

**COUNTY COUNCIL  
OF  
HOWARD COUNTY, MARYLAND**

**2012 Legislative Session**

**Legislative Day No. 10**

07/26/2012

**HOWARD COUNTY TO WIT:**

The Chairman called the legislative session to order at **4:48** p.m.

Mary Kay Sigaty, Chairperson; Courtney Watson, Vice Chairperson; Calvin Ball, Council Member; Greg Fox, Council Member; and Jennifer Terrasa, Council Member, were present.

Stephen LeGendre, Administrator to the County Council; Margaret Ann Nolan, County Solicitor; James Vannoy, Assistant County Attorney; Theodore Wimberly and Jeffery Meyers, Senior Administrative Analysts to the Council; and Jennifer Sager, Legislative Coordinator were also present.

**APPROVE MINUTES**

The Chairperson moved to approve the minutes for the Legislative Public Hearing – July 16, 2012. The motion was seconded by Ms. Watson.

The roll call vote called by the Chairperson on the motion was: Yea: Council Members Ball, Sigaty, Terrasa, and Watson; Abstain: Council Member Fox.

The motion to approve the minutes passed.

The Chairperson moved to approve the minutes for the Closed Meeting – July 20, 2012 and the Legislative Work Session – July 23, 2012. The motion was seconded by Ms. Watson.

The roll call vote called by the Chairperson on the motion was: Yea: Council Members Ball, Fox, Sigaty, Terrasa, and Watson.

The motion to approve the minutes passed.

**FINAL CONSIDERATION**

The Chairperson moved to remove Council Resolution 87-2012 from the table. The motion was seconded by Ms. Watson.

The roll call vote called by the Chairperson on the motion was: Yea: Council Members

Ball, Fox, Sigaty, Terrasa, and Watson.

The motion passed.

### **Appointment**

Council Resolution 87-2012 - A RESOLUTION confirming the appointment of Caroline Harper to the Housing and Community Development Board.

The Chairperson moved to approve Council Resolution 87-2012. The motion was seconded by Ms. Watson.

The roll call vote called by the Chairperson on the motion was: Yea: Council Members Ball, Fox, Sigaty, Terrasa and Watson. Council Resolution 87-2012 passed.

The Chairperson moved to table Council Resolution No. 108-2012. The motion was seconded by Ms. Watson.

The roll call vote called by the Chairperson on the motion was: Yea: Council Members Ball, Fox, Sigaty, Terrasa and Watson. Council Resolution No. 108-2012 was tabled.

---

### **Financial**

Council Bill 27-2012 - AN ACT for the purpose of authorizing and empowering Howard County, Maryland to borrow money in the aggregate principal amount of up to \$5,216,000 on its full faith and credit, and issue and sell its bonds therefor, to be used to pay costs of Capital Project No. E1027 (Longfellow Elem Addition), more particularly described in the County's 2013 Capital Budget, and to pay costs of issuance of such bonds; authorizing the County to issue bond anticipation notes or to enter into installment purchase agreements for payment of portions of such costs; and to levy taxes upon the assessable property within the County sufficient, together with other available funds, to pay the debt service on such obligations; and providing for and determining various matters in connection therewith.

The Chairperson moved to approve Council Bill 27-2012. The motion was seconded by Ms. Watson.

The roll call vote called by the Chairperson on the motion was: Yea: Council Members Ball, Fox, Sigaty, Terrasa and Watson. Council Bill 27-2012 passed.

---

### **General**

Council Resolution 110-2012 - A RESOLUTION amending the Food Service Facilities Regulations; adding and amending certain definitions related to farmer's markets and to temporary and special food service facilities; clarifying the training requirements for certified managers; clarifying certain licensure provisions; and generally related to food service facilities regulations in Howard County.

The Chairperson moved to approve Council Resolution 110-2012. The motion was seconded by Ms. Watson.

The roll call vote called by the Chairperson on the motion was: Yea: Council Members Ball, Fox, Sigaty, Terrasa and Watson. Council Resolution 110-2012 passed.

Council Resolution 111-2012 - A RESOLUTION adopting a revised Food Service Facility Fee Schedule that includes certain farmer's market fees.

The Chairperson moved to approve Council Resolution 111-2012. The motion was seconded by Ms. Watson.

The roll call vote called by the Chairperson on the motion was: Yea: Council Members Ball, Fox, Sigaty, Terrasa and Watson. Council Resolution 111-2012 passed.

Council Resolution 112-2012 - A RESOLUTION designating Ellicott City as a Sustainable Community for approval either directly by the Department of Housing and Community Development of the State of Maryland or through the Smart Growth Subcabinet of the State of Maryland.

The Chairperson moved to approve Council Resolution 112-2012. The motion was seconded by Ms. Watson.

The Chairperson moved to approve Amendment 1 to Council Resolution 112-2012 as follows:

*(This amendment provides for certain authority.)*

On page 1, in line 27, insert:

**“BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Executive, the Director of the Department of Planning and Zoning, or the Deputy Director of the Department of Planning and Zoning are hereby authorized to execute documents and take any action necessary to carry out the intent of this resolution; and”**

The motion was seconded by Ms. Watson.

The roll call vote called by the Chairperson was: Yea: Council Members Ball, Fox, Sigaty, Terrasa and Watson.

The motion to approve Amendment 1 to Council Resolution 112-2012 passed.

The roll call vote called by the Chairperson on the motion to approve Council Resolution 112-2012 as amended was: Yea: Council Members Ball, Fox, Sigaty, Terrasa, and Watson. Council Resolution 112-2012 passed, as amended.

Council Resolution 113-2012 - A RESOLUTION pursuant to Section 4.201 of the Howard County Code, declaring that certain real property comprising approximately 13.5332 acres owned by Howard County, Maryland located at 6566 Belmont Woods Road, Elkridge, Maryland, known as the “Dobbin Property”, is no longer needed by the County for public purposes; authorizing the County Executive to sell the property; waiving the advertising and bidding requirements of Section 4.201 of the Howard County Code; and providing that the County Executive is not bound to sell the property if he finds that it may have a further public use and submits his finding to the County Council for its consideration.

The Chairperson moved to approve Council Resolution 113-2012. The motion was seconded by Ms. Watson.

The Chairperson moved to approve Amendment 1 to Council Resolution 113-2012 as follows:

*(This amendment would state that the Council’s intention that proceeds from the Dobbin Property sale be used for the maintenance of Belmont).*

On page 1, immediately following line 9, insert the following :

“WHEREAS, the County has expressed its intention, at numerous public hearings, to use the proceeds from the sale of the Dobbin Property for the maintenance of the Belmont estate and the County Council endorses and supports that intention as its stated objective and goal and good public policy; and”.

The motion was seconded by Ms. Watson.

The roll call vote called by the Chairperson was: Yea: Council Members Ball, Fox, Sigaty, Terrasa and Watson.

The motion to approve Amendment 1 to Council Resolution 113-2012 passed.

The roll call vote called by the Chairperson on the motion to approve Council Resolution 113-2012 as amended was: Yea: Council Members Ball, Fox, Sigaty, Terrasa, and Watson. Council Resolution 113-2012 passed, as amended.

Council Resolution 114-2012 - A RESOLUTION pursuant to Section 4.201 of the Howard County Code, authorizing the County Executive to grant a permanent water main connection easement and a permanent sewer house connection easement to adjacent property owners Charles V. Lewis, Jr. and Leslie M. Ryan on property owned by the County located along Burrows Lane in Ellicott City; waiving the advertising and bidding requirements of Section 4.201 of the Howard County Code; and providing that the County Executive is not bound to grant said easements if he finds that the land may have a further public use and submits his finding to the County Council for its consideration.

The Chairperson moved to approve Council Resolution 114-2012. The motion was seconded by Ms. Watson.

The roll call vote called by the Chairperson on the motion was: Yea: Council Members Ball, Fox, Sigaty, Terrasa and Watson. Council Resolution 114-2012 passed.

Council Resolution 116-2012 - A RESOLUTION adopting the Housing Unit Allocation Chart for Fiscal Year 2013 pursuant to the Adequate Public Facilities Act of Howard County.

The Chairperson moved to approve Council Resolution 116-2012. The motion was seconded by Ms. Watson.

The Chairperson moved to approve Amendment 1 to Council Resolution 116-2012 as follows:

*(This amendment substitutes a revised Housing Unit Allocation Chart.)*

Remove Exhibit A, attached to the Resolution as introduced, and substitute a revised Exhibit A, as attached to this amendment.

The motion was seconded by Ms. Watson.

The roll call vote called by the Chairperson on the motion was: Yea: Council Members Ball, Fox, Sigaty, Terrasa and Watson. The motion to approve Amendment 1 to Council Resolution 116-2012 passed.

The roll call vote called by the Chairperson to approve Council Resolution 116 as amended was: Yea: Council Members Ball, Fox, Sigaty, Terrasa and Watson. Council Resolution 116-2012 passed, as amended.

Council Resolution 115-2012 - A RESOLUTION adopting the Open/Closed Chart, pursuant to the Adequate Public Facilities Act of Howard County, to designate the school regions and school districts that are open for residential development.

The Chairperson moved to approve Council Resolution 115-2012. The motion was seconded by Ms. Watson.

The roll call vote called by the Chairperson on the motion was: Yea: Council Members Ball, Fox, Sigaty, Terrasa and Watson. Council Resolution 115-2012 passed.

The Chairperson moved to remove Council Resolutions 99-2012, 100-2012, 101-2012, 102-2012, 103-2012, 104-2012, 105-2012, and 106-2012 from the table. The motion was seconded by Ms. Watson.

The roll call vote called by the Chairperson on the motion was: Yea: Council Members Ball, Fox, Sigaty, Terrasa, and Watson.

The motion passed.

Council Resolution 99-2012 - A RESOLUTION proposing to amend Section 202 “County Council” of the Howard County Charter by changing from three to four the maximum number of terms the Council Members can serve; and submitting this amendment to the voters of Howard County for their adoption or rejection in accordance with Article X of the Howard County Charter and Article XIA of the Maryland Constitution.

The Council Member Ball moved to withdraw Council Resolution 99-2012. The motion was seconded by Ms. Sigaty.

The roll call vote called by the Chairperson on the motion was: Yea: Council Members Ball, Fox, Sigaty, Terrasa and Watson. Council Resolution 99-2012 was withdrawn.

