppose 5-2023!

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

As a business and employer, I am writing to express my concerns regarding forced electrification in new construction. While I strongly support climate action, forced electrification in new construction jeopardizes my business, my employees, and the consumers' ability to choose affordable, reliable heating options. This bill the council is considering would leave your constituents without any choice but electrify. Today's electric heating technology, such as heat pumps, underperforms on cold days. Electrification will also cost residents more money. Whole-home electrifying could cost \$4,000 to \$15,000, while energy bills would increase \$500 annually. As the Howard County Council considers this legislation, please consider the impact it will have on small businesses, jobs, and consumer choice. Please OPPOSE 5-2023. Thank you. Sincerely, Golub

From:	info@grassrootsmessages.com
Sent:	Tuesday, January 31, 2023 3:33 PM
То:	CouncilMail
Subject:	Please oppose 5-2023!

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

As a business and employer, I am writing to express my concerns regarding forced electrification in new construction. While I strongly support climate action, forced electrification in new construction jeopardizes my business, my employees, and the consumers' ability to choose affordable, reliable heating options. This bill the council is considering would leave your constituents without any choice but electrify. Today's electric heating technology, such as heat pumps, underperforms on cold days. Electrification will also cost residents more money. Whole-home electrifying could cost \$4,000 to \$15,000, while energy bills would increase \$500 annually. As the Howard County Council considers this legislation, please consider the impact it will have on small businesses, jobs, and consumer choice. Please OPPOSE 5-2023. Thank you. Sincerely, Earle

From:	dan@grassrootsmessages.com
Sent:	Tuesday, January 31, 2023 3:22 PM
То:	CouncilMail
Subject:	Please oppose 5-2023!

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

As a business and employer, I am writing to express my concerns regarding forced electrification in new construction. While I strongly support climate action, forced electrification in new construction jeopardizes my business, my employees, and the consumers' ability to choose affordable, reliable heating options. This bill the council is considering would leave your constituents without any choice but electrify. Today's electric heating technology, such as heat pumps, underperforms on cold days. Electrification will also cost residents more money. Whole-home electrifying could cost \$4,000 to \$15,000, while energy bills would increase \$500 annually. As the Howard County Council considers this legislation, please consider the impact it will have on small businesses, jobs, and consumer choice. Please OPPOSE 5-2023. Thank you. Sincerely, Dan Merson

From:	no-reply@howardcountymd.gov
Sent:	Tuesday, January 31, 2023 3:06 PM
То:	gailholm@gmail.com
Subject:	Council - Please support CB5-2023

First Name:	Gail
Last Name:	Holm
Email:	gailholm@gmail.com
Street Address:	10081 Fair Beauty
City:	Columbia
Subject:	Please support CB5-2023
	I'm writing to urge you to su

come. Sincerely, Gail P. Holm

I'm writing to urge you to support CB5-2023, the Clean New Buildings Climate Act. I've lived in a house in Howard County for 36 years. Our heat and all of our appliances are powered by electricity. Until recently, I thought that this was the case for most homes and buildings in our County. I now realize that many existing homes, apartments and other buildings use fuels that put a significant amount of greenhouse gases into our air and and I am very concerned. Howard County continues to grow. New construction of homes and other buildings is a given. The Climate Crisis unfortunately, is also a given. Future buildings and homes that are fueled by propane and methane will only accelerate the level of greenhouse gases and climate change for many many years after construction. Climate change is real. The harmful effects of gas appliances and heating on health are real. We must take action to reduce carbon emissions. Washington, D.C. has done it. Montgomery County has done it. I hope Howard County will be next. Again, I urge you to support CB5-2023 and allow the revision of the Howard Count building code to require most new construction to use only electricity for heat and power for appliances. I urge you to do this now for our children and for generations to

From:	Julie Stuck <julie.stuck.485036851@p2a.co></julie.stuck.485036851@p2a.co>
Sent:	Tuesday, January 31, 2023 2:10 PM
То:	CouncilMail
Subject:	Please vote no on Bill 5-2023!

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Dear Council Members,

I urge you to vote NO on Bill 5-2023, which seeks to advance an all-electric county building code.

While I support efforts to safeguard Maryland's environment and protect it for the future, this proposal goes too far, too soon, without providing for a balance of energy choices that still allows us to work towards being net-zero carbon.

Forcing homes and businesses to go all-electric is not only costly, but also decreases energy resilience and increases the negative effects of power outages. One cyberattack or weather event could leave millions without any source of electricity or heat. Energy reliability and resilience is best achieved through energy diversity.

