Introduced 3/c				Ì	
Public Hearing	I	Ç	وآد	29	_
Council Action —	41	4	(2	100	7-
Executive Action Effective Date —	زه	ľ	9	9	_

County Council Of Howard County, Maryland

County Council Of How	ard County, Maryland
2009 Legislative Session	Legislative Day No.
ВШ No. <u>t 7</u>	2009 (ZRA 112)
Introduced by: The Chairperson at	he request of the County Executive
AN ACT amending the Howard County Zonir	g Regulations to allow fences of a certain
height along certain lot lines that adjoin	n public street rights-of-way; to set forth
certain setbacks for certain fences and	walls that are a certain height; to prohibit
certain arrangements for certain fences	and walls; and generally related to the
Howard County Zoning Regulations.	
Introduced and read first time March 2, 2009. On	dered posted and hearing scheduled. By order Stephen LeGendre, Administrator
Having been posted and notice of time & place of hearing & title of for a second time at a public hearing on	Bill having been published according to Charter, the Bill was read, 2009.
	By order Stephen LeGendre, Administrator
This Bill was read the third time on April 2009 and Passe	d, Passed with amendments, Failed
-	By order Stephen LeGendre, Administrator
Scaled with the County Scal and presented to the County Executive a.m.p.m.	e for approval this 2 day of April , 2009 at 11
_	a luft.

NOTE: [[text in brackets]] indicates deletions from existing law; TEXT IN SMALL CAPITALS indicates additions to existing law; Strike-out indicates material deleted by amendment; Underlining indicates material added by amendment.

Ken Ulman, County Executive

1	Section	on 1. B	e It En	acted b	y the County Council of Howard County, Maryland, that
2	parag	graph 9	of Subs	section .	4 "Supplementary Bulk Regulations" of Section 128
3	"Sup	plemen	tary Zoi	ning Di	strict Regulations" of the Howard County Zoning Regulations
4	is am	ended t	o read	as follo	ws:
5					
6				Н	oward County Zoning Regulations
7			SECT		8: Supplementary Zoning District Regulations
8					
9	A.	Supp	lements	ary Bulk	Regulations
10		The f	ollowing	g supple	mentary regulations shall apply in addition to the requirements of
11		the ap	plicable	zoning	district.
12		9.	Setba	ick Requ	irements for Fences, Walls and Retaining Walls
13			The f	ollowing	g regulations shall apply to fences and retaining walls in all zoning
14			distri	cts [[exc	ept the NT District]].
15			a.	Fence	es shall be classified in the following categories:
16				(1)	Open fence are fences which do not restrict visibility beyond the
17					fence line. Open fences include wire, chain link, post and rail,
18					paddock, picket, and other fences in which more than 50 percent
19					of the fence area is left open. The fence area is the surface area
20					from the bottom to the top of the fence section, including
21					stringers supporting the section but not the post area above or
22					below the fence section.
23				(2)	Closed fences include board on board, privacy or stockade
24					fences, or any other fences in which 50 percent or less of the
25					fence area is open. Masonry walls that serve the same purpose as
26					a closed fence are considered closed fences.
27			b.	The f	following types of fences and retaining walls shall be exempt from
28				all st	ructure or use setback requirements, including the setbacks in the
29				M-1	and M-2 Districts which apply specifically to fences:
30				(1)	Closed fences three feet or less in height.
31				(2)	Open fences five feet or less in height.
32				(3)	Retaining walls three feet or less in height.
33				(4)	A series of retaining walls in which the height of each individual
34					wall does not exceed three feet and the horizontal distance

1		between walls is three feet or greater, however, a series of
2		retaining walls must be set back in accordance with engineering
3		requirements in the Design Manual. If the adjoining property is
4		developed for residential use, no more than two retaining walls
5		are permitted in a series and the closer wall can be no nearer than
6		10 feet from the property line.
7	c.	Fences and walls six feet or less in height shall not be subject to structure
8		or use setback requirements if located in a side or rear yard which is not
9		adjacent to a public street right-of-way.
10	D.	FENCES AND WALLS SIX FEET OR LESS IN HEIGHT SHALL NOT BE SUBJECT
11		TO SETBACK REQUIREMENTS IF LOCATED IN A SIDE OR REAR YARD
12		WHICH ADJOINS A PUBLIC STREET RIGHT-OF-WAY, PROVIDED THE
13		PROPERTY IS NOT A CORNER LOT. ON CORNER LOTS, FENCES AND WALLS
14		SIX FEET OR LESS IN HEIGHT SHALL NOT BE SUBJECT TO SETBACK
15		REQUIREMENTS IF LOCATED IN A SIDE YARD WHICH ADJOINS A PUBLIC
16		STREET RIGHT-OF-WAY, PROVIDED THE FENCE COMPLIES WITH SECTION
17		128.A.9.E, BELOW, AND DOES NOT ENCROACH INTO A FRONT SETBCK
18		FROM A PUBLIC STREET RIGHT-OF-WAY.
19	E.	On a corner lot, closed fences and walls over three feet in
20		HEIGHT SHALL NOT BE LOCATED WITHIN A TRIANGLE FORMED BY THE
21		PROPERTY CORNER WHERE THE STREETS INTERSECT AND THE POINTS ON
22		THE PROPERTY LINE TWENTY-FIVE (25) FEET FROM THE PROPERTY
23		CORNER WHERE THE STREETS INTERSECT.
24	[[d.]] F.	Where the ground is higher on one side of a fence or retaining wall than
25		another, the height of a fence shall be measured on the side where the
26		ground is lower.
27	[[e.]] G	. Other than the exceptions noted above, fences must comply with all bulk
28		requirements of the applicable zoning district.
29		
30	Section 2. And Be It	Further Enacted by the County Council of Howard County,
31		ct shall become effective 61 days after its enactment.

BY THE COUNCIL

This Bill, having been approved by the Executive and returned to the Council, stands enacted on 2009.
Stephen M. LeGendre, Administrator to the County Council
Stephen M. LeGendre, Administrator to the County Council
BY THE COUNCIL
This Bill, having been passed by the yeas and nays of two-thirds of the members of the Council notwithstanding the objections of the Executive, stands enacted on, 2009.
Stephen M. LeGendre, Administrator to the County Council
BY THE COUNCIL
This Bill, having received neither the approval nor the disapproval of the Executive within ten days of its presentation, stands enacted on, 2009.
Stephen M. LeGendre, Administrator to the County Council
BY THE COUNCIL
This Bill, not having been considered on final reading within the time required by Charter, stands failed for want of consideration on, 2009.
Stephen M. LeGendre, Administrator to the County Council
BY THE COUNCIL
This Bill, having been disapproved by the Executive and having failed on passage upon consideration by the Council stands failed on, 2009.
Stephen M. LeGendre, Administrator to the County Council
BY THE COUNCIL
This Bill, the withdrawal of which received a vote of two-thirds (2/3) of the members of the Council, is withdrawn from further consideration on, 2009.
Stephen M. LeGendre, Administrator to the County Council