Council Resolution 100-2012 - A RESOLUTION proposing to amend the Howard County Charter to make various technical changes; to amend Section 202 “The County Council” by removing an obsolete factor to be considered in redistricting; to amend Section 501 “The County Board of Appeals” by correcting a citation to the Annotated Code of Maryland; to amend Section 601 “Fiscal year and tax year definitions”, Section 615A “Budget Stabilization”, and Section 615B “Restrictions on Use of Surplus Revenues” in order to consolidate certain duplicate definitions; to amend Section 709 “Prohibitions” by correcting a typographical error; and submitting this amendment to the voters of Howard County for their adoption or rejection in accordance with Article X of the Howard County Charter and Article XIA of the Maryland Constitution.

The Chairperson moved to approve Council Resolution 100-2012. The motion was seconded by Ms. Watson.

The Chairperson moved to approve Amendment 1 to Council Resolution 100-2012 as follows:

*(This amendment removes references to the Metropolitan District.)*

On the title page, in line 7, after “definitions;” insert “to amend Section 606 ‘Action on the annual budget and appropriation ordinance by the County Council’ and Section 914 ‘Definitions and rules of construction’ by removing obsolete references;””

On page 3, in line 25, insert the following:

“**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** by the County Council of Howard County, Maryland that Section 606 “Action on the annual budget and appropriation ordinance by the County Council”, of the Howard County Charter, is hereby amended to read as follows:

**Section 606. - Action on the annual budget and appropriation ordinance by the County Council.**

After the public hearing specified in the preceding section [605], the Council may decrease or delete any items in the budget ordinance except those required by the public general laws of this State and except any provisions for debt service on obligations then outstanding or for estimated cash deficits. The Council shall have no power to change the form of the budget as submitted by the Executive, to alter the revenue estimates or to increase any expenditure recommended by the Executive for current or capital purposes unless expressly provided in State law and except to correct mathematical errors. The adoption of the budget ordinance, which shall include only the current expense budget and the capital budget, shall be by the affirmative vote of not less than a majority of the Council on an ordinance to be known as the Annual Budget and Appropriation Ordinance of Howard County. The capital program, as defined in this Charter, shall be adopted by the Council by its separate resolution. Any borrowing to finance capital projects must be authorized by an existing law of the General Assembly of Maryland [(including laws authorizing borrowing on behalf of the Metropolitan Commission)] or by a law of the Council adopted in accordance with the Charter. The Council may adopt from time to time bond issue authorization ordinances pursuant to an enabling law or laws then in force and effect to provide the means of financing such capital projects as are to be financed from borrowing. Such bond issue authorization ordinances are not subject to referendum and shall take effect from the date of their enactment. All of said ordinances referred to in this Section shall be exempt from the

executive veto. The Annual Budget and Appropriation Ordinance shall be adopted by the Council on or before the first day of the last month of the fiscal year currently ending, and if the Council fails to do so, the proposed current expense budget submitted by the Executive shall stand adopted, and funds for the expenditures proposed in the current expense budget shall stand appropriated as fully and to the same extent as if favorable action thereon had been taken by the Council.”

On page 7, in line 25, insert the following:

**AND BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED** *by the County Council of Howard County, Maryland that Section 914 “Definitions and rules of construction”, of the Howard County Charter, is hereby amended to read as follows:*

**Section 914. Definitions and rules of construction.**

[(m)] The "Howard County Metropolitan Commission" means the agency appointed by the Howard County Board of County Commissioners in accordance with Chapter 991 of the Acts of the Maryland General Assembly of 1943 and dealt with in Sections 165 through 192 of the Code of Public Local Laws of Howard County (1965 edition as amended and supplemented).]

[(n)](M) The phrase "two-thirds of the members of the Council" shall mean at least two-thirds of all members and, in the case of a five-member Council, shall mean four members.

[(o)](N) The term "county government" shall include all offices, departments, institutions, boards, commissions, and agencies established under the Howard County Charter, law or resolution, and their officers, agents and employees.”

The motion was seconded by Ms. Watson.

The roll call vote called by the Chairperson was: Yea: Council Members Ball, Fox, Sigaty, Terrasa and Watson.

The motion to approve Amendment 1 to Council Resolution 100-2012 passed.

The roll call vote called by the Chairperson on the motion to approve Council Resolution 100-2012 as amended was: Yea: Council Members Ball, Fox, Sigaty, Terrasa, and Watson.



Council Resolution 100-2012 passed, as amended.

Council Resolution 101-2012 - A RESOLUTION proposing to amend Section 208 “Sessions of the County Council; quorum; rules of procedure”, Section 209 “Legislative Procedure”, Section 210 “Recording, printing and compilation of laws”, and Section 604 “Filing of proposed budget; copies” of the Howard County Charter by changing various publication and advertising requirements to provide that certain information also be made available in at least one electronic medium; proposing to amend Section 209 “Legislative procedure” of the Howard County Charter by permitting the County Council to extend the amount of time to post emergency legislation; and submitting this amendment to the voters of Howard County for their adoption or rejection in accordance with Article X of the Howard County Charter and Article XIA of the Maryland Constitution.

The Chairperson moved to approve Council Resolution 101-2012. The motion was seconded by Mr. Fox.

The roll call vote called by the Chairperson on the motion was: Yea: Council Members Ball, Fox, Sigaty, Terrasa and Watson. Council Resolution 101-2012 passed.

Council Resolution 102-2012 - A RESOLUTION proposing to amend Section 209 “Legislative procedure” of the Howard County Charter by permitting the County Council to extend the amount of time to consider legislation before the Council; and submitting this amendment to the voters of Howard County for their adoption or rejection in accordance with Article X of the Howard County Charter and Article XIA of the Maryland Constitution.

The Chairperson moved to approve Council Resolution 102-2012. The motion was seconded by Ms. Watson.

The roll call vote called by the Chairperson on the motion was: Yea: Council Member Fox. Nay: Council Members Ball, Sigaty, Terrasa and Watson. Council Resolution 102-2012 failed.

Council Resolution 103-2012 - A RESOLUTION proposing to amend Section 211 “The referendum” of the Howard County Charter by providing that the number of required signatures for a referendum be 5% of the qualified voters of the County calculated upon the whole number of votes cast in the County for Governor at the last preceding gubernatorial election; and submitting this amendment to the voters of Howard County for their adoption or rejection in accordance with Article X of the Howard County Charter and Article XIA of the Maryland Constitution.

The Chairperson moved to approve Council Resolution 103-2012. The motion was seconded by Ms. Watson.

The roll call vote called by the Chairperson on the motion was: Yea: Council Members Ball, Sigaty, Terrasa and Watson. Nay: Council Member Fox. Council Resolution 103-2012 passed.

Council Resolution 104-2012 - A RESOLUTION proposing to amend Section 611 “Lapsed appropriations” of the Howard County Charter to permit the County to carry over grant funds from one fiscal year to the next; and submitting this amendment to the voters of Howard County for their adoption or rejection in accordance with Article X of the Howard County Charter and Article XIA of the Maryland Constitution.

The Chairperson moved to approve Council Resolution 104-2012. The motion was seconded by Ms. Watson.

The roll call vote called by the Chairperson on the motion was: Yea: Council Members Ball, Fox, Sigaty, Terrasa and Watson. Council Resolution 104-2012 passed.

Council Resolution 105-2012 - A RESOLUTION proposing to amend Section 613 “Restrictions of capital projects; amendment to capital budget after adoption of budget”, of the Howard County Charter to clarify the application of the section to new capital projects; requiring the County Council to establish by law procedures for amending the budget when changes to existing capital projects are approved; and submitting this amendment to the voters of Howard County for their adoption or rejection in accordance with Article X of the Howard County Charter and Article XIA of the Maryland Constitution.

The Chairperson moved to approve Council Resolution 105-2012. The motion was seconded by Ms. Watson.

The roll call vote called by the Chairperson on the motion was: Yea: Council Member Fox. Nay: Council Members Ball, Sigaty, Terrasa and Watson. Council Resolution 105-2012 failed.

Council Resolution 106-2012 - A RESOLUTION proposing to repeal Section 906 “Copies of books and papers on demand” and Section 907 “Inspection of books, accounts, and papers” of the Howard County Charter and replace them with new Section 906 “Right to Inspect and Copy Public Records”; making the public access provisions of the County Charter consistent with the Maryland Public Information Act; expanding the public right to inspect records to include all persons; permitting persons to obtain copies of public records upon payment of a reasonable fee prescribed by the County Council; and submitting this amendment to the voters of Howard County for their adoption or rejection in accordance with Article X of the Howard County Charter and Article XIA of the Maryland Constitution.

The Chairperson moved to approve Council Resolution 106-2012. The motion was seconded by Ms. Watson.

The roll call vote called by the Chairperson on the motion was: Yea: Council Members

Ball, Fox, Sigaty, Terrasa and Watson. Council Resolution 106-2012 passed.

Council Resolution 109-2012 - A RESOLUTION proposing to amend Section 603 “Submission and contents of the County budget” of the Howard County Charter by limiting the amount of contingency funds held in reserve to 3% of each fund in the current expense budget; and submitting this amendment to the voters of Howard County for their adoption or rejection in accordance with Article X of the Howard County Charter and Article XIA of the Maryland Constitution.

The Chairperson moved to approve Council Resolution 109-2012. The motion was seconded by Ms. Watson.

Council Person Fox moved to approve Amendment 1 to Council Resolution 109-2012 as follows:

*(This amendment clarifies that the 3% contingency reserves limit applies only to the General Fund and to special funds, and therefore does not apply to internal service funds and other similar funds.)*

On page 1, in line 23, strike “OTHER FUND” and substitute: “SPECIAL FUND, INCLUDING EACH FUND WHICH BY LAW HAS A DEDICATED REVENUE SOURCE”;

On the same page, in the same line, after “which”, insert “CONTINGENCY RESERVES”; and

On the same page in line 24, after “EACH”, insert “SUCH”.

The motion was seconded by Ms. Sigaty.

The roll call vote called by the Chairperson was: Yea: Council Members Ball, Fox, Sigaty, Terrasa and Watson.

The motion to approve Amendment 1 to Council Resolution 109-2012 passed.

The roll call vote called by the Chairperson on the motion to approve Council Resolution 109-2012, as amended, was: Yea: Council Members Fox and Watson. Nay: Ball, Sigaty, and Terrasa. Council Resolution 109-2012, as amended, failed.