Using a combination of low-carbon and renewable energy solutions, combined with electricity will allow us to protect the environment and reduce emissions in a pragmatic way that still respects consumer choice and allows Marylanders access to energy options that make the most economic sense to them.

Restricting the marketplace and increasing costs on energy consumers, especially as they combat historic inflation, is a bad idea. A recent study analyzing the costs of whole-home electrification in Baltimore found that added construction costs range from \$4,000 to \$15,000, while banning natural gas would increase annual energy costs by up to \$500.

Homeowners, small businesses and the industries that support Howard County are requesting a smart and multi-pronged approach to reduce emissions and safeguard the economy. An all-electric building code is anything but that.

Again, please vote NO on Bill 5-2023. Thank you for your consideration.

Regards, Julie Stuck 350 W Liberty St Oakland, MD 21550

From:
Sent:
To:
Subject:

Oakland Propane <Oakland.Propane.536521404@p2a.co> Tuesday, January 31, 2023 1:18 PM CouncilMail Please vote no on Bill 5-2023!

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Dear Council Members,

I urge you to vote NO on Bill 5-2023, which seeks to advance an all-electric county building code.

While I support efforts to safeguard Maryland's environment and protect it for the future, this proposal goes too far, too soon, without providing for a balance of energy choices that still allows us to work towards being net-zero carbon.

Forcing homes and businesses to go all-electric is not only costly, but also decreases energy resilience and increases the negative effects of power outages. One cyberattack or weather event could leave millions without any source of electricity or heat. Energy reliability and resilience is best achieved through energy diversity.

Using a combination of low-carbon and renewable energy solutions, combined with electricity will allow us to protect the environment and reduce emissions in a pragmatic way that still respects consumer choice and allows Marylanders access to energy options that make the most economic sense to them.

Restricting the marketplace and increasing costs on energy consumers, especially as they combat historic inflation, is a bad idea. A recent study analyzing the costs of whole-home electrification in Baltimore found that added construction costs range from \$4,000 to \$15,000, while banning natural gas would increase annual energy costs by up to \$500.

Homeowners, small businesses and the industries that support Howard County are requesting a smart and multi-pronged approach to reduce emissions and safeguard the economy. An all-electric building code is anything but that.

Again, please vote NO on Bill 5-2023. Thank you for your consideration.

Regards, Oakland Propane 350 W Liberty St Oakland, MD 21550

From:	Stanley Chatham <stanley.chatham.525627148@p2a.co></stanley.chatham.525627148@p2a.co>
Sent:	Tuesday, January 31, 2023 11:58 AM
То:	CouncilMail
Subject:	Please vote no on Bill 5-2023!

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Dear Council Members,

I urge you to vote NO on Bill 5-2023, which seeks to advance an all-electric county building code.

While I support efforts to safeguard Maryland's environment and protect it for the future, this proposal goes too far, too soon, without providing for a balance of energy choices that still allows us to work towards being net-zero carbon.

Forcing homes and businesses to go all-electric is not only costly, but also decreases energy resilience and increases the negative effects of power outages. One cyberattack or weather event could leave millions without any source of electricity or heat. Energy reliability and resilience is best achieved through energy diversity.

Using a combination of low-carbon and renewable energy solutions, combined with electricity will allow us to protect the environment and reduce emissions in a pragmatic way that still respects consumer choice and allows Marylanders access to energy options that make the most economic sense to them.

Restricting the marketplace and increasing costs on energy consumers, especially as they combat historic inflation, is a bad idea. A recent study analyzing the costs of whole-home electrification in Baltimore found that added construction costs range from \$4,000 to \$15,000, while banning natural gas would increase annual energy costs by up to \$500.

Homeowners, small businesses and the industries that support Howard County are requesting a smart and multi-pronged approach to reduce emissions and safeguard the economy. An all-electric building code is anything but that.

Again, please vote NO on Bill 5-2023. Thank you for your consideration.

Regards, Stanley Chatham 4631 Everlea Ct Preston, MD 21655

From: Sent: To: Subject: Mark Callahan <Mark.Callahan.525624088@p2a.co> Tuesday, January 31, 2023 11:42 AM CouncilMail Please vote no on Bill 5-2023!

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Dear Council Members,

I urge you to vote NO on Bill 5-2023, which seeks to advance an all-electric county building code.

