1	NORMANDY VENTURE LIMITED							BEF	ORE T	HE				
2	PARTNERSHIP, PETITIONER						*	PLA	PLANNING BOARD OF					
3	ZRA-207						*	ноч	HOWARD COUNTY, MARYLAND					
4														
5	*	* *	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	
6		MOTION:	Reco	mmend	l approv	val of ZI	RA-207.							
7		ACTION:	ent; Vot	te 5-0.										
8	*	* *	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*		
9														
10					RI	ECOMM	[ENDA]	ΓΙΟΝ						
11	On June 6, 2024, the Planning Board of Howard County, Maryland, considered the petition of													
12	Normandy Venture Limited Partnership (Petitioner) to amend the Howard County Zoning Regulations to add													
13	indoor self-storage facilities as a Conditional Use in the B-2 (Business: General) zoning district subject to the													
14	following criteria:													
15	a. The use is located on a lot or parcel that is five (5) acres or larger.													
16	b. The use is located on a lot or parcel that is located within the planned service area for public water													
17	and public sewer services.													
18	c. The use adjoins and has direct access to a public road classified as a minor collector or higher in the													
19	general plan.													
20	d. Individual indoor self-storage units shall be located entirely within a building structure.													
21	e. No outdoor storage is permitted.													
22	The Planning Board considered the petition and the Department of Planning and Zoning (DPZ)													
23	Technical Staff Report.													
24	Testimony													
25	Mr. Rob Moxley presented testimony stating that the intent of the amendment is threefold: To increase									ncrease				
26	the use options for underutilized properties located within the B-2 zoning District, to reduce the overall housing										housing			
27	cost burden through additional options to store personal property off-site allowing such residents to reduce the									duce the				
28	size of their dwelling units, and to preserve industrial zoned land in the M-1 and M-2 zoning districts for									ricts for				
29	industrial uses. He further elaborated on the proposed specific criteria and why they were included, for example									example				
30	the pr	the prohibition on outdoor storage to prevent potential adverse visual impact on adjacent residential uses.								es.				
31		Finally, speaking in response to questions about impacts on activity centers, he showed architectural								tectural				
32	rende	renderings depicting a retail frontage along the self-storage building oriented toward the existing commercial							mercial					
33	buildi	ings. He asserte	d that thi	s design	integrat	tes the se	lf-storag	ge buildi	ng into t	ne pede	strian or	ientated	activity	

center. He pointed out that many proposed activity centers are located along roadways that are subject to the County's Design Manuals. He concluded by mentioning that there is also demand for self-storage space from small business and retail start-ups.

Responding to Board members questions, Mr. Moxley stated they believe that there is sufficient demand to provide a self-storage at the Normandy Shopping center. He added that they didn't include criteria for activity centers as they have not yet been incorporated into the zoning code.

Two members of the public that live near the Normandy shopping center testified about the petition. They had questions about the impact of the proposal on the shopping center in terms of traffic circulation and retail uses. They expressed concern about the size and dimensions of a storage facility. Despite these concerns for the site development at the Normandy Shopping center, they did express support for the proposal.

Board Discussion and Recommendation

In work session, Board members expressed concern that this ZRA may result in a standalone self-storage facility in a mixed-use development, since many B-2 zoned properties are designated as an Activity Center on the Future Land Use Map of the General Plan (HoCo-By-Design). Mr. Cecil questioned whether this is consistent with the General Plan policies. He proposed the inclusion of a reference to the concept of Activity Centers. While not opposed to the proposal, the Board members discussed how the proposal could be modified to avoid a standalone facility throughout the B-2 district and ensure that the building structure is designed to enhance and be compatible with surrounding neighborhoods.

Mr. McAliley motioned to recommend approval of ZRA-207, with the amendment that additional Conditional Use criteria should be included to require an evaluation by the Hearing Authority of whether a proposed self-storage development project proposes a site design, building form, and location that contribute to a cohesive walkable place and is compatible with the envisioned community. Ms. Mosier seconded the motion. The motion passed 5-0.

For the foregoing reasons, the Planning Board of Howard County, Maryland, on this <u>19th</u> day of June 2024, recommends that ZRA-207, with an amendment as described above, be **APPROVED**.

HOWARD COUNTY PLANNING BOARD

Docusigned by:

Edward †. Columna

Ed Coleman, Coloman

Docusigned by:

Levin Mullily

Kevin McAliley, Vice-chair

			Docusigned by: Martin Lolley
1		Mason Godsey	B79ABA28B31447E DocuSigned by:
2			James Cecil
3		James Cecil	— 7DA1123B1D2D404 — DocuSigned by:
4			Barbara Mosicr
5		Barbara Mosier	B65ABB04E9F949F
6			
7	ATTEST:DocuSigned by:		
8	Jessica Bellalı		
9	Jessica Bellah, Acting, Executive Secretary		