Council Resolution 117-2012 - A RESOLUTION proposing to amend Section 202 “County Council” of the Howard County Charter by adding conviction of or pleading nolo contendere to a felony as cause for forfeiture of office by a County Council member; to amend Section 302 “The County Executive” of the Howard County Charter by adding conviction of or pleading nolo

contendere to a felony as cause for forfeiture of office by the County Executive; to amend Section 405 “The Office of Law” of the Howard County Charter by adding conviction of or pleading nolo contendere to a felony as a cause for forfeiture of office by the County Solicitor; and submitting this amendment to the voters of Howard County for their adoption or rejection in accordance with Article X of the Howard County Charter and Article XIA of the Maryland Constitution.

The Chairperson moved to approve Council Resolution 117-2012. The motion was seconded by Ms. Watson.

The roll call vote called by the Chairperson on the motion was: Yea: Council Member Watson. Nay: Council Members Ball, Fox, Sigaty, and Terrasa. Council Resolution 117-2012 failed.

The Chairperson moved to remove Council Bill 26-2012 from the table. The motion was seconded by Ms. Watson.

The roll call vote called by the Chairperson on the motion was: Yea: Council Members Ball, Fox, Sigaty, Terrasa, and Watson.

The motion passed.

Council Bill 26-2012 - AN ACT adopting PlanHoward 2030 as the general plan for Howard County, Maryland, for the purpose of planning for land use and land conservation and multiyear development planning for transportation, public facilities, water, sewerage, parkland, housing, human services, and environmental protection; and generally relating to planning, zoning, and land use in Howard County, Maryland.

The Chairperson moved to approve Council Bill 26-2012. The motion was seconded by Ms. Watson.

The Chairperson moved to approve Amendment 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, and 12 to Council Bill 26-2012, as a group, as follows:

Amendment 3:

*(This amendment does the following:*

- *Clarifies the legend on Map 3-1, Major Watershed;*
- *Alters language related to watershed protection;*
- *Adds new implementing action for the Patapsco Heritage Greenway to Policy 3.4 Regional Protection of water resources;*
- *Alters the reference to activities related to the agricultural community).*

In PlanHoward 2030, as attached to the Bill, make the following changes:

On page 14, in Map 3-1, in the Legend, strike “MAJOR STREAM AND MAJOR

IMPOUNDMENT” and substitute “MAJOR STREAMS, LAKES OR RESERVOIRS”.

On page 17, strike the second full paragraph and substitute:

“Waste Water Treatment Plant Reductions. Howard County is served by two major WWTPs, the Patapsco and the Little Patuxent. Both plants have assigned pollution caps to meet the Bay TMDL and both plants either have or are currently undergoing upgrades to ENR. The Little Patuxent upgrade was completed in 2012, and the Patapsco upgrade should be completed in 2016.”

Also on page 17, strike the fourth full paragraph and substitute:

“The General Assembly in its 2012 legislative session passed HB 987 requiring local governments to institute dedicated sources of funding for stormwater management programs. This legislation requires larger jurisdictions with NPDES permits, such as Howard County, to establish such a fund, often called a stormwater utility fund. Howard County must accomplish this by July 1, 2013.”

On pages 19 and 160, under Policy 3.1, in implementing action a, strike “by completing expansion and upgrade of the” and substitute “at the upgraded”.

On pages 20 and 161, in Policy 3.4, in the “Implementing Actions” section, insert a new letter “b” which reads:

“b. Patapsco Heritage Greenway. Coordinate with Baltimore County to accomplish certification of the Patapsco Heritage Greenway.”. For new Implementing Action 3.4b, note in Appendix A as “On Going”.

On page 161, indicate “ongoing” in the time frame column for Policy 3.4(b).

On pages 20 and 161, under Policy 3.5, in implementing action d, strike “to continue”. Also, strike “implement” and substitute “expand the use of”. At the end of the same sentence, before the period, insert “on local farms” .

On pages 20 and 161, under Policy 3.6, in implementing action a, strike “increase” and substitute “continue”.

Amendment 4:

*(This amendment would:*

- *include the University of Maryland as one of the entities that helps farmers;*
- *clarify that zoning regulations will provide great flexibility to the agricultural community as well as appropriate protections for rural residents;*
- *require the County to create a Historic Preservation Plan;*
- *add a new implementing action letter J for Ellicott City in Policy 4.10 Historic Preservation).*

In PlanHoward 2030, as attached to the Bill, make the following changes:

On pages 30 and 163, in Policy 4.2, after “EDA” insert “, the University of Maryland,”.

On page 31, in implementing action a, after “the EDA” insert “, the University of Maryland,”

On page 34, in the first full paragraph, strike the last two sentences that start with “Given these differences” and “Conversely” and substitute:

“In a rapidly changing economy, Howard County farmers should be able to utilize innovative farming practices so they too can adapt to the evolving market. Enhancing their ability to farm efficiently is critical to the growth of Howard County and its ability to maintain a diverse economy. However, new development plans and conditional uses should include better buffers to reduce conflicts with neighboring residents.”

On pages 34 and 163, strike Policy 4.5 and substitute:

**“POLICY 4.5 - Refine the Rural Conservation (RC) and the Rural Residential (RR) zoning regulations to provide greater flexibility for the agricultural community, as well as appropriate protections for rural residents.”**

On page 40, in the first paragraph, strike the last sentence.

On pages 41 and 164 of the Plan, in “Policy 4.10”, immediately before the period, insert “and create an historic preservation plan”. In the same policy, in letter “g”, after the first period, strike the entire sentence and substitute “Work with historic preservation advocates and other stakeholder groups and create an historic preservation plan to broaden awareness of our heritage and encourage renovation and re-use of historic resources.”.

On pages 41 and 164, in Policy 4.10, in the “Implementing Actions” section, insert a new letter “j” as follows:

“**j. Historic Ellicott City.** Promote, plan, and protect safe pedestrian access in the retail section of Historic Ellicott City.”. For new Implementing Action 4.10j, note in Appendix A as “On Going”.

Amendment 5:

(This amendment would:

- *remove a specific reference to the EDA;*
- *recognize that existing businesses need support;*
- *address concerns of the Howard County Commission on Disability Issues;*
- *substitute a generic reference of an evolving technology for a specific reference;*
- *clarify that public / private partnerships might include non-profits;*
- *recognize that Snowden River Parkway has a variety of sections).*

In PlanHoward 2030, as attached to the Bill, make the following changes:

On pages 53 and 166, in Policy 5.1, strike “**Implement key actions from EDA’s 2011 Strategic Plan**” and substitute “**Identify, develop, implement and refine a comprehensive program**”.

On pages 54 and 166, in Policy 5.1, add a new Implementing Action:

“**h. Existing Businesses.** Continue to support and address the needs of existing businesses.”.

For new Implementing Action 5.1h, note in Appendix A as “On Going”.

On pages 54 and 166, in Policy 5.2, in “Implementing Actions” section, in letter “d”, strike the last sentence and substitute, “**Increase participation by minorities, women, and individuals with disabilities.**”.

On pages 54 and 167, under Policy 5.3, in implementing action b, strike ““smart grid”” and substitute “**developing**”.

On page 57 and 167, under “POLICY 5.5”, in implementing action (e), strike “(i.e., County / EDA as developer)” and substitute: “, **including opportunities for non-profits**”.

On pages 58 and 168, in Policy 5.6, add a new Implementing Action:

“c. **Differentiate when planning.** Distinguish among the sections along Snowden River Parkway that exhibit different characteristics and merit different treatment.”. For new Implementing Action 5.6c, note in Appendix A as “On Going”.

Amendment 6:

*(This amendment would:*

- *corrects the name of a designated place type;*
- *recognize the need to make use of existing school capacity;*
- *indicate that connectivity should be considered when acquiring land;*
- *change the title of Policy 6.5;*
- *address connectivity;*
- *add a statement to Policy 6.5(c) regarding benefits and protections to surrounding communities).*

In PlanHoward 2030, as attached to the Bill, make the following changes:

Beginning on page 61, and in each instance throughout the Plan where “growth and revitalization” is used, insert “targeted” before “growth and revitalization”.

On pages 75 and 169, under Policy 6.1, add a new implementing action:

“(g) **Schools.** Make efficient use of existing school capacity avoiding unnecessary capital outlays.”. For new Implementing Action 6.1g, note in Appendix A as “On Going”.

On pages 75 and 169, in Policy 6.2, add a new Implementing Action:

“c. **Connectivity.** Ensure that planning for government and public school facilities should incorporate consideration of transportation connectivity and access for pedestrians, bicyclists, and individuals with disabilities.”. For new Implementing Action 6.2c, note in Appendix A as “On Going”.



On pages 82 and 169, in Policy 6.5, in the heading after “compact” insert “, well designed,”.

On page 82 and 169, in Policy 6.5, in Implementing Action “a”, after “infill” insert “including consideration of connectivity and safe routes to school”.

On pages 82 and 169, in Policy 6.5, in the third line of implementing action (c), after “developments” insert “, which provide benefits and protections to surrounding communities”.

Amendment 7:

*(This amendment makes various transit related amendments in Chapter 7, removes specific references and substitutes generic descriptions, adds new implementing actions, describes the benefit of transportation options, adds a reference to reversible lanes, deletes methods of addressing highway issues, and directs that certain modes of transportation be made attractive and viable.)*

In Exhibit A, the General Plan for Howard County:

On page 83, after “County Context”, in the fifth sentence, strike “is restricted” and substitute “are inadequate”.

On page 84, in the second paragraph under “Existing Transportation System”, strike the last sentence that begins “Based on regional trends” and substitute the following:

“Based on the BMC travel model, in 2008, the baseline year for the model, over 94% of person trips were by automobile, with the balance of trips completed by transit, walking and cycling; based on current projected future land use and forecasted funding for transportation projects, the mode shares are not currently projected to change significantly by 2035. As new and revised land use, transportation plans, and funding are developed, the impacts will be reflected in the travel model and are expected to reduce automobile trips. Plan Howard 2030 aims to promote a better balance among all of the County’s transportation options.”

On page 86, strike Implementing Action “a” in its entirety and substitute:

“a. **Green website.** Update the County’s website that is devoted to providing information to consumers designed to help make Howard County greener, healthier, and sustainable, to address transportation’s role in achieving a healthy community. Include emissions calculators and other tools residents can use to measure greenhouse gas savings, map walking or biking distances, organize ridesharing, and access car sharing.”