While I support efforts to safeguard Maryland's environment and protect it for the future, this proposal goes too far, too soon, without providing for a balance of energy choices that still allows us to work towards being net-zero carbon.

Forcing homes and businesses to go all-electric is not only costly, but also decreases energy resilience and increases the negative effects of power outages. One cyberattack or weather event could leave millions without any source of electricity or heat. Energy reliability and resilience is best achieved through energy diversity.

Using a combination of low-carbon and renewable energy solutions, combined with electricity will allow us to protect the environment and reduce emissions in a pragmatic way that still respects consumer choice and allows Marylanders access to energy options that make the most economic sense to them.

Restricting the marketplace and increasing costs on energy consumers, especially as they combat historic inflation, is a bad idea. A recent study analyzing the costs of whole-home electrification in Baltimore found that added construction costs range from \$4,000 to \$15,000, while banning natural gas would increase annual energy costs by up to \$500.

Homeowners, small businesses and the industries that support Howard County are requesting a smart and multi-pronged approach to reduce emissions and safeguard the economy. An all-electric building code is anything but that.

Again, please vote NO on Bill 5-2023. Thank you for your consideration.

Regards, Mark Callahan 8338 New Bridge Estates Rd Denton, MD 21629

From:	Susan Baker <susan.baker.601361841@p2a.co></susan.baker.601361841@p2a.co>
Sent:	Tuesday, January 31, 2023 9:31 AM
То:	CouncilMail
Subject:	Please vote no on Bill 5-2023!

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Dear Council Members,

I urge you to vote NO on Bill 5-2023, which seeks to advance an all-electric county building code.

While I support efforts to safeguard Maryland's environment and protect it for the future, this proposal goes too far, too soon, without providing for a balance of energy choices that still allows us to work towards being net-zero carbon.

Forcing homes and businesses to go all-electric is not only costly, but also decreases energy resilience and increases the negative effects of power outages. One cyberattack or weather event could leave millions without any source of electricity or heat. Energy reliability and resilience is best achieved through energy diversity.

Using a combination of low-carbon and renewable energy solutions, combined with electricity will allow us to protect the environment and reduce emissions in a pragmatic way that still respects consumer choice and allows Marylanders access to energy options that make the most economic sense to them.

Restricting the marketplace and increasing costs on energy consumers, especially as they combat historic inflation, is a bad idea. A recent study analyzing the costs of whole-home electrification in Baltimore found that added construction costs range from \$4,000 to \$15,000, while banning natural gas would increase annual energy costs by up to \$500.

Homeowners, small businesses and the industries that support Howard County are requesting a smart and multi-pronged approach to reduce emissions and safeguard the economy. An all-electric building code is anything but that.

Again, please vote NO on Bill 5-2023. Thank you for your consideration.

Regards, Susan Baker 350 W Liberty St Oakland, MD 21550

From: Sent: To: Subject: Haley Fennington <Haley.Fennington.512456665@p2a.co> Tuesday, January 31, 2023 9:13 AM CouncilMail Please vote no on Bill 5-2023!

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Dear Council Members,

I urge you to vote NO on Bill 5-2023, which seeks to advance an all-electric county building code.

While I support efforts to safeguard Maryland's environment and protect it for the future, this proposal goes too far, too soon, without providing for a balance of energy choices that still allows us to work towards being net-zero carbon.

Forcing homes and businesses to go all-electric is not only costly, but also decreases energy resilience and increases the negative effects of power outages. One cyberattack or weather event could leave millions without any source of electricity or heat. Energy reliability and resilience is best achieved through energy diversity.

Using a combination of low-carbon and renewable energy solutions, combined with electricity will allow us to protect the environment and reduce emissions in a pragmatic way that still respects consumer choice and allows Marylanders access to energy options that make the most economic sense to them.

Restricting the marketplace and increasing costs on energy consumers, especially as they combat historic inflation, is a bad idea. A recent study analyzing the costs of whole-home electrification in Baltimore found that added construction costs range from \$4,000 to \$15,000, while banning natural gas would increase annual energy costs by up to \$500.

Homeowners, small businesses and the industries that support Howard County are requesting a smart and multi-pronged approach to reduce emissions and safeguard the economy. An all-electric building code is anything but that.

Again, please vote NO on Bill 5-2023. Thank you for your consideration.

Regards, Haley Fennington 22945 E Piney Grove Rd Georgetown, DE 19947

From:Bob Waruszewski < Bob.Waruszewski.601358908@p2a.co>Sent:Tuesday, January 31, 2023 8:23 AMTo:CouncilMailSubject:Please vote no on Bill 5-2023!

