

1.

## PETITION TO AMEND THE ZONING REGULATIONS OF HOWARD COUNTY

**Zoning Regulation Amendment Request** 

DPZ Office Us	se Only:
---------------	----------

Case No. ZRA-152

Date	Filed:

2
I (we), the undersigned, hereby petition the County Council of Howard County to amend the Zoning
Regulations of Howard County as follows: <u>To amend Section 107.0. of the R-ED Zoning Regulations</u>
to create a new Section 107.0.J. to add provisions concerning the use and subdivision of R-ED
properties that have Agricultural Land Preservation Easements.
[You must provide a brief statement here. "See Attached Supplement" or similar statements are not acceptable. You may attach
a separate document to respond to Section 1 in greater detail. If so, this document shall be titled "Response to Section 1"]

- 2. Petitioner's Name Marsha S. McLaughlin, Director, Department of Planning and Zoning
  Address 3430 Court House Drive, Ellicott City, Maryland 21043

  Phone No. (W) 410-313-2350 (H) N/A

  Email Address msmclaughlin@howardcountymd.gov

  3. Counsel for Petitioner Paul Johnson, Deputy County Solicitor

  Counsel's Address 3430 Court House Drive, Ellicott City, Maryland 21043

  Counsel's Phone No. 410-313-2101

  Email Address \_\_\_\_\_
- Regulations is (are) being proposed The Department of Planning and Zoning has been made aware of an approximately 51 acre property adjoining the Patapsco Valley State Park in the Woodstock area that the owner proposes to have incorporated into the Agricultural Land Preservation Program ("ALPP"). This property is comprised of two parcels and is split-zoned; part of the property is zoned RC-DEO, and part of the property is zoned R-ED, and although there are no R-ED-zoned properties in the ALPP currently, there is nothing in the program that precludes such a possibility. The property is larger than 50 acres, so if it were to be incorporated into the ALPP it would qualify for the creation of one residential lot, however, the current R-ED regulations do not address the issue of the creation of lots on ALPP properties as are addressed in both the RC and RR regulations. The reason for the requested amendment is to add regulations to the R-ED regulations concerning the creation of lots on ALPP properties, and the allowable uses on such lots, that are similar to those for the RC and RR regulations.

<b>5.</b> P	lease provide a detailed justification statement demonstrating how the proposed amendment(s) will be		
in harmon	ny with current General Plan for Howard County The proposed amendment is in harmony with		
General I	Plan Policy 4.1 to "Promote additional agricultural preservation opportunities." Because the proposal		
to add the	e subject property to the ALPP will also help to preserve the environmental resources on this property,		
the amendment is also in general harmony with the main principle of General Plan Policy 3.7 to "Secure better			
protection of environmental resources within new developments". Additional justification may be provided at			
the Planning Board meeting and County Council hearing for this Zoning Regulation Amendment proposal.			

[You may attach a separate document to respond to Section 5. If so, this document shall be titled "Response to Section 5"]

6. The Legislative Intent of the Zoning Regulations in Section 100.A. expresses that the Zoning Regulations have the purpose of "...preserving and promoting the health, safety and welfare of the community." Please provide a detailed justification statement demonstrating how the proposed amendment(s) will be in harmony with this purpose and the other issues in Section 100.A. In terms of providing more opportunity for preserving environmentally sensitive resources on land in the R-ED District, this proposed amendment is in harmony with the intent "To ensure that all development and land uses protect or enhance the natural, environmental, historic, architectural and other landscape resources of the County...". It is also in harmony with the basic intent to "Preserve agricultural land".

[You may attach a separate document to respond to Section 6. If so, this document shall be titled "Response to Section 6."]

7. Unless your response to Section 6 above already addresses this issue, please provide an explanation of the public benefits to be gained by the adoption of the proposed amendment(s). There is a public benefit to preserving the environmentally sensitive resources on the subject property that happens to be located in both the RC and R-ED Districts.

[You may attach a separate document to respond to Section 7. If so, this document shall be titled "Response to Section 7."]

8. Does the amendment, or do the amendments, have the potential of affecting the development of more than one property, yes or no? No, it is very unlikely that there would be other R-ED-zoned properties that would qualify for potential incorporation into the ALPP.

[You may attach a separate document to respond to Section 8. If so, this document shall be titled "Response to Section 8."]

9. If there are any other factors you desire the Council to consider in its evaluation of this amendment request, please provide them at this time. Please understand that the Council may request a new or updated Technical Staff Report and/or a new Planning Board Recommendation if there is any new evidence submitted at