On page 87, in Policy 7.1, strike Implementing Action “c” and substitute:

“c. **Safe Routes.** Expand, support and promote programs, such as the Safe Routes to School Program, that will enable communities to make walking and bicycling to school a safe and routine activity.”

On page 91, in Policy 7.3, after “network” insert “to support future growth in accordance with place type designations.”

Also on page 91, in Policy 7.3, insert a new Implementing Action “c”:

“c. **Targeted, Strategic Investments.** Evaluate new and innovative approaches to maximize the use of highway investments such as High Occupancy Vehicle (HOV) lanes and/or express toll lanes, focus road improvements to support existing communities and future growth areas, and limit rural road improvements to safety, rather than capacity improvements.”

On page 93, in Policy 7.4, after “existing” insert “**and future**”.

On page 94, in Policy 7.4,:

1. In Implementing Action “b”, strike “Efficiency and Route Alignment” and substitute “**Howard Transit**”;
2. Strike Implementing Action “c” and substitute:

“c. **Regional Transit.** Expand and maximize the efficiency, investment and connectivity of mid-corridor regional transit with Anne Arundel County, Baltimore County, Montgomery County, Prince George’s County, the City of Laurel, and Fort Meade, as well as connectivity with Baltimore and Washington regional transit service.”

3. Renumber Implementing Action “d” to be “e”; and
4. Insert new Implementing Action “d”:

“d. **Multijurisdictional agencies.** Evaluate potential and, if advantageous, establish a new multijurisdictional agency for the administration and operation of public transportation services that would improve the efficiency and effectiveness of regional transit services, improving connectivity and coordination among public and private providers and maximizing the use of federal, state and local funding.”

On page 94, in Policy 7.5, strike “**the BMC’s Regional Transit Study**” and substitute “**regional studies**”.

Also on page 94, in Policy 7.5, strike Implementing Action “e” and substitute:

“e. **Downtown Columbia.** Work with the Downtown Columbia Partnership to develop the Downtown Columbia Transportation Demand Management Plan, multimodal transit facility and circulator.”

Also on page 94, under Policy 7.5, add a new Implementing Action:

“f. **Baltimore Metropolitan Council.** Cooperate with the Baltimore Metropolitan Council (BMC) to develop a new regional transit study.”

Also on page 94, strike the last three lines of text on the page and substitute:

“Transportation options are an important aspect of community development. Being able to make transportation choices means that for any particular trip, a person would have many viable and attractive transportation options from which to”

In the second paragraph on page 96, after “HOV Lanes,” insert “Reversible Lanes.”.

On page 95, in Policy 7.6:

1. In Implementing Action “f”, before “needs” insert “infrastructure”;
2. Renumber Implementing Action “b” to be “e”;
3. Renumber Implementing Action “c” to be “b”;
4. Renumber Implementing Action “e” to be “f”; and
5. Renumber Implementing Action “f” to be “c”.

On page 96, Strike the page heading “TDM” and substitute “Transportation Demand Management”.

Also on page 96, in Policy 7.7, strike beginning with “through” down through and including “technologies”.

Also on page 96, in Policy 7.7:

1. In Implementing Action “b”, after “develop” insert “the Downtown Columbia Transportation Demand Management Plan as well as”;
2. In Implementing Action “d”, strike “Broadband Connections” and substitute “Innovative Technologies”; and
3. add a new Implementing Action:  
“e. Alternative Modes of Transportation. Make pedestrian, bicycle, and transit modes of transportation attractive and viable options.”.

On page 97, in Figure 7-3,

1. For the penultimate item with project number “P-101” and with the title “Transit Stops”, insert a “√” in the column entitled “2010-2025” and a “√” in the column entitled “Post 2025”; and

2. Below the figure insert:

*“Source: 2011 Long-Range Transportation Plan, Baltimore Metropolitan Council (updated every four years)”.*

On page 98, in Figure 7-4, below the figure insert:

*“Source: 2011 Long-Range Transportation Plan, Baltimore Metropolitan Council (updated every four years)”.*

On page 170 and 171, make the corresponding changes to Appendix A. Mark policies 7.3 c, 7.4 c and d, 7.5 e, and 7.7 e as “On-going”. Mark policy 7.5 f as “Short” and “Mid”.

Amendment 8:

*(This amendment in Chapter 8: adds implementing actions for Transportation Planning, adds a section entitled “Bridging the Gap”, changes the language about data collection and management, adds faith-based nonprofits as County partners, recognizes the need to upgrade existing fire stations, substitutes “enhance and expand” for “continue to”, inserts the evaluation of Circuit Court improvements, replaces “selectively” in a title, and changes certain terms related to seniors and individuals with disabilities.)*

In Exhibit A, the General Plan for Howard County:

On page 105, in Policy 8.1, add a new Implementing Action:

“e. **Transportation Planning.** When evaluating new facilities and capital projects, consider transportation infrastructure, including availability of public transit, and opportunities for bicycle and pedestrian access.”.

Also on pages 105, in Policy 8.2, add a new Implementing Action:

“d. **Multimodal Transportation Strategies.** Promote and implement strategies to enable access to government facilities via bicycle, pedestrian and transit.”.

On page 110, before the header “Higher Education”, insert a new section, which reads:

**“Bridging the Gap**

Howard County should continue improvements in ensuring the readiness of high school students who intend to enroll into a community college or a four-year institution. Howard County should explore and enhance the transition students make from high school to community college and from community college to a four-year institution. This transition should be as seamless as possible, increasing student success.

The Howard County Public School System, in collaboration with Howard Community College and other appropriate community partners, should consider implementing realistic and interactive opportunities that prepare prospective college students for the future. These interactive opportunities should help prepare the student for the changing environment, increased workload, and independence they will encounter in higher education. Howard Community College should continue to explore practical approaches in aiding students in transitioning to the four-year school that is best for the student, which will give students the best chance to succeed and graduate from a four-year institution.”.

On page 116, in the “Health and Human Services” section, in the second paragraph, strike the second sentence in its entirety, and substitute “Data collection and management can be difficult because many providers lack the necessary resources.”.

On page 117, in the last full paragraph that starts “Aging of the”, in the second sentence that starts “Growth”, strike “elderly” and substitute “senior”; and in the last sentence that starts “The housing needs”, strike “the disabled” and substitute “individuals with disabilities”.

On page 119 of the Plan, in Policy 8.15, in implementing action “b” after “sectors” insert “, including faith-based nonprofit organizations.”.

On page 120, under Policy 8.16:

In the caption for implementing action “a”, strike “New”.

Also in implementing action “a”, add a second sentence: “Renovate and rehabilitate existing fire stations as appropriate to ensure the continued provision of efficient service.”.

On page 121 of the Plan, in Policy 8.17, in implementing action “c”, in the first line strike “Continue to” and substitute “Enhance and expand”.

Also on page 121, in the section entitled “Systemic County Facility Renovations”, after the last sentence, insert “Additionally, there needs to be an evaluation of the renovation or replacement of the Circuit Courthouse.”.

On page 122, in the title of Policy 8.18, strike “selectively” and substitute “judiciously”.

On page 124, strike the last word on the page, “disabled”, and substitute “seniors and individuals with disabilities”.

On page 125, strike the first two words on the page, “and elderly”.

On page 130, in Policy 9.3, in Implementing Action “c”, strike “elderly” and substitute “senior”.

On page 131, strike the heading, “Housing for Senior Citizens and the Disabled”, and substitute “Housing for Seniors and Individuals with Disabilities”.

On page 132, under the heading “Housing for Residents with Disabilities”, in the first sentence of the second paragraph that begins “As with the aging”, strike “disabled residents” and substitute “residents with disabilities”.

On page 172, 175, and 177, make the corresponding changes to Appendix A. Mark new Policies 8.1 e and 8.2 d as “On-going”.

Amendment 9:

*(This amendment adds references to housing for individuals with disabilities and others, adds references to full-spectrum housing .)*

In Exhibit A, the General Plan for Howard County:

On page 130, make the following changes:

1. in the first line of “Policy 9.2”, before “housing” insert “**full-spectrum**”;
2. in the second line of “Policy 9.2”, after “stages” insert “, **and for individuals with disabilities,**”;
3. in “Policy 9.2”, in implementing action “(b)”, before “rental” insert “**full-spectrum**” and after “incomes” strike “and ages” and substitute “, **ages and abilities**” ;
4. in “Policy 9.2”, in implementing action “(c)”, before “mixed” insert “**full-spectrum**” and after “communities” insert “**and make the communities accessible to individuals with disabilities**”;
5. in the second line of "Policy 9.3", after “needs” insert “**and housing needs of individuals with disabilities**”.
6. in “Policy 9.3”, in implementing action “c”, after “renters” insert “**and renters with disabilities**”.

On page 133, in Policy 9.4:

1. in Implementing Action “a”, strike “Continue partnering” and substitute “**Expand partnerships**”.
2. in implementing action “b”, strike “Encourage” and substitute “**Enhance**”.

On pages 177 and 178, make the corresponding changes to Appendix A.

Amendment 10:

*(This amendment inserts references to the bicycle and pedestrian master plans, clarifies the Flexible Infill “Implementing Action” in Policy 10.1 for established communities, deletes the word “flexible”, changes the word “streamlined” to “streamlining”, adds clarification about seniors with disabilities to Policy 10.6 as it relates to commercial areas, and adds references to the Design Advisory Panel and clarifies certain actions related to the extension of commercial strips.)*



In Exhibit A, the General Plan for Howard County:

On page 138, under Policy 10.1, add a second sentence to implementing action “b”:

“Enhance connectivity in accordance with Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plans.”

Also on page 138, in Policy 10.1, strike Implementing Action “d” in its entirety and substitute:

“d. **Flexible Infill.** Consider zoning modifications that would provide more flexibility in order to allow limited, compatible infill that enhances an existing community.”

On page 143, in the section entitled “Flexible, Context Sensitive Zoning”, in the heading, strike “Flexible ,”.

Also on page 143, in Policy 10.4, in Implementing Action “a”, strike the heading “**More Flexible Zoning.**” and substitute “**Zoning Regulation Review.**”. And in Implementing Action “b”, in the heading, strike “**Streamlined**” and substitute “**Streamlining**”.

On page 145, in the first line on that page, after “corridors.” insert the following before “Streetscape”:

“Additionally, review of proposed plans by the County’s Design Advisory Panel is provided to assist designers and property owners in applying the design guidelines for new projects and redevelopment.”

