1	BFEA-CURTIS FARM, LLC							*	BEFORE THE						
2	PETITIONER							*	PLANNING BOARD OF						
3	ZRA-202 * HOWARD COUNTY, MARYLAND									•					
4															
5	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
6		MOTI	ON:	Reco	mmend	approv	al of ZRA	A-202 wi	ith ame	endment	s.				
7		ACTIO	ON:	Reco	nmend 2	Approva	ıl; Vote 5	-0.							
8	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
9						RE	COMME	ENDATI	ON						
10	On December 15, 2022, the Planning Board of Howard County, Maryland, considered the petition of							ion of							
11	BFEA-Curtis Farm, LLC (Petitioner) to amend the Howard County Zoning Regulations to allow additional						tional								
12	Historic Venue Uses, including weddings, parties, and similar assembly events, in the R-20 Zoning District as							rict as							
13	a conditional use under Section 131.0.N.27-Historic Building Uses, subject to certain conditions. Properties in						ties in								
14	the R-20 zoning districts would be subject to the following criteria to be eligible:														
15	(1) The minimum lot size shall be 7 acres.														
16	(2)	(2) The use shall not share a driveway with another residential lot.													
17	(3)	(3) Parking areas shall be set back a minimum of 30 feet from all property lines or public street rights-of							hts-of						
18	1	way and adequately screened to minimize visibility from the roadway and adjacent properties.													
19	(4)	(4) The site shall have frontage on and direct access onto a collector or arterial road designated in the general							eneral						
20		plan													
21	(5)	(5) Outdoor use is permitted provided that any outdoor use area is located and screened to adequately shield						shield							
22	adjacent residential lots from noise and nuisance.														
23	(6)	(6) All outdoor uses shall be located within 500 feet of the principal historic structure on the property.					y.								
24	(7) Petitioner shall submit a Sound Management Plan demonstrating how sound from outdoor uses will be						vill be								
25		manage	ed to mi	nimize	adverse	impacts	on surro	unding re	esidenti	al proper	ties.				
26	(8)	The He	aring Aı	uthority	may set	the days	s, hours o	f operation	on, and	maximui	n numl	ber of gue	ests for hi	storic	
27		venue ı	uses.												
28	Th	e Plann	ing Boa	rd cons	idered th	e petitio	on and the	e Departi	ment of	Planning	g and Z	Coning (D	PZ) Tech	nnical	
29	Staff Re	eport.													
30							Testin	nony							
31		Mr. Thomas Coale, counsel for the petitioner, stated that the purpose of the ZRA is to increase the							se the						
32	possible	uses a	and sour	ces of	revenue	to supp	port the	maintena	nce of	historic	buildi	ngs and	propertie	s. He	

furtherstated that some of the uses that may be approved through the Historic Building Uses Conditional Use are more intense than those included in the ZRA amendment. Mr. Coale asserted the proposed venue uses have less impact than agricultural uses, which are allowed as a matter of right on the Petitioner's property. The Petitioners, Barbara Bindon and Stephen Ferrandi, also testified and spoke about the preservation of the Shipley-Curtis farm site and the high costs of maintenance.

6 In response to questions from the Board regarding the applicability of the ZRA, Mr. Coale stated that 7 the ZRA would apply to seven (7) R-20 historic properties and not solely to the Petitioner's property (note the 8 TSR only indicated 6 properties). Ms. Mosier asked why the ZRA was limited to the R-20 zone and not 9 applicable to the other districts in the current Historic Building Uses. Mr. Coale clarified that the district 10 limitation was intended to make the impact of the ZRA less broad and thus more likely to be accepted. Mr. 11 Coleman asked whether adding more restrictive criteria and specific use definitions would make the ZRA more 12 acceptable. Mr. Coale responded that conditional use criteria are typically generalized while the actual petitions 13 and Hearing Examiner approvals are property specific.

14 Seven members of the public testified in opposition to the proposed ZRA asserting that this amendment 15 appears to solely benefit the Petitioner and that these types of events should have a larger buffer because they 16 produce noise that can be heard in the surrounding neighborhoods. Additionally, they indicated that these new 17 assembly uses would create traffic and parking problems for adjacent communities. The Shipley's Grant HOA 18 President summarized the opposition testimony and stated that there are currently a variety of non-residential 19 uses allowed under the current Historic Building Uses conditional use category that the Petitioner could request 20 approval for. A final speaker opposed the ZRA because it does not include criteria to regulate amplified music, 21 serving of alcohol, and event hours.

22

Board Discussion and Recommendation

In work session, Board members agreed that adaptive reuse of historic structures is a policy that should be supported. The Board discussed whether this ZRA was tailored only to the Petitioner's property and whether the proposed criteria were sufficient to address the typical impacts of public assemblage uses. One member asserted that some of the Limited Social Assemblies conditional use criteria should be applied to the proposed use, although not all of these criteria were discussed in detail. Several members discussed whether additional criteria should be included in a Planning Board recommendation or whether additional criteria would be best applied by Council during legislative process.

Mr. Engelke motioned to recommend approval of ZRA-202, with an amendment to criterion #7 to include "adequate setback and buffering from residential properties" and to apply the criteria in Sec. 131.0.N.33 Limited Social Assemblies that is more restrictive than what is proposed to the Historic Venue Uses. Ms. Mosier seconded the motion. The motion passed 5-0.

34

	1 The
1	For the foregoing reasons, the Planning Board of Howard County, Maryland, on thisday of
2	January 2023, recommends that ZRA-202, as described above, be APPROVED.
3	
4	
5	HOWARD COUNTY PLANNING BOARD
6	Edward + Coleman
7	Ed Covernares Chair
8	
9	Kevin Melliley Kevin Melliley Vice-chair
10	DocuSigned by:
11	Phillips Engelke Phillips Engelke
12	DocuSigned by:
12	James (uil James 10200120404
13	DocuSigned by:
	Barbra Mosier
15	Barbuperter
16	
17	
18	
19	ATTEST: DocuSigned by:
20	Any Gronan
21	Am [®] Cowant, Executive Secretary
22	