Amendment 22 to Council Bill 58-2009 (as amended)

SPONSORED BY: Calvin Ball Legislative Day No. 2 Mary Kay Sigaty Date: February 1, 2010 Jennifer Terrasa and at the request of the County Executive

Amendment No. 22

1	(This amendment establishes a Transportation Demand Management Plan in the General Plan
2 3	and removes all references to the Transportation Management Association in both the Transit and Collaboration sections of the plan.)
4	and Condoration sections of the plan.)
5	
6	
7	In the attachment, on pages 21 through 23, strike the Section entitled, "2.4 TRANSIT", in
8	its entirety, and substitute the following:
9	"2.4 TRANSIT "Improve and expand transit service, reinforcing downtown as the central
10	hub for the local bus system, adding a downtown circulator shuttle and setting the stage
11	for the possibility of future bus rapid transit and rail mass transit." —Downtown Columbia:
12	A Community Vision
13	
14	
15	In order to reduce reliance on single-occupant vehicles as the predominant mode of
16	transportation to and within Downtown Columbia, one of the key components of this Plan
17	is to develop and implement alternative options for people to move around as well as to
18	and from downtown. This will be one of the primary responsibilities of the Downtown
19	Columbia Partnership.
20	
21	TRANSPORTATION DEMAND MANAGEMENT PLAN (TDMP)
22	
23	This Plan recommends development and implementation of a Transportation Demand
24	Management Plan (TDMP) which may be coordinated in conjunction with the Downtown
25	Columbia Partnership and Howard County. In addition, cooperation among General
26	Growth Properties, Howard Community College, Howard General Hospital, Howard
27	County, the Columbia Association, as well as other employers in Downtown Columbia is

1	envisioned in both the development and implementation of the TDMP.
2	The TDMP should include recommendations for programs aimed at increasing the use of
3	transit, walking, bicycling and ride-sharing for both commute and non-commute trips. In
4	developing these recommendations, the TDMP should consider both the short and long
5	term transportation initiatives discussed in the remainder of this section. In addition, this
6	TDMP could include such things as: (1) installation of physical facilities such as bike
7	racks and way finding signage, information kiosks, bus stops and the new transit center;
8	(2) services including promotion of flexible work hours, promotion of transit benefits
9	programs, promotion of the use of ZIP cars, distribution of ridesharing and transit
10	information, formation and maintenance of a ride matching database, development of
11	websites, etc; and (3) parking management programs such as reserved carpool/vanpool
12	parking, parking information systems and reduced parking ratios.
13	
14	To maximize the effectiveness of the TDMP, it should be developed and implemented as
15	early in the Downtown Columbia revitalization and redevelopment process as possible.
16	
17	HIERARCHY OF SERVICES
18	This Plan also seeks to provide a hierarchy of new and improved transit facilities and
19	services that would reduce auto use, improve mobility for people of all ages and physical
20	abilities and support a more pedestrian-friendly and walkable environment. This
21	hierarchy of services would help facilitate short, medium and long distance trips within
22	Downtown Columbia, and connect Downtown Columbia with other parts of Columbia and
23	Howard County, and to Washington, Baltimore and the region. These services could in
24	the future converge at a new transit center where passengers could transfer between
25	lines in a comfortable, attractive and interesting environment. This Plan therefore
26	recommends that a suitable site be provided within the downtown area for a new transit
27	center, and that the TDMP address the coordination of these various levels of service.
28	
29	BICYCLE ROUTES
30	Bicycle Routes may be incorporated into roadways, as part of a shared pedestrian
31	pathway system, or as dedicated bikeways. As indicated in this Plan, new downtown
32	infrastructure and bicycle routes will be developed by GGP and other developers as a
33	part of their infrastructure frontage improvements. See Section 4.2 for a full discussion of