Also on page 145 in Policy 10.6, in Implementing Action “a”, strike “on” and substitute “into residential areas along”.

Also on page 145, in Policy 10.6, in Implementing Action “e”, after the first sentence, insert “Consider the needs of seniors and individuals with disabilities in locating transit stops to offer greater accessibility.”.

Also on page 145 in Policy 10.6, insert a new Implementing Action:

“g. **Design Advisory Panel.** Explore an expanded role for the Design Advisory Panel (DAP) to include other commercial areas of the County, which, like the Route 1 and Route 40 Corridors, exhibit the need for improved design and would benefit from the adoption of appropriate design manuals or guidelines for the DAP to utilize in its reviews.”

On pages 179 and 180, make the corresponding changes to Appendix A. Mark the new Implementing Action 10.6 g as “On-going”.

Amendment 11:

*(This amendment would add the County Council into the review process for the Monitoring Report and change when reports are due).*

In Exhibit A, the General Plan for Howard County:

On page 10:

Strike the paragraph that begins “After adoption” and substitute:

“After adoption of PlanHoward 2030, DPZ will continue to seek to broaden stakeholder partnership in the diverse implementing tasks. As part of implementation, a public review is to be done regularly; the first one in three years and then every five years as described in Implementation, Chapter 11. It is important for the County Council to assess the decisions made in adopting this plan, so there will be an initial review presented to them by September 1, 2014.”

Under Policy 2.1, add a new Implementing Action:

“c. **Initial Review.** Prepare and present to the County Council an initial review of PlanHoward 2030 by September 1, 2014.”

On page 151, under Policy 11.1, strike Implementing Action “a”, and substitute:

“a. **PlanHoward 2030 Monitoring Report.** Publish the reports required by Policy 2.1. Present the reports to the Planning Board and the County Council in public meetings for their recommendations and public comment.”.

On pages 159 and 181, make the corresponding changes to Appendix A.

Amendment 12:

*(This amendment recognizes that existing businesses need support and adds fiscal responsibility and youth leadership as examples of good stewardship.)*

In Exhibit A, the General Plan for Howard County:

On page 155, in Policy 12.1, in implementing action “a”, add a second sentence:

“Participate in regional efforts to promote methods of transportation that preserve and protect the environment.”.

On page 155, in Policy 12.1, add a new Implementing Action:

“g. **Fiscal Responsibility.** Continue to respect taxpayers’ contributions by using public funds efficiently and effectively.”.

On page 156, in Policy 12.2, add a new Implementing Action:

“d. **Youth Leaders.** Continue to create public and private opportunities for young people to engage in sustainability efforts.”.

On page 181, make the corresponding changes to Appendix A. For new action 12.1 g, note as “On-going”. For new action 12.2 d, note as “On-going”.

The motion was seconded by Ms. Watson.

The Chairperson moved to approve Amendment 1 to Amendment 8 to Council Bill 26-2012 as follows:

*(This amendment adds individuals with disabilities to the “Bridging the Gap” section of the amendment)*

On page 1, in line 16, after “of”, insert “all”. In the same line, after “students”, insert “including individuals with disabilities,”.

The motion was seconded by Ms. Watson.

The roll call vote called by the Chairperson was: Yea: Council Members Ball, Fox, Sigaty, Terrasa and Watson.

The motion to approve Amendment 1 to Amendment 8 to Council Bill 26-2012 passed.

The roll call vote called by the Chairperson was: Yea: Council Members Ball, Fox, Sigaty, Terrasa and Watson.

The motion to approve Amendments 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 as amended, 9, 10, 11, and 12 to Council Bill 26-2012, as a group, passed.

The Chairperson moved to approve Amendment 13 to Council Bill 26-2012 as follows:

*(This amendment allows DPZ to correct maps and the Policies and Implementing Actions Summary Matrix in response to amendments to CB 26.)*

On page 2, in line 8 insert:

**“Section 3. And Be It Further Enacted by the County Council of Howard County, Maryland that after passage of this Act, the Director of the Department of Planning and Zoning shall amend maps and Appendix A, the Policies and Implementing Actions Summary Matrix, in accordance with amendments to this Act.”**

On page 2, in line 9, strike “3” and substitute “4”.

The motion was seconded by Ms. Watson.

The roll call vote called by the Chairperson was: Yea: Council Members Ball, Fox, Sigaty, Terrasa and Watson.

The motion to approve Amendment 13 to Council Bill 26-2012 passed.

The Chairperson moved to approve Amendment 14 to Council Bill 26-2012 as follows:

*(This amendment makes a correction in Figure 6-10.)*

In PlanHoward 2030, attached to the Bill as introduced:

On page 73, in Figure 6-10, in the column titled “Year”, in the last row, strike “20 Year”.

The motion was seconded by Ms. Watson.

The Chairperson moved to approve Amendment 1 to Amendment 14 to Council Bill 26-2012 as follows:

*(This amendment makes technical corrections to distinguish between the PSA for water and sewer service and the water service only area.)*

On page 1, strike the description and substitute:

“This amendment makes technical corrections to maps, figures and text.”

On page 1, in line 2, insert:

“On page 29, remove map 4-1 and substitute a revised map 4-1, as attached to this Amendment.

On page 55, remove map 5-1 and substitute a revised map 5-1, as attached to this Amendment.

On page 69, in the first paragraph under the heading “Expansion of the Planned Service Area”, in the first sentence, after “Area” insert “for water and sewer service”.

On page 70, remove map 6-2 and substitute a revised map 6-2, as attached to this Amendment.

On page 72, remove map 6-3 and substitute a revised map 6-3, as attached to this Amendment.”

On page 1, after line 3, insert:

“On page 114, remove map 8-1 and substitute a revised map 8-1, as attached to this Amendment.”

The motion was seconded by Ms. Watson.

The roll call vote called by the Chairperson was: Yea: Council Members Ball, Fox, Sigaty, Terrasa and Watson.

The motion to approve Amendment 1 to Amendment 14 to Council Bill 26-2012 passed.

The roll call vote called by the Chairperson was: Yea: Council Members Ball, Fox, Sigaty, Terrasa and Watson.

The motion to approve Amendment 14 to Council Bill 26-2012, as amended, passed.

The Chairperson moved to approve Amendment 15 to Council Bill 26-2012 as follows:

*(This amendment corrects functional road classifications.)*

In PlanHoward 2030, attached to the Bill as introduced:

On page 90, in Map 7-3:

1. In order to remove a privately owned section of road from the functional road classification system, remove the classification of “Major Collector” from Normandy Center Drive from US 40 to Rogers Avenue; and
2. Designate Rogers Avenue from US 40 to North Ridge Road as a “Minor Collector”.

The motion was seconded by Ms. Watson.

The roll call vote called by the Chairperson was: Yea: Council Members Ball, Fox, Sigaty, Terrasa and Watson.

The motion to approve Amendment 15 to Council Bill 26-2012 passed.

The Chairperson moved to approve Amendment 16 to Council Bill 26-2012 as follows:

*(This amendment makes various changes throughout the Plan to incorporate the concept of personal health and healthy communities.)*

In the PlanHoward 2030, attached to the Bill as introduced:

On pages 86 and 170, in Policy 7.1, before “healthy balance” insert “and personally”.

On pages 134 and 178, in Policy 9.6, insert a new Implementation Action “d” as follows: “**d. Healthy Housing.** Identify policies that promote affordable, safe, and healthy housing for residents.”. In Appendix A, place a note across all columns that the action is “ongoing”.

On page 137, in the first bullet at the top of the page, after “destinations” insert “as well as access to green space and natural areas”.

On page 140, in the second sentence that begins “As density increases”, before “environment” insert “health and”.

On page 141, under the heading “Community Plans” and before the paragraph that begins “In some instances”, insert the following:

“Howard County residents should live in healthy, safe communities with clean air and water and equal access to the amenities and services needed to lead a healthy lifestyle. These include access to recreational facilities and safe public spaces, healthy foods, diverse transportation options, health services, healthy affordable housing, environmental justice and educational and employment opportunities. A high quality of life, economic development, and environmental protection improvements as well as the decision-making processes to achieve these should be accessible and available to all communities in Howard County, particularly those with higher proportions of underrepresented individuals.”

On pages 142 and 179:

1. Insert a new Implementing Action, subsection “c” as follows:  
“c. **Healthy Communities.** Identify priority health issues that can be addressed through community design and consider health in the design and implementation of community planning efforts.”;
2. Renumber the remaining Implementing Actions accordingly; and
3. In Appendix A, place a note across all columns that the action is “ongoing”.

The motion was seconded by Ms. Watson.

Council Person Fox moved to approve Floor Amendment 1 to Amendment 16 to Council Bill 26-2012 as follows:

*(Remove “healthy” and “environmental” in line 20)*

The motion was seconded by Ms. Watson.

The roll call vote called by the Chairperson was: Yea: Council Member Fox. Nay: Council Members Ball, Sigaty, Terrasa and Watson.

The motion to approve Amendment 1 to Amendment 16 to Council Bill 26-2012 failed.

The roll call vote called by the Chairperson was: Yea: Council Members Ball, Sigaty, Terrasa and Watson. Nay: Council Member Fox.

The motion to approve Amendment 16 to Council Bill 26-2012 passed.

The Chairperson moved to approve Amendment 17 to Council Bill 26-2012 as follows:

*(This amendment substitutes a new Appendix A in order to reflect that certain items are “ongoing” and to make a technical correction to insert an Implementing Action into Policy 7.6.)*

In the PlanHoward 2030, attached to the Bill as introduced, remove Appendix A and substitute a revised Appendix A as attached to this Amendment.

The motion was seconded by Ms. Watson.

The roll call vote called by the Chairperson was: Yea: Council Members Ball, Fox, Sigaty, Terrasa and Watson.

The motion to approve Amendment 17 to Council Bill 26-2012 passed.

The Chairperson moved to approve Amendment 18 to Council Bill 26-2012 as follows:

*(This amendment would remove all references to growth tiers and “low density development” and “rural resource” place types in the west).*

On page 1, in lines 12 through 14, after the semicolon in line 12, strike from “by” in line 12 through line 14, and substitute “and”.