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Dear Council Members,

I urge you to vote NO on Bill 5-2023, which seeks to advance an all-electric county building code.

While I support efforts to safeguard Maryland's environment and protect it for the future, this proposal goes too far, too soon, without providing for a balance of energy choices that still allows us to work towards being net-zero carbon.

Forcing homes and businesses to go all-electric is not only costly, but also decreases energy resilience and increases the negative effects of power outages. One cyberattack or weather event could leave millions without any source of electricity or heat. Energy reliability and resilience is best achieved through energy diversity.

Using a combination of low-carbon and renewable energy solutions, combined with electricity will allow us to protect the environment and reduce emissions in a pragmatic way that still respects consumer choice and allows Marylanders access to energy options that make the most economic sense to them.

Restricting the marketplace and increasing costs on energy consumers, especially as they combat historic inflation, is a bad idea. A recent study analyzing the costs of whole-home electrification in Baltimore found that added construction costs range from \$4,000 to \$15,000, while banning natural gas would increase annual energy costs by up to \$500.

Homeowners, small businesses and the industries that support Howard County are requesting a smart and multi-pronged approach to reduce emissions and safeguard the economy. An all-electric building code is anything but that.

Again, please vote NO on Bill 5-2023. Thank you for your consideration.

Regards, Bob Waruszewski 5025 Julia Ln Mckees Rocks, PA 15136

From:	Eric Gray <eric.gray.485437694@p2a.co></eric.gray.485437694@p2a.co>
Sent:	Monday, January 30, 2023 9:31 PM
То:	CouncilMail
Subject:	Please vote no on Bill 5-2023!

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Dear Council Members,

I urge you to vote NO on Bill 5-2023, which seeks to advance an all-electric county building code.

While I support efforts to safeguard Maryland's environment and protect it for the future, this proposal goes too far, too soon, without providing for a balance of energy choices that still allows us to work towards being net-zero carbon.

Forcing homes and businesses to go all-electric is not only costly, but also decreases energy resilience and increases the negative effects of power outages. One cyberattack or weather event could leave millions without any source of electricity or heat. Energy reliability and resilience is best achieved through energy diversity.

Using a combination of low-carbon and renewable energy solutions, combined with electricity will allow us to protect the environment and reduce emissions in a pragmatic way that still respects consumer choice and allows Marylanders access to energy options that make the most economic sense to them.

Restricting the marketplace and increasing costs on energy consumers, especially as they combat historic inflation, is a bad idea. A recent study analyzing the costs of whole-home electrification in Baltimore found that added construction costs range from \$4,000 to \$15,000, while banning natural gas would increase annual energy costs by up to \$500.

Homeowners, small businesses and the industries that support Howard County are requesting a smart and multi-pronged approach to reduce emissions and safeguard the economy. An all-electric building code is anything but that.

Again, please vote NO on Bill 5-2023. Thank you for your consideration.

Regards, Eric Gray 350 W Liberty St Oakland, MD 21550



January 28, 2023

Dear Howard County Council Members,

On Jan. 3, Councilmember Christiana Rigby introduced CB5-2023, a bill that would require the Department of Inspections, Licenses, and Permits to determine the county building code changes needed to end the burning of fossil fuels in new buildings and major renovations. This report would be in advance of the next round of building code revisions, in the spring of 2024.

We, **the 16 undersigned organizations and 115 constituents**, are writing to ask you to support CB5-2023. Last year was the hottest year on record in most of Europe, and among the hottest globally. The United States had at least 15 billion-dollar weather-related disasters last year, twice the 40-year average, including one of the largest and most destructive hurricanes ever. The American West is suffering its worst drought in at least 1200 years. Greenhouse gases in the atmosphere continue to rise.

Howard County can be part of the solution to our climate crisis. According to the county greenhouse gas inventory, 11% of the county's emissions come directly from burning methane gas or propane in furnaces and appliances in buildings. The county's draft Climate Action Plan says that, without action, emissions are projected to rise because of population growth. Construction of new homes and buildings follows population growth and, without action, building emissions will continue to increase.

The CB5-2023 bill requiring the report is essential if we are to stop burning fossil fuels in new buildings. Moreover, the warming effect is long-lasting: A new gas boiler, furnace, or hot water heater installed in the next few years will continue to emit greenhouse gases for decades. As environmentalist Bill McKibben says: "When you are in a hole, stop digging!"

