Introduced 7/4/5
Public hearing 7/20/5
Council action 3/15
Executive action 8/10/15
Effective date 0/10/15

County Council of Howard County, Maryland

2015 Legislative Session

Legislative day # 8

BILL NO. 36 - 2015 (ZRA – 153)

Introduced by the Chairperson at the request of Michael L. Buch

AN ACT amending the Howard County Zoning Regulations' R-APT (Residential: Apartments)

District to repeal change the maximum building length limitation; and generally relating to the R-APT (Residential: Apartments) District.

Introduced and read first time , 2015. Ordered posted	and hearing scheduled.
	By order Assica Feldmark, Administrator to the County Council
Having been posted & notice of time & place of hearing and title of Bill having	ng been published according to Charter, the Bill was read for a second time at a
public hearing on July 20, 2015.	By order Jessica Feldmark, Administrator to the County Council
This Bill was read the third time	, Passed with amendments , Failed
	By order Ossica Columnia Jessica Feldmark, Administrator to the County Council
Sealed with the County Seal and presented to the County Executive for appro	val this
	By order Jessica Feldmark, Administrator to the County Council
Approved/vetoed by the County Executive on	Allan H. Kittleman, County Executive

NOTE; [[text in brackets]] indicates deletions from existing law; TEXT IN ALL CAPITALS indicates additions to existing law. Strikeout indicates material deleted by amendment; <u>Underlining</u> indicates material added by amendment.

1	Section 1. Be it enacted by the County Council of Howard County, Maryland, that the Howard
2	County Zoning Regulations are hereby amended to read as follows:
3	
4	By repealing amending and reenacting without amendments:
5	Subsection A. "Purpose"
6	
7	By repealing:
8	Item 1.c. "Building Length" from Section 112.1: "R-APT (Residential: Apartments) District"
9	Subsection D. "Bulk Regulations"
10	
11	
12	Howard County Zoning Regulations
13	
14	SECTION 112.1: - R-APT (Residential: Apartments) District
15	
16	A. Purpose
17	The R-APT district is established to provide the opportunity for higher density apartments to
18	support adjacent retail areas and services, enhance transportation hubs and provide a land
19	use transition between more intense uses and lower density residential districts. It is intended
20	that R-APT districts will adjoin arterial roadways and should have opportunities for
21	pedestrian and bicycle access to the surrounding areas.
22	
23	D. Bulk Regulations
24	1. For all uses, the following maximum limitations shall apply:
25	a. Height
26	(1) Structure with minimum setback
27 · 28	(2) Structure with an additional 1 foot in height for every 2 feet of setback above t minimum
29	b. Density
30	ffc. Building length
31	However, the Director of the Department of Planning and Zoning may approve
32	greater length, up to a maximum of 300-600 feet, based on a determination THAT TI
33 34	GREATER LENGTH IS NEEDED TO ADDRESS NOISE MITIGATION OR SI CONSIDERATIONS AND that the design of the building will mitigate the visual impact
35	the increased length.]]-BY USING ARCHITECTURAL TREATMENTS TO REDUCE TI
36	PERCEIVED VISUAL MASS.

- Section 2. And Be It Further Enacted by the County Council of Howard County, Maryland, that this Act
- shall become effective 61 days after its enactment.

Amendment / to Council Bill 36-2015

BY: Greg Fox

Legislative Day No: 9 Date: July 31, 2015

Amendment No.

(This amendment would limit the building length to 300ft. However, the length may be exceeded under certain conditions).
On the title page, in the second line of the title, after the first "to" strike "repeal" and
substitute "change".
On page 1, in line 7, strike "repealing" and substitute "amending". On the same page, in
line 30, strike the double brackets. On the same line, strike "120" and substitute
" <u>300</u> ".
Also on page 1, in line 32, strike "300" and substitute "600" and after "determination"
insert "THAT THE GREATER LENGTH IS NEEDED TO ADDRESS NOISE MITIGATION OR
SITE CONSIDERATIONS AND". And in line 33, strike the double brackets and the
period and insert "BY USING ARCHITECTURAL TREATMENTS TO REDUCE THE
PERCEIVED VISUAL MASS.".

MILEO Justica Status

Introduced
Public hearing
Council action
Executive action
Effective date

County Council of Howard County, Maryland

2015 Legislative Session

Legislative day #_______

BILL NO. <u>36-2015 (ZRA-153)</u>

Introduced by the Chairperson at the request of Michael L. Buch

AN ACT amending the Howard County Zoning Regulations' R-APT (Residential: Apartments)

District to repeal the maximum building length limitation; and generally relating to the R-APT (Residential: Apartments) District.

