

MKS
JF

Rosemont Homeowners Association, Inc.
c/o Douglas Isokait
10442 Rosemont Dr.
Laurel, MD 20723

HOWARD COUNTY COUNCIL
RECEIVED

2015 SEP -2 P 1:31

isokait@verizon.net

August 27, 2015

Council Member Mary Kay Sigaty
Howard County Council
George Howard Building
3430 Court House Drive
Ellicott City, MD 21043

RE: COUNCIL BILL 37-2015 (ZRA-155)

Dear Ms. Sigaty:

The Rosemont Homeowners Association consists of 35 households residing on Rosemont and Leslie Drives in North Laurel.

The purpose of this letter is to once again express our opposition to amending Section 131.0.N of the County Zoning Regulations as proposed by Jonathan and Sonya Miller (Miller Construction) in Council Bill 37-2015(ZRA-155).

The Miller Construction site lies behind and contiguous with some of our members properties. It consists of what appears to be a prefabricated building which provides material storage and garages equipment. Surrounding the structure is a cleared area where cement mixers and other construction equipment often reside. Of course items must be moved about the site and to and from it.

We remain convinced that this kind of use in a residential district is inappropriate.

Regarding the proposed amendment, our concerns focus on three areas: the nature of the testimony thus far; our desire that the Council evaluate the proposal on its technical merits; and our concerns should Miller Construction, and similar industrial businesses, be established in residential zones.

The nature of the testimony regarding this ZRA thus far focused almost solely on the Petitioner's character. We believe that by now it has been firmly established that the Petitioner is an upstanding and contributing citizen. In fact we also share this opinion.

commercial zoned areas where the use is intended and much more appropriate.

We believe that these findings support rational separation of land uses within the existing regulations.

We would also point out that 2 acres is not much bigger than a typical subdivision lot. It would seem that there may be many lots of 2 acres or more in the R-20 district. This preponderance may only further encourage Conditional Use/Special Exception requests.


Finally, we have concerns should Miller Construction, and similar industrial businesses, operate in residential zones.

The realities of masonry contracting is that equipment and material must be moved and operated. This activity will occur in the backyards of some of our members. The basic fact is that servicing Miller Construction's commercial clients will require an active site situated in a residential neighborhood. These conditions could be duplicated elsewhere in the R-20 district if the amendment is approved.


Most businesses are profit-seeking by definition. If Miller Construction obtains profit opportunities there may be pressure to enlarge the business, or operate it more vigorously. Again, this dynamic could be repeated elsewhere if the amendment is approved.

Finally, the proposed amendment redefines the concept of "home-based" contracting businesses. A masonry contractor serving commercial clients is industrial in nature, moving heavy materials and running noisy equipment. We believe it is a stretch to call this kind of business "home-based," as if to imply it is plumber with a van, or a carpenter with a pickup. It is clearly an industrial operation embedded in a residential district.

In conclusion, we hope that the Council bases its evaluation of the proposed amendment on its regulatory implications and the potential impacts of allowing industrial uses in residential neighborhoods. Basing your decision on the preponderance of character witnesses may not serve the public interest- and that is what the Council, and this process, is about.



Ms. Jodi DeStefano, President
Rosemont Homeowners Assoc.



Douglas Isokait, Secretary
Rosemont Homeowners Assoc.