In addition to reducing greenhouse gases, electrification of new buildings will benefit Howard County residents' pocketbooks and health.

Economic research indicates that most all-electric buildings cost less to build, and more importantly, that homeowners' and renters' ongoing energy costs for energy-efficient electric heat pumps and heat pump water heaters are substantially less. While the price of renewable energy is falling fast, a Maryland state agency recently completed a study showing that the delivered price of fossil fuels will increase up to 800% in coming years. Howard County residents and businesses do not want to be locked into these skyrocketing energy costs.

Accumulating evidence also shows that indoor gas combustion is a significant public health risk. Both the American Medical Association and the American Public Health Association have passed resolutions warning against gas stoves and cooktops, and the Consumer Product Safety Commission is considering banning sales of new gas cooking appliances. A peer-reviewed study published in December 2022 in the International Journal

of Environmental Research and Public Health found that "12.7% of current childhood asthma in the US is attributable to gas stove use." Howard County residents deserve better.

We urge your support for CB5-2023.

Sincerely,

Organizations

Baltimore Washington Conference of the United Methodist Church, Legislative Team & Creation Care Team CASA Chesapeake Climate Action Network Citizens' Climate Lobby Howard County Columbia Democratic Club Emmanuel United Methodist Church, Scaggsville HoCo Climate Action Howard County Sierra Club Indivisible Howard County InterFaith Power & Light (DC.MD.NoVA) Interfaith Partners for the Chesapeake Jewish Community Relations Council, JFHC Safe Skies Maryland Sunrise Movement Howard County Transition Howard County UU Congregation of Columbia Climate Crisis Team



Constituents listed below

District 1 - Walsh

John Barnes Patricia Soffen Suzannah Mullen Cheryl Arney Judith Schardt Susan Franckel Benjamin Poole Sydney Wallace Timothy Michael Phelps Margo Duesterhaus Omar Siddique John T Nader

Rochelle Ginsburg John Magoon Wendy L Hall RoseMarie Meservey Ronald Meservey Tina Armstrong Andrea LeWinter Sheila Robinson Harriett Katzen Rabbi Gordon Fuller Lindsay Estes Mandy Elder Alec Weinberg Kathy Woods Lauren Kazlow Ruth White Nathan Baum Alisa Niefeld-Batiz Daniel Hall Mary Ann Barry **Tim Lattimer Charlie Bailey** Shari Glenn David Yost

Jillian Adams

Jeffrey Turner

Jessica I Nichols

District 2 - Jones

District 3 - Rigby

Kim Pezza Liz Feighner Ruth Auerbach Phil Webster **Carolyn Parsa** Kurt R. Schwarz Mary Rodgers Liz Kato Charles Goedeke **Richard Deutschmann** Karen O'Steen Vanessa Deutschmann **Teresa Weathington** Neil Hunt Gail P. Holm **Robert Muller** Marjorie Reyes Wendy Alberg Alice Pham Mary C Chiu Marie Fowler Robert L Bingham Susan P White Ned Tillman Olivia Kuykendall

District 4 - Jung

Carl Latkin Crystal Konny Brian Costello Ann Coren Virginia Smith Karen Douglas Nancy Rivers Mark Southerland PhD James Sarlanis Susannah Feldman Barbara Sue Senate Iris Zhan Setareh Shemali Sara Shemali Yasmin Shemali Judith C Davies Jill Christianson Ellen Bryson Jeremy Dommu **Stephen Pantano** Barbara S. Matheson **Robin Hessey Betsy Singer** Dr. John A. Wheatland Loreen V Heinz Theodore Swanson **Carla Tevelow** Jeremy Scharfenberg **Ron Robinson** Susan Hill **Karen Douglas** Larry Liebesman

District 5 - Yungmann

Doug Siglin Ken Schaffer Neilsen Webster Peter Alexander Cynthia A Williams Dr. Sara Via Irma Bensinger Alexander Ross Caitlin Ann Strozyk **Cassie Felch** Kevin Grant Erin McGinn Christine Lemyze Patricia Steinhilber Kristin Siglin Stephanie Dignan Isabella Battish **Cliff Strawitch**

From: Sent: To: Subject: Mark Zimora <Mark.Zimora.485030820@p2a.co> Monday, January 30, 2023 4:59 PM CouncilMail Please vote no on Bill 5-2023!

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Dear Council Members,

I urge you to vote NO on Bill 5-2023, which seeks to advance an all-electric county building code.