On page 1, immediately following line 15, insert:

“WHEREAS, PlanHoward 2030 has deliberately delayed the adoption of growth tiers authorized by 2012 Maryland General Assembly in Senate Bill 236 and the designation of place types in the Rural West portion of the County called for by the State development plan, PlanMaryland, until an examination, separate from the current General Plan process, can occur in the Fall of 2012; and ”.



On page 2, immediately following line 8, insert:

“Section 3. And Be It Further Enacted by the County Council of Howard County, Maryland that the adoption of growth tiers authorized by 2012 Maryland General Assembly in Senate Bill 236 and the designation of place types in the Rural West portion of the County called for by the State development plan, *PlanMaryland* shall occur separate from the current General Plan process on or before December 31, 2012.”

In PlanHoward 2030, as attached to the Bill, make the following changes: In the Executive Summary, on the second page, in the section entitled “Growth.”, in the first sentence, strike “and “growth tiers”.”.

In PlanHoward 2030, as attached to the Bill, make the following changes: On page 62, strike the first paragraph, in its entirety.

In PlanHoward 2030, as attached to the Bill, make the following changes: On page 70, the existing Map 6-2 “Designated Place Types”, shall be replaced with a new map which shall combine the proposed “Low Density Development” area and the “Rural Resource” areas in a new designation entitled “Rural West”.

In PlanHoward 2030, as attached to the Bill, make the following changes: On page 71, in the first paragraph, in the 5<sup>th</sup> sentence, immediately before the period, insert “, which are combined on Map 6-2 “Designated Place Types” as the “Rural West until the Sustainable Growth and Agricultural Preservation Act Growth Tiers are established in the Fall of 2012””. In the same paragraph, in the last sentence, strike “four”.

In PlanHoward 2030, as attached to the Bill, make the following changes: On pages 71 and 72, strike the section entitled “Sustainable Growth and Agricultural Preservation Act of 2012”, in its entirety, including Map 6-3 entitled “Sustainable Growth and Agricultural Preservation Act Growth Tiers”.

In PlanHoward 2030, as attached to the Bill, make the following changes: On page 74, in

the section entitled “Rural West”, in the first sentence, strike “and includes both the Low Density Development and Rural Resource designated place types”.

In PlanHoward 2030, as attached to the Bill, make the following changes: On pages 74 and 169, in “Policy 6.1”, in the “Implementing Actions” section, in the letter entitled letter “a”, immediately before the period, insert “on or before December 31, 2012”.

In PlanHoward 2030, as attached to the Bill, make the following changes: On pages 75 and 169, in “Policy 6.1”, in the “Implementing Actions” section, in the letter entitled letter “e”, after “restrictions”, strike the remainder of the sentence. Renumber the remainder of the sections accordingly.

The motion was seconded by Ms. Watson.

The roll call vote called by the Chairperson was: Yea: Council Members Ball, Fox, Sigaty, Terrasa and Watson.

The motion to approve Amendment 18 to Council Bill 26-2012 passed.

The Chairperson moved to approve Amendment 19 to Council Bill 26-2012 as follows:

*(This amendment would remove the word industrial from the Policy 5.6 Snowden River Parkway Redevelopment statement).*

On pages 57 and 168 of the Plan attached to the bill, in “Policy 5.6”, strike “and industrial”.

The motion was seconded by Ms. Watson.

The Chairperson moved to approve Amendment 1 to Amendment 19 to Council Bill 26-2012 as follows:

*(This amendment deletes an instruction to strike a reference to “industrial” and inserts an additional reference to “industrial”).*

Beginning in line 6, strike “strike “and industrial”” and substitute:

“after “office” insert “industrial.””

The motion was seconded by Ms. Watson.

The roll call vote called by the Chairperson was: Yea: Council Members Ball, Fox, Sigaty, Terrasa and Watson.

The motion to approve Amendment 1 to Amendment 19 to Council Bill 26-2012 passed.

The roll call vote called by the Chairperson was: Yea: Council Members Ball, Fox, Sigaty, Terrasa and Watson.

The motion to approve Amendment 19 to Council Bill 26-2012, as amended, passed.

The Chairperson moved to approve Amendment 20 to Council Bill 26-2012 as follows:

*(This amendment promotes connectivity and alternative modes of transportation in the Route 1 Corridor.)*

In Exhibit A, the General Plan for Howard County:

On page 56:

in Policy 5.4, after “strategy to” insert “enhance connectivity and”

On page 57:

in Policy 5.4, at the end of Implementing Action “e”, insert “Promote, plan, and protect bicycle and pedestrian access in Corridor.”

in Policy 5.5, strike “and maximize” and substitute “maximize” and, after “opportunities” insert “and promote connectivity”.

On page 167, make the corresponding changes to Appendix A.

The motion was seconded by Ms. Watson.

The Chairperson moved to approve Amendment 1 to Amendment 20 to Council Bill 26-2012 as follows:

*(This amendment alters the placement of the language that promotes connectivity and alternate modes of transportation.)*

Strike lines 3 and 4 in their entirety and substitute:

“On page 57, under Policy 5.4, strike Implementing Action “e” and substitute:  
“e. **Transportation.** Develop transit and road improvement solutions including bicycle  
and pedestrian accessibility to promote connectivity and support revitalization of the  
Route 1 Corridor.””

In line 7:

strike “5.4” and substitute “5.5”;

strike “at the end of” and substitute “*insert a new*”; and

strike ““e”, insert” and substitute “**f. Transportation.**”.

In line 8:

Strike “and” and substitute an underlined, italic comma;

After “pedestrian” insert “, *and transit*”; and

After “in” insert “*the*”.

Strike lines 10 and 11 in their entirety.

In line 14, add a second sentence: “*Mark new Policy 5.5 f as “On-going”.*”.

The motion was seconded by Ms. Watson.

The roll call vote called by the Chairperson was: Yea: Council Members Ball, Fox, Sigaty, Terrasa and Watson.

The motion to approve Amendment 1 to Amendment 20 to Council Bill 26-2012 passed.

The roll call vote called by the Chairperson was: Yea: Council Members Ball, Fox, Sigaty, Terrasa and Watson.

The motion to approve Amendment 20 to Council Bill 26-2012, as amended, passed.

The Chairperson moved to approve Amendment 21 to Council Bill 26-2012 as follows:

*(This amendment separates consideration of Route 40 from consideration of Columbia’s Village Centers.)*

In Exhibit A, the General Plan for Howard County:

On page 58, in Policy 5.7, after “Fort Meade” insert “and consider bicycle connections where appropriate”.

In Policy 5.7, add a new Implementing Action:

“c. **Alternative modes of transportation.** Study the feasibility of alternative modes of transportation, including facilities for bicyclists and pedestrians, to connect Downtown Columbia, the Snowden River Parkway Area, Gateway, Route 1, and other major business and residential nodes in and near the County.”.

Beginning on page 58, strike beginning with the heading “Route 40 Corridor and Columbia’s Village Centers” down through before the heading “Agribusiness” on page 59, and substitute:

“Columbia’s Village Centers

General Plan 2000 identified older Columbia Village Centers for study regarding possibilities for redevelopment and enhancements. As Columbia’s Village Centers have matured, there is increasing potential for new projects that take advantage of growing demand for pedestrian- and transit-friendly development. In 2009, the County adopted new zoning for the revitalization of Village Centers in Columbia. *PlanHoward 2030* endorses implementation of these initiatives and supports the continued evolution of the Village Centers using the frameworks established under the Village Center Revitalization zoning process.

Robust connectivity to and among the Village Centers of Columbia and to Downtown Columbia is critical to their long-term health and vitality. Consideration must be given to alternative modes of transportation including maintaining, updating, and expanding bicycle and pedestrian facilities. Revise the NT Zoning Regulations to ensure redevelopment is consistent with the well planned evolution of the Preliminary Development Plan for Columbia, balancing changing market conditions and opportunities with the need to avoid adverse impacts on Village Centers and surrounding properties.

## Policies and Implementing Actions

### **POLICY 5.8. Enhance the vitality and redevelopment of Columbia’s Village Centers and plan for future connections among Village Centers and with other commercial centers.**

#### **Implementing Actions**

- a. **Strengthen Village Centers.** Encourage Village Center property owners, Village Boards, and residents to develop and implement Village Center Community Plans for enhancing and redeveloping older Village Centers as called for in Council Bill 29-2009. Collaborate with the Columbia Association to undertake market assessments to assist in repositioning older centers in relation to each other, Downtown Columbia, and other competing commercial centers.
- b. **Connect commercial centers.** Study the feasibility of enhanced local bus service among the Village Centers and to Downtown Columbia.
- c. **Alternative Modes of Transportation.** Study the feasibility and cost-benefits of alternative modes of transportation including maintaining, updating, and expanding bicycle and pedestrian facilities.

#### Route 40 Corridor

General Plan 2000 identified the Route 40 Corridor for study regarding possibilities for redevelopment and enhancements. As the Route 40 Corridor has matured, there is increasing potential for new projects that take advantage of growing demand for mixed-use, pedestrian- and transit-friendly development. In 2004, the Route 40 Corridor Enhancement Study was completed, followed by some rezoning, and adoption of the Route 40 Design Manual. PlanHoward 2030 endorses implementation of these initiatives and supports the continued evolution of the Route 40 Corridor using the frameworks established under Route 40’s Zoning.

Design Manual, and Streetscape Master Plan.

## **Policies and Implementing Actions**

### **POLICY 5.9 – Continue to enhance the vitality of the Route 40 Corridor.**

#### **Implementing Action**

- a. **Enhance Route 40.** Encourage commercial renovation and, where appropriate mixed-use redevelopment by promoting collaboration between owners and neighbors to create attractive focal points that serve the community.”.

On page 60, renumber Policy 5.9 as Policy 5.10.

On page 168, make the corresponding changes to Appendix A with all priorities being “On-going” for new actions created herein.

The motion was seconded by Ms. Watson.

The Chairperson moved to approve Amendment 1 to Amendment 21 to Council Bill 26-2012 as follows:

*(This amendment makes various changes largely related to Columbia and Route 40.)*

On page 1:

- Beginning in line 3, strike after “after” down through “appropriate” and substitute:  
“*future*” strike “*transit and road capacity to service and*” and substitute  
“*transportation services and facilities that*”.
- Strike lines 13 through 21 in their entirety.

On page 2:

- Strike lines 1 through 5 in their entirety and substitute: “*On page 59, after the first paragraph, insert a paragraph.*”
- Strike beginning with “the” in line 7 down through “Columbia” in line 8 and substitute  
“*Howard County’s commercial centers*”.