Introduced and read first time, 2015. Ordered po	osted and hearing scheduled.
	By order Jessica Feldmark, Administrator to the County Council
Having been posted & notice of time & place of hearing and title of Bill	having been published according to Charter, the Bill was read for a second time at a
public hearing on	, 2015.
	By order
This Bill was read the third time, 2015 and Passe	ed, Passed with amendments, Failed
	By order Jessica Feldmark, Administrator to the County Council
Sealed with the County Seal and presented to the County Executive for a	approval this day of, 2015 at a.m./p.m.
	By order Jessica Feldmark, Administrator to the County Council
Approved/vetoed by the County Executive on,	2015.
	Allan H. Kittleman, County Executive

NOTE; [[text in brackets]] indicates deletions from existing law; TEXT IN ALL CAPITALS indicates additions to existing law.

Strikeout indicates material deleted by amendment; Underlining indicates material added by amendment.



1	Section 1. Be it enacted by the County Council of Howard County, Maryland, that the Howard
2	County Zoning Regulations are hereby amended to read as follows:
3	
4	By repealing and reenacting without amendments:
5	Subsection A. "Purpose"
6	
7	By repealing:
8	Item 1.c. "Building Length" from Section 112.1: "R-APT (Residential: Apartments) District"
9	Subsection D. "Bulk Regulations"
10	
11	
12	Howard County Zoning Regulations
13	
14	SECTION 112.1: - R-APT (Residential: Apartments) District
15	
16	A. Purpose
17	The R-APT district is established to provide the opportunity for higher density apartments to
18	support adjacent retail areas and services, enhance transportation hubs and provide a land
19	use transition between more intense uses and lower density residential districts. It is intended
20	that R-APT districts will adjoin arterial roadways and should have opportunities for
21	pedestrian and bicycle access to the surrounding areas.
22	
23	D. Bulk Regulations
2.4	1 E Marco de Callania e manimum limitatione de ll annim
24	1. For all uses, the following maximum limitations shall apply:
25	a. Height (1) Structure with minimum setback
26	
27 28	(2) Structure with an additional 1 foot in height for every 2 feet of setback above the minimum80 feet
29	b. Density
30	[[c. Building length
31	However, the Director of the Department of Planning and Zoning may approve a
32 33	greater length, up to a maximum of 300 feet, based on a determination that the design of the building will mitigate the visual impact of the increased length.]]
	of the squame unabase are visual unbase of the meseased tenemill
34	Section 2 And Do It Funth on Franciad by the County Council of House of County Manufact that this Ac
35	Section 2. And Be It Further Enacted by the County Council of Howard County, Maryland, that this Act
36	shall become effective 61 days after its enactment.



BY THE COUNCIL

Angust 10, 2015.
()emca deld mark
Jessica Feldmark, Administrator to the County Council
BY THE COUNCIL
This Bill, having been passed by the yeas and nays of two-thirds of the members of the Council notwithstanding the objections of the Executive, stands enacted on, 2015.
Jessica Feldmark, Administrator to the County Council
BY THE COUNCIL
This Bill, having received neither the approval nor the disapproval of the Executive within ten days of its presentation, stands enacted on, 2015.
Jessica Feldmark, Administrator to the County Council
BY THE COUNCIL
BY THE COUNCIL This Bill, not having been considered on final reading within the time required by Charter, stands failed for want of consideration on, 2015.
This Bill, not having been considered on final reading within the time required by Charter, stands failed for want of
This Bill, not having been considered on final reading within the time required by Charter, stands failed for want of
This Bill, not having been considered on final reading within the time required by Charter, stands failed for want of consideration on, 2015.
This Bill, not having been considered on final reading within the time required by Charter, stands failed for want of consideration on
This Bill, not having been considered on final reading within the time required by Charter, stands failed for want of consideration on
This Bill, not having been considered on final reading within the time required by Charter, stands failed for want of consideration on
This Bill, not having been considered on final reading within the time required by Charter, stands failed for want of consideration on, 2015. Jessica Feldmark, Administrator to the County Council BY THE COUNCIL This Bill, having been disapproved by the Executive and having failed on passage upon consideration by the Council stands failed on, 2015. Jessica Feldmark, Administrator to the County Council
This Bill, not having been considered on final reading within the time required by Charter, stands failed for want of consideration on
This Bill, not having been considered on final reading within the time required by Charter, stands failed for want of consideration on, 2015. Jessica Feldmark, Administrator to the County Council BY THE COUNCIL This Bill, having been disapproved by the Executive and having failed on passage upon consideration by the Council stands failed on, 2015. Jessica Feldmark, Administrator to the County Council
This Bill, not having been considered on final reading within the time required by Charter, stands failed for want of consideration on