While I support efforts to safeguard Maryland's environment and protect it for the future, this proposal goes too far, too soon, without providing for a balance of energy choices that still allows us to work towards being net-zero carbon.

Forcing homes and businesses to go all-electric is not only costly, but also decreases energy resilience and increases the negative effects of power outages. One cyberattack or weather event could leave millions without any source of electricity or heat. Energy reliability and resilience is best achieved through energy diversity.

Using a combination of low-carbon and renewable energy solutions, combined with electricity will allow us to protect the environment and reduce emissions in a pragmatic way that still respects consumer choice and allows Marylanders access to energy options that make the most economic sense to them.

Restricting the marketplace and increasing costs on energy consumers, especially as they combat historic inflation, is a bad idea. A recent study analyzing the costs of whole-home electrification in Baltimore found that added construction costs range from \$4,000 to \$15,000, while banning natural gas would increase annual energy costs by up to \$500.

Homeowners, small businesses and the industries that support Howard County are requesting a smart and multi-pronged approach to reduce emissions and safeguard the economy. An all-electric building code is anything but that.

Again, please vote NO on Bill 5-2023. Thank you for your consideration.

Regards, Mark Zimora 2557 Castlegreen Dr Greencastle, PA 17225

From:Bernard Taylor <Bernard.Taylor.485054455@p2a.co>Sent:Monday, January 30, 2023 3:42 PMTo:CouncilMailSubject:Please vote no on Bill 5-2023!

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Dear Council Members,

I urge you to vote NO on Bill 5-2023, which seeks to advance an all-electric county building code.

While I support efforts to safeguard Maryland's environment and protect it for the future, this proposal goes too far, too soon, without providing for a balance of energy choices that still allows us to work towards being net-zero carbon.

Forcing homes and businesses to go all-electric is not only costly, but also decreases energy resilience and increases the negative effects of power outages. One cyberattack or weather event could leave millions without any source of electricity or heat. Energy reliability and resilience is best achieved through energy diversity.

Using a combination of low-carbon and renewable energy solutions, combined with electricity will allow us to protect the environment and reduce emissions in a pragmatic way that still respects consumer choice and allows Marylanders access to energy options that make the most economic sense to them.

Restricting the marketplace and increasing costs on energy consumers, especially as they combat historic inflation, is a bad idea. A recent study analyzing the costs of whole-home electrification in Baltimore found that added construction costs range from \$4,000 to \$15,000, while banning natural gas would increase annual energy costs by up to \$500.

Homeowners, small businesses and the industries that support Howard County are requesting a smart and multi-pronged approach to reduce emissions and safeguard the economy. An all-electric building code is anything but that.

Again, please vote NO on Bill 5-2023. Thank you for your consideration.

Regards, Bernard Taylor 21434 Great Mills Rd Lexington Park, MD 20653

From: Sent: To: Subject: KAY DUNN <KAY.DUNN.532969637@p2a.co> Monday, January 30, 2023 3:27 PM CouncilMail Please vote no on Bill 5-2023!

[Note: This email originated from outside of the organization. Please only click on links or attachments if you know the sender.]

Dear Council Members,

I urge you to vote NO on Bill 5-2023, which seeks to advance an all-electric county building code.

While I support efforts to safeguard Maryland's environment and protect it for the future, this proposal goes too far, too soon, without providing for a balance of energy choices that still allows us to work towards being net-zero carbon.

Forcing homes and businesses to go all-electric is not only costly, but also decreases energy resilience and increases the negative effects of power outages. One cyberattack or weather event could leave millions without any source of electricity or heat. Energy reliability and resilience is best achieved through energy diversity.

Using a combination of low-carbon and renewable energy solutions, combined with electricity will allow us to protect the environment and reduce emissions in a pragmatic way that still respects consumer choice and allows Marylanders access to energy options that make the most economic sense to them.

Restricting the marketplace and increasing costs on energy consumers, especially as they combat historic inflation, is a bad idea. A recent study analyzing the costs of whole-home electrification in Baltimore found that added construction costs range from \$4,000 to \$15,000, while banning natural gas would increase annual energy costs by up to \$500.

Homeowners, small businesses and the industries that support Howard County are requesting a smart and multi-pronged approach to reduce emissions and safeguard the economy. An all-electric building code is anything but that.

Again, please vote NO on Bill 5-2023. Thank you for your consideration.

Regards, KAY DUNN 8328 Reichard Rd Fairplay, MD 21733