- Strike in its entirety the sentence that begins in line 10 with “Revise” and ends in line 13 with “properties”.
- In line 17, strike “**Enhance**” and substitute “*Continue to enhance*” and insert a period after “**Centers**”.
- Strike lines 18 and 19 in their entirety.
- Beginning in line 24, strike after “implement” down through “Plans” in line 25 and substitute “*plans*”.
- In line 25, strike “and” and substitute “*or*”.
- In line 26, strike “as called for in Council Bill 29-2009” and substitute “*to maintain them as attractive focal points for the villages*”.
- Also in line 26, before “Collaborate” insert:

*“b. Market Analysis.”*

On page 3:

- In line 1, strike “b.” and substitute “c.”
- Strike, beginning in line 1 with “Study” down through “Columbia” in line 2 and substitute “*Plan for future transportation connections, including bicycle, pedestrian, and transit, among and between Village Centers and other commercial centers*”.
- Strike lines 4 through 19 in their entirety.

The motion was seconded by Ms. Watson.

The roll call vote called by the Chairperson was: Yea: Council Members Ball, Fox, Sigaty, Terrasa and Watson.

The motion to approve Amendment 1 to Amendment 21 to Council Bill 26-2012 passed.

The roll call vote called by the Chairperson was: Yea: Council Members Ball, Fox, Sigaty, Terrasa and Watson.

The motion to approve Amendment 21 to Council Bill 26-2012, as amended, passed.



The Chairperson moved to approve Amendment 22 to Council Bill 26-2012 as follows:

*(This amendment would add protections for industrially zoned land in Policies 5.4 and 5.5).*

In PlanHoward 2030, as attached to the Bill, make the following changes:

On pages 56 and 167, in “Policy 5.4”, in Implementing Action “c”, strike “Employment Preservation” and substitute “Preservation of Land for Employment and Industrial Use”. In the first sentence, after “employment”, insert “and industrial”.

The motion was seconded by Ms. Watson.

The roll call vote called by the Chairperson was: Yea: Council Members Ball, Fox, Sigaty, Terrasa and Watson.

The motion to approve Amendment 22 to Council Bill 26-2012 passed.

The Chairperson moved to approve Amendment 23 to Council Bill 26-2012 as follows:

*(This amendment would emphasize protections for Village Center retail).*

In PlanHoward 2030, as attached to the Bill, make the following changes: On pages 56 and 167, in “Policy 5.4”, in the section lettered “b”, after “uses”, delete “, and revise” and insert the following:

“. Reduce strip commercial development along Route 1 frontage by directing retail uses to retail centers and mixed use developments and by directing truck-oriented uses, uses that require outdoor storage, and most auto-oriented retail uses such as gasoline service stations, automobile repair facilities and similar uses to parts of the corridor not fronting on Route 1 and not near residential areas. Revise”.

In PlanHoward 2030, as attached to the Bill, make the following changes: On page 57, in the section entitled “Snowden River Parkway Area”, in the first paragraph, after the second sentence, insert the following:

“Redevelopment plans for the Snowden River Parkway area need to consider the impact that increased development along Snowden River Parkway would have on Columbia and its village centers. Redevelopment of Snowden River Parkway should be discouraged until revisions are made to the NT Zoning Regulations to ensure redevelopment is

consistent with well planned evolution of the Preliminary Development Plan for Columbia, which balances changing market conditions and opportunities with the need to avoid adverse impacts on Village Centers and surrounding properties. Redevelopment of the Snowden River Parkway area must recognize the distinct characteristics of different sub-areas.”.

In the same paragraph, at the end of the sentence which reads “Scattered, uncoordinated redevelopment is already occurring along Snowden River Parkway”, before the period insert “and needs a planning framework to guide redevelopment”.

In PlanHoward 2030, as attached to the Bill, make the following changes: On pages 57-58 and 168, Strike Policy 5.6.a. entirely and replace with:

“a. **Plan for Coordinated Redevelopment.** Work with Snowden River Parkway and east Columbia Village Center property owners, as well as other community stakeholders to evaluate market conditions and redevelopment options to determine how to best position redevelopment of different parts of the Snowden River Parkway Area in relation to redevelopment of the Village Centers. ”

In PlanHoward 2030, as attached to the Bill, make the following changes: On page 139, in the first full paragraph ending with “time.”, in the third sentence, after “Village” strike “Center”. After the same paragraph, insert the following:

“With the adoption of the Downtown Columbia Plan, the corresponding zoning regulation amendments, and the new regulations to promote revitalization of older Columbia Village Centers, there needs to be revisions to the NT Regulations to discourage scattered, uncoordinated redevelopment.

When the existing zoning regulations were enacted, the original petitioner of the New Town District, Howard Research and Development Corporation (HRD), owned all the land in the New Town District and actively controlled development through Final Development Plans and private covenants. The zoning regulations gave the original petitioner control over an extensive development plan that currently only it can petition to amend. Now, however, property owners within the New Town District do not have the

right to access the zoning directly, they are forced to seek permission from the original petitioner. To ensure coordinated, well planned redevelopment and allow property owners access to the zoning, the NT Zoning Regulations need to be revised to establish clear criteria for redevelopment in New Town, including amendments to Final Development Plans.”.

In PlanHoward 2030, as attached to the Bill, make the following changes: On pages 141 and 179, in Policy 10.2, add new action c, as follows:

**“c. Comprehensive Review of NT Zoning.** Revise the NT Zoning Regulations to provide clear criteria for redevelopment of older residential, commercial, or industrial areas outside of Downtown Columbia or the Village Centers.”

Renumber remaining actions.

In PlanHoward 2030, as attached to the Bill, make the following changes: On pages 143 and 179, in Policy 10.4, in the “Implementing Actions” section, insert a new letter “c” as follows:

**“c. Updated Conditional Use Regulations.** Review and, as appropriate, amend the County’s conditional use regulations to reflect updated land use policies. The regulations should reflect current best practices and policies to minimize the impact of development on the environment. For example, the regulations regarding gasoline service stations need to reflect changes in the gasoline industry in the last decade and the challenges of blight and environmental mitigation required for redevelopment of abandoned gasoline stations.”.

In PlanHoward 2030, as attached to the Bill, make the following changes: On pages 145 and 180, in Policy 10.6, in the “Implementing Actions” section, in Action 10.6.b., after “Properties.” insert “Promote renovation or redevelopment of older commercial centers, particularly those showing indications of decline.”. In the following sentence, after “improvements”, delete “and renovation”.

The motion was seconded by Ms. Watson.

The roll call vote called by the Chairperson was: Yea: Council Members Ball, Fox, Sigaty, Terrasa and Watson.

The motion to approve Amendment 23 to Council Bill 26-2012 passed.

The Chairperson moved to approve Amendment 24 to Council Bill 26-2012 as follows:

*(This amendment inserts a reference to a transportation management association.)*

In Exhibit A, the General Plan for Howard County:

On page 58, under “POLICY 5.7”, create a new implementing action (c),:

**“c. **Transportation Management Associations.** Evaluate the utility and benefit of developing transportation management associations.”.**

On page 168, make the corresponding changes to Appendix A. Mark new Policy 5.7 c as “On-going”.

The motion was seconded by Ms. Watson.

The Chairperson moved to approve Amendment 1 to Amendment 24 to Council Bill 26-2012 as follows:

*(This amendment adds a co-sponsor.)*

Add “Jennifer Terrasa” alphabetically in the “BY” field.

The motion was seconded by Ms. Watson.

The roll call vote called by the Chairperson was: Yea: Council Members Ball, Fox, Sigaty, Terrasa and Watson.

The motion to approve Amendment 1 to Amendment 24 to Council Bill 26-2012 passed.

The roll call vote called by the Chairperson was: Yea: Council Members Ball, Fox, Sigaty, Terrasa and Watson.

The motion to approve Amendment 24 to Council Bill 26-2012, as amended, passed.

The Chairperson moved to approve Amendment 25 to Council Bill 26-2012 as follows:

*(This amendment expands on the concept of compact developments.)*

In Exhibit A, the General Plan for Howard County:

On page 82, in Policy 6.5 insert new Implementing Actions:

“d. **Compact development.** Encourage compact development with adequate green spaces and connectivity within and between developments which provide residents with a high quality of life and allows residents to take advantage of the benefits of the compact development.”

On page 169, make the corresponding changes to Appendix A. Mark new Policy 6.5 d as “On-going”.

The motion was seconded by Ms. Watson.

The roll call vote called by the Chairperson was: Yea: Council Members Ball, Fox, Sigaty, and Terrasa. Nay: Council Member Watson.

The motion to approve Amendment 25 to Council Bill 26-2012 passed.

The Chairperson moved to approve Amendment 26 to Council Bill 26-2012 as follows:

*(This amendment would add a new implementing action letter c to protect commercially and industrially zoned land in Policy 6.4 Job Growth).*

In PlanHoward 2030, as attached to the Bill, make the following changes: On pages 80 and 169, in Policy 6.4, in the “Implementing Actions” section, insert a new letter “c” as follows:

“c. **Commercially and Industrially Zoned Properties.** Establish policies to protect and promote commercially and industrially zoned land for future job and business growth opportunities.”

On page 169, mark 6.4 c as “On-going”.

The motion was seconded by Ms. Watson.

The roll call vote called by the Chairperson was: Yea: Council Members Ball, Fox, Sigaty, Terrasa, and Watson.

The motion to approve Amendment 26 to Council Bill 26-2012 passed.

The Chairperson moved to approve Amendment 27 to Council Bill 26-2012 as follows:

*(This amendment addresses growth and revitalization areas.)*

In Exhibit A, the General Plan for Howard County:

On page 75, in Policy 6.1, in Implementing Action “f”, at the end of the sentence add “, with particular emphasis on targeted growth and revitalization areas”.

On page 102, in the first sentence of the fifth paragraph after “facilities” insert “and services,”.

On pages 169 make the corresponding changes to Appendix A.

The motion was seconded by Ms. Watson.

The roll call vote called by the Chairperson was: Yea: Council Member Terrasa. Nay: Council Members Ball, Fox, Sigaty, and Watson.

The motion to approve Amendment 27 to Council Bill 26-2012 failed.