1	bicycle improvement phasing, and Exhibit I for the proposed circulation plan.
2	DOWNTOWN COLUMBIA CIRCULATOR SHUTTLE BUS SERVICE
3	A key component of this Plan is to ensure that a circulator system serving Downtown
4	Columbia is developed and maintained. Shuttle bus service will reduce Downtown
5	Columbia traffic as residents, employees and visitors "park once," then walk or take the
6	shuttle to other destinations in Downtown Columbia. Frequent and attractive shuttle
7	service could be provided along a double loop route. This service will provide easy
8	access to all parts of Downtown Columbia. Shuttle stops will be co-located at Howard
9	Transit stops and at parking garages to facilitate easy transfer. The shuttle may also
10	include a route that provides service to Howard Community College and Howard County
11	General Hospital.
12	
13	HOWARD TRANSIT IMPROVEMENTS
14	Future improvements by Howard County to existing Howard Transit service might include
15	new bus routes, higher frequency of service and improved stops and service information.
16	A new and improved centrally located transit hub could include sheltered waiting areas,
17	transit information booth, real-time service information, adjacent cafes and convenience
18	stores. Future enhancements may be made to the connections between Downtown
19	Columbia and the Village Centers, Gateway, Fort Meade, and other areas outside of
20	Downtown Columbia.
21	
22	The downtown transit hub should be appropriately located within Downtown Columbia,
23	and preferably within a five-to-ten minute walk from each of the downtown
24	neighborhoods. The center will form a key transfer point between a range of services,
25	including the Downtown Columbia circulator shuttle, Howard Transit lines and potential
26	future regional bus lines.
27	
28	LONG TERM REGIONAL IMPROVEMENTS
29	As recognized by General Plan 2000, transit service requires significant public sector
30	subsidies. To achieve even modest shifts from autos to transit requires a serious
31	commitment of capital and operating funds from local and state governments.
32	
33	In this regard, Columbia is not presently a strong market for potential rapid transit

1	extensions due to its low density and dispersed single-land uses. However, the
2	development recommended by this Plan and the anticipated private investment in
3	Downtown Columbia would provide a strong incentive to the State and County to improve
4	existing regional bus transit service and to implement new services due to the following:
5	
6	Mixed uses (providing strong passenger demand throughout the day in both
7	directions)
8	Higher density (providing many more people – jobs and residents - within walking
9	distance)
10	Integrated local transit (Howard Transit and Downtown Columbia Circulator
11	Shuttle)
12	<u>A relocated and enhanced transit hub</u>
13	
14	By recommending additional development downtown and through the implementation of
15	the recommended TDMP, Downtown Columbia Circulator Shuttle, improved pedestrian
16	linkages and new transit center, this Plan supports new and improved regional transit
17	links to Columbia, including regional bus transit (RBT)/commuter bus; bus rapid transit
18	(BRT); light rail transit (LRT); and extension of the Metro Yellow Line. Future
19	development in Downtown Columbia would support transit directly through the new
20	Downtown Columbia Partnership (discussed later in this Plan) and the new transit center,
21	new bus shelters, downtown shuttle funding and improved pedestrian and bicycle
22	connections to Downtown Columbia and transit facilities.".
23	
24	On pages 50 and 51, strike the Section entitled, "5.2 COLLABORATION", in its entirety,
25	and substitute the following:
26	"5.2 COLLABORATION "Encourage a partnership in planning and implementation,
27	realizing that many of the recommended strategies will depend on collaboration among
28	the County, private property owners, residents, business owners and community
29	organizations."
30	—Downtown Columbia: A Community Vision
31	
32	This Plan proposes the establishment of a private non-profit Downtown Columbia
33	Partnership (DCP) organization to carry out important services and community functions

1	in Downtown Columbia. The mission of the Downtown Partnership will be to promote
2	economic development in Downtown Columbia, transportation initiatives as described in
3	Section 2.2 and in the feasibility study of the Downtown Columbia Circulator Shuttle,
4	market and promote Downtown Columbia and its businesses, promote public safety;
5	educate and provide security patrols; implement downtown beautification and
6	maintenance projects, cultural arts programs, sustainability programs; and to coordinate
7	the programming of public spaces in Downtown Columbia.
8	
9	It is envisioned the Downtown Columbia Partnership would be organized as a Section
10	501(c) (3) nonprofit corporation. The Downtown Columbia Partnership would be
11	managed by a Board of Directors that could be comprised of representatives of the
12	County, General Growth Properties, the Columbia Association and other representatives
13	of businesses and individuals living within Columbia. The Downtown Partnership would
14	be established prior to issuance of the first building permit under this Plan.
15	
16	Each owner of property developed with new commercial uses pursuant to the Downtown
17	Revitalization Zoning Regulations shall participate as a member in the Downtown
18	Columbia Partnership It is envisioned that the Downtown Columbia Partnership will be
19	funded in part by an annual per-square-foot charge in an amount of twenty-five cents
20	(\$0.25) per square foot of Gross Leasable Area to the Downtown Columbia Partnership
21	assessed on each property developed with new commercial uses pursuant to the
22	Downtown Revitalization Zoning Regulations.
23	
24	The Downtown Columbia Partnership is one suggested means of addressing many
25	ongoing matters of importance to Downtown Columbia. A suitable alternative may be
26	developed as the Plan progresses that will also meet the objectives identified above.".
27	
28	