	me of the public hearing that is not provided with t				
time, but more may be provided during the public meeting and public hearing processes for this Zoning Regulation amendment.					
	ą .				
[You r	may attach a separate document to respond to Section 9. If so,	this document shall be titled "Respo	nse to Section 9."]		
10.	You must provide the full proposed text of the a "Petitioner's Proposed Text" that is to be attached format for Zoning Regulation Amendment proputeTTERS, and any existing text to be deleted in must provide an example of how the text would	ed to this form. This document sosals; any new proposed text runst be in [[ Double Bold Brace	nt must use this standard must be in CAPITAL kets ]]. In addition, you		
11.	The Petitioner agrees to furnish additional information as may be required by the Department of Planning and Zoning prior to the petition being accepted for scheduling, by the Planning Board prior to its adoption of a Recommendation, and/or by the County Council prior to its ruling on the case.				
9.	The undersigned hereby affirms that all of the statements and information contained in, or filed with this petition, are true and correct. The undersigned has read the instructions on this form, filing herewith all of the required accompanying information. If the Petitioner is an entity that is not an individual, information must be provided explaining the relationship of the person(s) signing to the entity.				
	sha S. McLaughlin, Director ioner's name (Printed or typed)	Petitioner's Signature	Date 10/13/1		
Petit	ioner's name (Printed or typed)	Petitioner's Signature	Date		
Cou	raul To huson	-			

[If additional signatures are necessary, please provide them on a separate document to be attached to this petition form.]

## **FEE**

The Petitioner agrees to pay all fees as follows:	
Filing fee	shall pay \$40.00 per 200 words of text or fraction thereof for each separate textually continuous amendment (\$40.00 minimum, \$85.00 maximum)
Each additional hearing night	\$510.00*
demonstrates to the satisfaction of the Cou work an extraordinary hardship on the pe the filing fee for withdrawn petitions. The	all or part of the filing fee where the petitioner unty Council that the payment of the fee would etitioner. The County Council may refund part of a County Council shall waive all fees for petitions duties by an official, board or agency of the
***************	*************
For DPZ office use only:	
Hearing Fee \$	
Receipt No.	
PLEASE CALL 410-313-2350 FOR AN APPOINT	MENT TO SUBMIT YOUR APPLICATION
County Website: www.howardcountymd.gov	
Revised:5/08 T:\Shared\Public Service and Zoning\Applications\County Council\ZR.	A Application

4

## ZRA 152 - Petitioner's Proposed Text

## New Section 107.0.J:

- J. REGULATIONS FOR ALPP PURCHASED EASEMENTS
  - 1. USES ON ALPP PURCHASED EASEMENTS SHALL BE IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 106.1.B THROUGH SECTION 106.1.D, PROVIDED, HOWEVER,

FOR THE ALLOWABLE ACCESSORY USES LISTED IN SECTION 106.1.C.1, ONLY THOSE USES WHICH ARE ELIGIBLE AS POTENTIAL FARM USES IN THE R-ED DISTRICT, AS SPECIFIED IN SECTION 128.0.I, ARE PERMITTED, AND

FOR THE ALLOWABLE CONDITIONAL USES LISTED IN SECTION 106.1.D.1.A, ONLY THOSE USES WHICH ARE ELIGIBLE AS CONDITIONAL USES IN THE R-ED DISTRICT, AS SPECIFIED IN SECTION 131.0.N, ARE PERMITTED.

2. BULK REQUIREMENTS FOR ALPP PURCHASED EASEMENTS

ON HOWARD COUNTY ALPP PURCHASED EASEMENT PROPERTIES, LOTS MAY BE CREATED PURSUANT TO THE APPLICABLE HOWARD COUNTY LAWS AND REGULATIONS GOVERNING THE EASEMENT, SUBJECT TO THE FOLLOWING REQUIREMENTS.

A. THE FOLLOWING REQUIREMENTS SHALL APPLY INSTEAD OF THE REQUIREMENTS OF SECTION 107.0.D.2:

LOT SIZE: MAXIMUM -- 1 ACRE MINIMUM -- 40,000 SQUARE FEET

- B. FOR PROPERTIES NOT SERVED BY PUBLIC WATER AND SEWER THE 1 ACRE MAXIMUM LOT SIZE REQUIRED BY THIS SECTION MAY BE INCREASED UP TO A MAXIMUM OF 1.2 ACRES PROVIDED THAT:
  - (1) THE LOCATION OF THE PROPOSED LOT HAS BEEN APPROVED BY THE HOWARD COUNTY AGRICULTURAL LAND PRESERVATION BOARD, AND
  - (2) THE DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND ZONING DETERMINES THAT:
    - (A) THE INCREASE IN LOT SIZE IS NECESSARY TO

- ACCOMMODATE THE HEALTH DEPARTMENT APPROVED LOCATIONS FOR THE SEWAGE DISPOSAL EASEMENT AND WELL; AND
- (B) THE PROPOSED LOT IS A REGULARLY SHAPED LOT IN ACCORDANCE WITH SECTION 16.120 (B) OF THE HOWARD COUNTY CODE.
- (3) THE INCREASE IN LOT SIZE SHALL BE APPROVED:
  - (A) BY THE DEPARTMENT OF PLANNING AND ZONING AS AN ADMINISTRATIVE ADJUSTMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 100.0.F OF THE ZONING REGULATIONS; OR
  - (B) BY THE HEARING AUTHORITY AS A VARIANCE PURSUANT TO SECTION 130.0.B OF THE ZONING REGULATIONS.
- 3. SECTIONS 107.0.E THROUGH 107.0.I ARE NOT APPLICABLE.