The Chairperson moved to approve Amendment 28 to Council Bill 26-2012 as follows:

*(This amendment adds information to several graphics.)*

On page 70, page 72, page 73, and page 90, on Map 6-2, Map 6-3, Figure 6-10, and Map 7-3, respectively, insert the words “Effective on (effective date)”, replacing the parenthetical with the date Council Bill 26-2012 is effective.

The motion was seconded by Ms. Watson.

The roll call vote called by the Chairperson was: Yea: Council Members Ball, Fox, Sigaty, Terrasa, and Watson.

The motion to approve Amendment 28 to Council Bill 26-2012 passed.

The Chairperson moved to approve Amendment 29 to Council Bill 26-2012 as follows:

*(This amendment indicates how the County should approach transportation issues.)*

In Exhibit A, the General Plan for Howard County:

On page 87, add an additional sentence at the end of the last full paragraph after “constraints.”:  
“An integrated approach to all modes of transportation.”

The motion was seconded by Ms. Watson.

The Chairperson moved to approve Amendment 1 to Amendment 29 to Council Bill 26-2012 as follows:

*(This amendment more grammatically indicates how the County should approach transportation issues.)*

Strike lines 3 and 4 in their entirety and substitute:

*“On page 87, strike the last full paragraph and substitute:*

*“The major goal of Plan-It 2035 and Howard County’s local planning effort is to develop an integrated approach to all modes of transportation that balances highway, transit, bicycle, and pedestrian needs and reflects expected funding constraints.”*

The motion was seconded by Ms. Watson.

The roll call vote called by the Chairperson was: Yea: Council Members Ball, Fox, Sigaty, Terrasa and Watson.

The motion to approve Amendment 1 to Amendment 29 to Council Bill 26-2012 passed.

The roll call vote called by the Chairperson was: Yea: Council Members Ball, Fox, Sigaty, Terrasa and Watson.

The motion to approve Amendment 29 to Council Bill 26-2012, as amended, passed.

The Chairperson moved to approve Amendment 31 to Council Bill 26-2012 as follows:

*(This amendment would add a new implementing action letter e for land use decisions in transit in Policy 7.4 Transit Services).*

In Exhibit A, the General Plan for Howard County:

On page 94, under Policy 7.4, insert a new Implementing Action:

“e. **Land Use Decisions.** Establish, enforce, and enhance policies and regulations requiring connectivity; prior to approval of new development plans integrate land use decisions with options for promoting improved transit access.”.

On page 170, make the corresponding changes to Appendix A with a priority of “On-going”.

The motion was seconded by Ms. Watson.

The Chairperson moved to approve Amendment 1 to Amendment 31 to Council Bill 26-2012 as follows:

*(This amendment modifies the language of a new Implementing Action .)*

In line 9, strike “, enforce,”

In line 10, strike beginning with “requiring” through “plans” and substitute “that”.

The motion was seconded by Ms. Watson.

The roll call vote called by the Chairperson was: Yea: Council Members Ball, Fox, Sigaty, Terrasa and Watson.

The motion to approve Amendment 1 to Amendment 31 to Council Bill 26-2012 passed.

The roll call vote called by the Chairperson was: Yea: Council Members Ball, Sigaty, and Terrasa. Nay: Fox and Watson.

The motion to approve Amendment 31 to Council Bill 26-2012, as amended, passed.



The Chairperson moved to approve Amendment 32 to Council Bill 26-2012 as follows:

*(This amendment rewrites the implementing action for Adequate Public Facilities regulations.)*

In Exhibit A, the General Plan for Howard County:

On page 91, in Policy 7.3, strike implementing action (b) in its entirety and substitute:

**“b. Adequate public facilities regulations. Evaluate adequate public facilities (APF) regulations to determine the merit of adding alternative modes of travel as well as whether the APF road excise tax amount is appropriate and whether a portion of it should be used for safety, transit, bicycle, or pedestrian improvements.”**

On page 170, make the corresponding change to Appendix A.

The motion was seconded by Ms. Watson.

The roll call vote called by the Chairperson was: Yea: Council Members Ball, Fox, Sigaty, Terrasa, and Watson.

The motion to approve Amendment 32 to Council Bill 26-2012 passed.

The Chairperson moved to approve Amendment 33 to Council Bill 26-2012 as follows:

*(This amendment addresses participation by all in the life of the community).*

In PlanHoward 2030, as attached to the Bill, make the following changes:

On pages 119 and 175, in Policy 8.15, immediately before the period, insert “resources to all citizens to ensure that all can fully participate in the life of the community”.

The motion was seconded by Ms. Watson.

The Chairperson moved to approve Amendment 1 to Amendment 33 to Council Bill 26-2012 as follows:

*(This amendment adds factors to consider when operating certain transit services.)*

Strike lines 7 and 8 in their entirety and substitute:

*“On page 94, under Policy 7.4, in Implementing Action “b”, after “services” insert: “paying particular attention to improving access to government facilities and health and human service locations”.*

*On page 170, make the corresponding change Appendix A.”*

The motion was seconded by Ms. Watson.

The roll call vote called by the Chairperson was: Yea: Council Members Ball, Fox, Sigaty, Terrasa and Watson.

The motion to approve Amendment 1 to Amendment 33 to Council Bill 26-2012 passed.

The roll call vote called by the Chairperson was: Yea: Council Members Ball, Sigaty, and Terrasa. Nay: Fox and Watson.

The motion to approve Amendment 33 to Council Bill 26-2012, as amended, passed.

The Chairperson moved to approve Amendment 34 to Council Bill 26-2012 as follows:

*(This amendment encourages diverse programs.)*

In Exhibit A, the General Plan for Howard County:

On page 116, under Policy 8.13:

In implementing action (a), after “facilities”, insert “and programs”.

In implementing action (b), add a new sentence at the end: “Encourage programming across all age groups and abilities.”.

On page 175, make the corresponding change to Appendix A.

The motion was seconded by Ms. Watson.

The roll call vote called by the Chairperson was: Yea: Council Members Ball, Fox, Sigaty, Terrasa, and Watson.

The motion to approve Amendment 34 to Council Bill 26-2012 passed.

The Chairperson moved to approve Amendment 35 to Council Bill 26-2012 as follows:

*(This amendment inserts references to the Downtown Columbia Housing Foundation and full-spectrum housing.)*

In Exhibit A, the General Plan for Howard County:

On page 124, add a fifth first-level bulleted item:

“In 2009, the County Council enacted Council Bill 58-2009, a General Plan Amendment, that provided for the redevelopment of Downtown Columbia. The General Plan Amendment called for the creation of a Downtown Columbia Community Housing Foundation. In 2012, the County Council enacted Council Bill 24-2012, which created a process to facilitate and recognize an entity as the Housing Foundation. Council Bill 24-2012 also implemented a mechanism to finance the Downtown Columbia Housing Fund.”.

On page 129, before the heading “**Preservation of Existing Affordable Housing**”, insert a new paragraph:

“Howard County recognizes the need and desirability of full-spectrum housing in Downtown Columbia. The County will facilitate the efforts of the Downtown Columbia Housing Foundation and the Downtown Columbia Partnership to leverage developer contributions to the Downtown Housing Fund to use the Fund in agile and innovative ways providing for a variety of housing types for individuals at all income levels.”.

On page 131, in Policy 9.3:

In implementing action e, add a second sentence: “Facilitate the participation of non-profits in efforts to promote full-spectrum housing.”.

On page 177, make the corresponding change to Appendix A.

The motion was seconded by Ms. Watson.

The roll call vote called by the Chairperson was: Yea: Council Members Ball, Fox, Sigaty, Terrasa, and Watson.

The motion to approve Amendment 35 to Council Bill 26-2012 passed.

The Chairperson moved to approve Amendment 36 to Council Bill 26-2012 as follows:

*(This amendment addresses commercial strip development design principles throughout the County.)*

In Exhibit A, the General Plan for Howard County:

On page 144, under the section captioned “Design of Commercial Centers and Corridors”, at the end of the fifth paragraph, add : “For these reasons, the policies reflected in this section also apply to existing and proposed strip commercial development along roads throughout the County, not solely in the Route 1 and Route 40 corridors.”

On page 145, under Policy 10.6, at the end of implementing action “a” insert: “or allow new areas of strip centers on these roads unless there are adjacent strip centers on both sides”.

On page 180, make the corresponding change to Appendix A.

The motion was seconded by Ms. Watson.

The roll call vote called by the Chairperson was: Yea: Council Members Ball, Fox, Sigaty, Terrasa, and Watson.

The motion to approve Amendment 36 to Council Bill 26-2012 passed.

The Chairperson moved to approve Amendment 39 to Council Bill 26-2012 as follows:

*(This amendment modifies references to expansions of the Planned Service Area.)*

In Exhibit A, the General Plan for Howard County:

On page 69, at the end of the third paragraph after “septic systems”, insert “particularly where the area drains to reservoirs or high quality stream systems. These properties, because of their location at the interface of the rural residential zone and the planned service area, should be designed and zoned to establish a transition that is compatible with and enhances surrounding communities. In addition, they should create an environmental benefit through environmental site design that mitigates impervious surfaces so that storm water will be captured onsite and not affect nearby waterways.”.

On page 74, under Policy 6.1, insert a new Implementing Action “a” :

“a **Limited Planned Service Area Expansion.** Zoning requirements for approved PSA expansions should include a development proposal that is consistent with the General Plan and establishes a transition that is compatible with and enhances surrounding communities and provides an environmental benefit.”.

Reletter the existing Implementing Actions under Policy 6.1 to accommodate the new Action.

On pages 169 make the corresponding changes to Appendix A. Mark the new Policy 6.1 a as “On-going”.

The motion was seconded by Ms. Watson.

The roll call vote called by the Chairperson was: Yea: Council Members Ball, Fox, Sigaty, Terrasa, and Watson.

The motion to approve Amendment 39 to Council Bill 26-2012 passed.

The roll call vote called by the Chairperson on the motion to approve Council Bill 26-2012, as amended, was: Yea: Council Members Ball, Fox, Sigaty, Terrasa, and Watson. Council Bill 26-2012, as amended, passed.

The Chairperson moved to go into closed session.

The motion was seconded by Ms. Watson.

The roll call vote called by the Chairperson was: Yea: Council Members Ball, Fox,

Sigaty, Terrasa, and Watson.

**Adjourned:** 7:13 p.m.

---

**Tabled**

Council Resolution 108-2012 - A RESOLUTION confirming the appointment of Roger L. Barnes to the Equal Business Opportunity Commission.